

Mark Cook

Grant County WI 2024-07-23

- Mechanical Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Computer Science
- Hardware
- Software Development
- Cyber-Security
- Forensics
- Complex Systems
- Root Cause Analysis
- Election Technology

Mark Cook

Grant County WI 2024-07-23

- Mechanical Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Computer Science
- Hardware
- Software Development
- Cyber-Security
- Forensics
- Complex Systems
- Root Cause Analysis
- Election Technology

First Stop: Washington, D.C.



Mark Cook

Grant County WI 2024-07-23

- Mechanical Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Computer Science
- Hardware
- Software Development
- Cyber-Security
- Forensics
- Complex Systems
- Root Cause Analysis
- Election Technology

Visits

California

Arizona

Nevada

Texas

South Carolina

Florida

Kansas

Michigan

Virginia

Arkansas

North Carolina

Montana

Washington

Colorado

Utah

Pennsylvania

Ohio

Wisconsin

New Mexico

We CAN Save Our Republic

To do so, **YOU**
MUST GET INVOLVED
IN YOUR COUNTY

For 2024:

Opt-OUT of Mail-In Ballots

Learn how elections work in your county - Study your laws

Audit and clean, then MONITOR your voter rolls

WORK YOUR ELECTIONS!

Watch for and report problems before/during/after the election

Get set up on website **ElectionEyes.US**

Set up county-controlled parallel paper/in-house digital poll books

Secure/eliminate drop boxes - ID on ballot drop-off!

Work with your Sheriff to uphold election laws

Take screen shots/pictures of all real-time results on websites/media

Audit every aspect post-election including entire chain of custody

WATCH-PARTIES: 2000 Mules - LetMyPeopleGo.movie – State-Of-Denial.com

GET OUT THE VOTE! Primaries AND General! OVERWHELM THE CHEAT!

Use a BLUE PEN to fill out circles on ballot #BluePen

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

YOU MUST TAKE ACTION LOCALLY!!!

The Nut Shell

Elections are a tool to measure the will of the people.

Mark Cook

Food Scale

Food Scales are a tool to measure the weight of your food.



Thumb on the Scale Scam

Whole Foods CEOs Admit to Overcharging Customers: 'We Made Some Mistakes'

On Wednesday, Whole Foods released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that found Whole Foods guilty of routinely overcharging customers.

By **Staff Author** | Updated on December 3, 2020 01:55PM EST



Whole Foods has just released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that accused Whole Foods of routinely overcharging customers.

“Straight up, we made some mistakes,” began Robb, who stood by Mackey in the video released Wednesday.

In June, the Department of Consumer Affairs stated that the supermarket chain, which boasts a total of 422 stores in the United States, had been overcharging customers due to an overstated weight/price ratio when it came to certain items, including vegetables, fruit and prepackaged meat.

Although recent reports took aim at New York City locations, pricing errors were also uncovered in a California-based Whole Foods, resulting in a handful of penalties.



Thumb on the Scale Scam

Whole Foods CEOs Admit to Overcharging Customers: 'We Made Some Mistakes'

On Wednesday, Whole Foods released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that found Whole Foods guilty of routinely overcharging customers.

By **Staff Author** | Updated on December 3, 2020 01:55PM EST



Whole Foods has just released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that accused Whole Foods of routinely overcharging customers.

“Straight up, we made some mistakes,” began Robb, who stood by Mackey in the video released Wednesday.

In June, the Department of Consumer Affairs stated that the supermarket chain, which boasts a total of 422 stores in the United States, had been overcharging customers due to an overstated weight/price ratio when it came to certain items, including vegetables, fruit and prepackaged meat.

Although recent reports took aim at New York City locations, pricing errors were also uncovered in a California-based Whole Foods, resulting in a handful of penalties.

An
**INTENTIONAL
ACT**
can be easily
disguised as a
MISTAKE



The Nut Shell

Elections are a tool to measure the will of the people.

We are expected to blindly trust them.

They can be easily manipulated.

We can't see the manipulation.

Mark Cook 303-990-2660 PatriotMC@protonmail.com

HandCountRoadShow.org and ACCFEI.org




PLEASE SIGN UP FOR NEWSLETTER!

The best victims are those easily distracted



Open your eyes



How did we get here?

Mark Cook

How did we get here?

They think you are stupid.

Who is THEY?

Mark Cook

THEY are the Evil Genius Architects



Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration
Voter Validation
Tabulation
Reporting

Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation
Voter Validation
Tabulation
Reporting

Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation

Voter Validation – Controls which Ballots get Counted

Tabulation

Reporting

Election Ecosystem

- Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation
- Voter Validation – Controls which Ballots get Counted
- Tabulation – Controls how Ballots get Counted
- Reporting

Election Ecosystem

- Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation
- Voter Validation – Controls which Ballots get Counted
- Tabulation – Controls how Ballots get Counted
- Reporting – Controls what Totals we see

#AMJOY



LIVE
MSNBC

UP FOR THE MSNBC DAILY NEWSLETTER TEXT MSNBC TO 66866

10:24 AM CT
KATIECOURIC@THEGREAT

SHARP REPORT

DEMOCRATS JOIN CYBERSECURITY EXPERTS IN WARNING OF VOTING MACHINE VULNERABILITIES BEFORE 2020 ELECTION

Election Ecosystem: Control

| | Precinct | County | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Transparency | | | | | | |

Election Ecosystem: Control

| | Precinct | County | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | | | | |

Election Ecosystem: Control


FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | | | | |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | | | | |


What is an Attack Vector?



What is an Attack Vector?




What is an Threat Actor?




Attack Vector


Threat Actors exploit Attack Vectors



Adding windows make it faster and easier to see outside




More Attack Vectors



What is an Attack Surface?



Attack
Surface




Which is safer?

Small Attack Surface


LARGE Attack Surface




Threat Actors target FEDERAL, STATE, and LOCAL Elections



Threat Actors target FEDERAL, STATE, and LOCAL Elections



Threat Actors target FEDERAL, STATE, and LOCAL Elections



This is 5th+ Generation Warfare

SESSION I
November 2022

THE CITIZEN'S GUIDE TO FIFTH GENERATION WARFARE

Introduction to

5GW



Michael T. Flynn, LTG, U.S. Army, (Retired)
Boone Cutler, SGT, U.S. Army, (Retired)



SESSION 2
June 2023

THE CITIZEN'S GUIDE TO FIFTH GENERATION WARFARE

How to Fight Artificial Intelligence

AI



Michael T. Flynn, LTG, U.S. Army, (Retired)
Boone Cutler, SGT, U.S. Army, (Retired)



MarkkCook

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|-------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | | | |

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | | | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | | | |



Which States Are Members Of ERIC? ^

As of November 2022 Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. The District of Columbia is also a member. (32 states plus D.C.)

Who Controls ERIC? v

What Data Does ERIC Collect From Member States? v

What Reports Do States Receive From ERIC? v

Who Pays For ERIC Operations? v

Does ERIC Help Members Save Money? v

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)

sqlmap[®]

Automatic SQL injection and database takeover tool

; Introduction();--

sqlmap is an open source penetration testing tool that automates the process of detecting and exploiting SQL injection flaws and taking over of database servers. It comes with a powerful detection engine, many niche features for the ultimate penetration tester and a broad range of switches lasting from database fingerprinting, over data fetching from the database, to accessing the underlying file system and executing commands on the operating system via out-of-band connections.

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)

The image is a screenshot of a web browser displaying the Federal Voting Assistance Program (FVAP) website. The browser's address bar shows the URL <https://www.fvap.gov>. The website header includes the FVAP logo, the text "FVAP.GOV FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM", and a navigation menu with links for "Military Voter", "Overseas Citizen Voter", "Voting Assistance Officer", "Election Official", and "General Information". A search bar is located in the top right corner with the placeholder text "Looking for something?".

The main content area features a large blue banner with the following text:
MILITARY AND OVERSEAS CITIZENS CAN VOTE NOW.
If you haven't received your requested ballot, fill out the Federal Write-in Absentee Ballot (FWAB)
[Fill out the FWAB →](#)
If you haven't requested an absentee ballot yet, [click here.](#)

Below the banner, a dark blue bar indicates "21 Days until the November 03 General Election". At the bottom of the page, there is a section titled "Looking for something specific? Select your state to:".

Watermarks for "Mark" and "Copyright" are visible across the page. Social media icons for Facebook, Twitter, and LinkedIn are on the left, and a "Feedback" button is on the right.

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)

The screenshot shows a web browser window displaying the FVAP.gov website. The browser's address bar shows the URL <https://www.fvap.gov/2/web/additional-uses>. The website header includes the FVAP.gov logo and the text "Voting assistance for Service members, their families and overseas citizens". A search bar is present with the text "Looking for something?". The main navigation menu includes "Military Voter", "Overseas Citizen Voter", "Voting Assistance Officer", "Election Official", and "General Information". The current page is titled "Absentee Ballot Classification" and shows a form for Alaska. The form includes a "State" dropdown menu set to "Alaska" and a "Jurisdiction" dropdown menu set to "classification". The "Classification" section has a message: "Alaska only has one voting jurisdiction, so we have pre-selected it for you." Below this, there is a "Select your voting classification" section with a "I am a:" label and five radio button options: "Member of the Uniformed Services or Merchant Marine on active duty" (selected), "Spouse or dependent of a member of the Uniformed Services or Merchant Marine on active duty", "U.S. citizen living outside the country, and I intend to return", "U.S. citizen living outside the country, and my intent to return is uncertain", and "U.S. citizen living outside the country, and I have never lived in the United States". At the bottom of the form, there are "Previous" and "Next" buttons. The footer of the website contains five columns of links: "Military Voter", "Overseas Citizen Voter", "Voting Assistance Officer", "Election Official", and "General Information".

FVAP.GOV
FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Voting assistance for Service members,
their families and overseas citizens

Looking for something?

[Home](#) [Military Voter](#) [Overseas Citizen Voter](#) [Voting Assistance Officer](#) [Election Official](#) [General Information](#)

Alaska [classification](#)

Absentee Ballot Classification*

Alaska only has one voting jurisdiction, so we have pre-selected it for you.

Select your voting classification.

I am a:

- Member of the Uniformed Services or Merchant Marine on active duty
- Spouse or dependent of a member of the Uniformed Services or Merchant Marine on active duty
- U.S. citizen living outside the country, and I intend to return
- U.S. citizen living outside the country, and my intent to return is uncertain
- U.S. citizen living outside the country, and I have never lived in the United States

Military Voter
How to Vote Absentee
Service Voting Assistance
Military Spouses and Families
Separating from Active Duty
Voting Residence
Voting Assistance Guide

Overseas Citizen Voter
How to Vote Absentee
Never Resided in the U.S.
Voting Residence
Voting Assistance Guide
FAQs
Contact

Voting Assistance Officer
How to Do a VAO
Training
Materials
Decisions and Guidance
Contributor Folder
Voting Disphasis Weeks

Election Official
Serving JOCWA Voters
Training
MOVIC Act Waivers
Grant Proposals
Policy Drafts
Policy Letters

General Information
About FVAP
About Absentee Voting
About the Laws
Reports and Surveys
News
Outreach Materials

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)

The screenshot shows the FVAP.gov website in a Mozilla Firefox browser. The page title is "FVAP.gov - Mozilla Firefox". The URL in the address bar is "https://www.fvap.gov/2/wwb/classification". The page header includes the FVAP.gov logo and the text "Voting assistance for Service members, their families and overseas citizens". A search bar is located in the top right corner with the text "Looking for something?" and a "Search" button. The navigation menu includes "Military Voter", "Overseas Citizen Voter", "Voting Assistance Officer", "Election Official", and "General Information". The main content area is titled "State of Voting Residence" and features a map of the United States. A yellow circle highlights a state in the West, and a dropdown menu is set to "Alaska". A "Next" button is visible. The page includes a sidebar with social media icons (Facebook, Twitter, LinkedIn) and a "Feedback" button. The footer contains links for "Military Voter", "Overseas Citizen Voter", "Voting Assistance Officer", "Election Official", and "General Information".

FVAP.GOV
FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Voting assistance for Service members, their families and overseas citizens

Looking for something?

Home Military Voter Overseas Citizen Voter Voting Assistance Officer Election Official General Information

State of Voting Residence

Select Your State
Alaska

Military Voter
Overseas Citizen Voter
Voting Assistance Officer
Election Official
General Information

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)

The screenshot shows a web browser window with a terminal application open. The terminal displays the text "hacking the mailing voting registration database..." followed by two lines of data, each representing a person's record. The data is presented in a table-like format with vertical bars separating fields. A large, semi-transparent watermark "MarkyCook" is overlaid across the center of the terminal window.

Terminal Output:

```
hacking the mailing voting registration database...

| 7689956 | XXX-XX-3876 | ANCHORAGE | FEMALE | BAIRD KIERSTYN | BAIRD | KIERSTYN | NULL
| NULL | | 05/11/1995 | NULL | NULL | NULL | ALASKA DEMOCRATIC PARTY
| NULL | | | | | 6511 CARLOS COURT ANCHORAGE | |

| 20 | 7681110 | XXX-XX-7149 | EAGLE RIVER | FEMALE | YOUNG CHEYANNA MARTE | YOUNG | CHEYANNA | MARTE
| 530-722-5477 | | 02/08/2001 | CHEYANNAYOUNG112233@GMAIL.COM | 530-410-1844 | ALASKA REPUBLICAN PARTY
| 12242 VISTA RIDGE LOOP EAGLE RIVER AK 99577-7047 | | 12242 VISTA RIDGE LOOP EAGLE RIVER | |
```


Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)

The screenshot shows the FVAP.gov website in a Mozilla Firefox browser. The page title is "FVAP.gov - Mozilla Firefox" and the address bar shows "https://www.fvap.gov/3/fwab/choose-election". The website header includes the FVAP.gov logo and the text "Voting assistance for Service members, their families and overseas citizens". A search bar is visible with the text "Looking for Something?". The main navigation menu includes "Military Voter", "Overseas Citizen Voter", "Voting Assistance Officer", "Election Official", and "General Information". The current page is "choose-election" for the state of Alaska. A yellow warning banner states: "You have entered Personally Identifiable Information (PII). If using a public computer, close the browser when finished and delete the PDF after printing." The "Choose Election" section shows "General Election" selected with a checkmark, and the date "November 3, 2020" displayed. A "Select" button is visible below the date. The left sidebar contains a menu with options: "State", "Jurisdiction", "Classification", "Additional Uses", "My Information", "Residence", "Address", "Additional Information", "Choose Election", "Candidates", "Confirm Candidates", "Review", and "Print". The footer contains five columns of links: "Military Voter", "Overseas Citizen Voter", "Voting Assistance Officer", "Election Official", and "General Information".

FVAP.GOV
FEDERAL VOTING ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Voting assistance for Service members, their families and overseas citizens

Looking for Something? Search

Alaska AI choose-election Start Over

You have entered Personally Identifiable Information (PII).
If using a public computer, close the browser when finished and delete the PDF after printing.

Choose Election

General Election

Nov 3
November 3, 2020

Select

Military Voter
How to Vote Absentee
Service Voting Assistance
Military Spouses and Families
Separating from Active Duty
Voting Assistance

Overseas Citizen Voter
How to Vote Absentee
Never Resided in the U.S.
Voting Residence
Voting Assistance Guide
FAQs

Voting Assistance Officer
How to Be a VAO
Training
Materials
Directives and Guidance
Continuity Folder

Election Official
Serving JOCAM Voters
Training
MOVIC Act Waivers
Grant Programs
Policy Briefs

General Information
About FVAP
About Absentee Voting
About the Laws
Reports and Surveys
News

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)

FWPgov - Mozilla Firefox

FWPgov

https://www.fwp.gov/2/fwabr/candidates

02/24/2021

Online Assistant
Complete the FWAB

- State
- Jurisdiction
- Classification
- Additional Uses
- My Information
- Residence
- Address
- Additional Information
- Choose Election
- Candidates
- Confirm Candidates
- Review
- Print

Vote Candidates

Candidates List

U.S. President/Vice President

| Candidate's Name | Political Party |
|---|----------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Joseph R. Biden, Jr. / Kamala D. Harris | Democratic Nominee |
| <input type="radio"/> Don Boudaridze / William Barr | Constitution Nominer |
| <input type="radio"/> Heceta "Rocky" De La Haza / Harry G. Richardson | Altovan Nominee |
| <input type="radio"/> James G. "Jesse Ventura" Jones / Cynthia McKinney | Green Nominee |
| <input type="radio"/> Jo Jorgensen / Jeremy "Biker" Cohen | Liberarian Nominee |
| <input type="radio"/> Brock Pierce / Kara Ballard | Patron Nominer |
| <input type="radio"/> Donald J. Trump / Michael R. Pence | Republican Nominer |

Enter your own in candidate:

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Candidate Name | Political Party |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|


Clear Selection

U.S. Senate

| Candidate's Name | Political Party |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Al Gross | Democratic Nominee |
| <input type="radio"/> John Wayne Howe | AK Indep. Nominee |
| <input type="radio"/> Dan Sullivan | Republican Nominer |

Feedback

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)



Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)



Collin County, TX – Voter list inconsistencies

Here is another example from Collin County where the State added four voters sometime between May 3, 2022 and June 10, 2022. Where did these names come from?

The names have been redacted for privacy. But can be shared with legislators.

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|--|-----|------|----------|--|-----|
| 35814 | 3/1/2022 | | 248 | | 3/1/2022 | | 248 |
| 35815 | 3/1/2022 | | 248 | | 3/1/2022 | | 248 |
| 35816 | 3/1/2022 | | 248 | | 3/1/2022 | | 248 |
| 35817 | 3/1/2022 | | 248 | | 3/1/2022 | | 248 |
| 35818 | 3/1/2022 | | 248 | | 3/1/2022 | | 248 |
| 35819 | 3/1/2022 | | 248 | | 3/1/2022 | | 248 |
| 35820 | 3/1/2022 | | 248 | | 3/1/2022 | | 248 |
| 35821 | Date | | | | Date | | |
| 35822 | 3/1/2022 | | 221 | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 231 |
| 35823 | 3/1/2022 | | 229 | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 240 |
| 35824 | 3/1/2022 | | 231 | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 221 |
| 35825 | 3/1/2022 | | 240 | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 229 |
| 35826 | | | | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 59 |
| 35827 | | | | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 150 |
| 35828 | | | | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 67 |
| 35829 | | | | ssss | 3/1/2022 | | 67 |
| 35830 | | | | | | | |
| 35831 | | | | | | | |
| 35832 | | | | | | | |
| 35833 | | | | | | | |
| 35834 | | | | | | | |
| 35835 | | | | | | | |
| 35836 | | | | | | | |
| 35837 | | | | | | | |
| 35838 | | | | | | | |
| 35839 | | | | | | | |
| 35840 | | | | | | | |
| 35841 | | | | | | | |
| 35842 | | | | | | | |
| 35843 | | | | | | | |
| 35844 | | | | | | | |
| 35845 | | | | | | | |

left column was 03 May - 2 months after the primary

right side is June 10th

this is a list of voters who voted.

The state has 2 instances of [redacted] and [redacted] in 2 different precincts - this is possible given name statistics, especially since their VUID are different - but it's the 4 names added that is a problem.

But why were these names:

[redacted]

added to the list of voters sometime between may and june?

if they really voted why were they not there 10 days after the election

if they did not vote why were they added?

Figure 2 comparing 5/3 to 6/10 for the March Primary – 2 duplicates but that might be OK, as it may be possible with suffixes, but there were also 4 names added over 2 months after the election.

Galveston County, TX – Voter list inconsistencies

And this issue does not just occur in Collin County.

Here is a chart from Galveston County. This chart was downloaded from the SOS website on July 2, 2022, and July 24, 2022 (four months after the primary). Notice that there are 2 votes removed from the Official Election Day Voting Information.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|------------|------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|--------|----------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|------------|------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| 11 | HIDALGO | an | 404,404 | 4,974 | 15,219 | 4.00% | 179 | 15,398 | 3.81% | Click Here | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | HIDALGO | an | 404,404 | 4,974 | 15,219 | 4.00% | 179 | 15,398 |
| 12 | WILLIAMSON | Republican | 399,779 | 20,783 | 46,517 | 12.00% | 1,582 | 48,099 | 12.03% | Click Here | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | WILLIAMSON | Republican | 399,779 | 20,783 | 46,517 | 12.00% | 1,582 | 48,099 |
| 13 | MONTGOMERY | Republican | 391,078 | 29,793 | 67,257 | 17.22% | 3,272 | 72,528 | 18.55% | Click Here | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | MONTGOMERY | Republican | 391,078 | 29,793 | 67,257 | 17.22% | 3,272 | 72,528 |
| 14 | GALVESTON | Republican | 229,469 | 10,886 | 29,830 | 13.00% | 1,669 | 31,499 | 12.73% | Click Here | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | GALVESTON | Republican | 229,469 | 10,886 | 29,830 | 13.00% | 1,671 | 31,501 |
| 15 | BHAZORIAN | Republican | 228,253 | 10,374 | 29,584 | 13.00% | 1,479 | 31,063 | 13.61% | Click Here | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | BHAZORIAN | Republican | 228,253 | 10,374 | 29,584 | 13.00% | 1,479 | 31,063 |
| 16 | CAMFRON | Republican | 222,642 | 4,079 | 10,377 | 5.00% | 280 | 10,637 | 4.78% | Click Here | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | CAMFRON | Republican | 222,642 | 4,079 | 10,377 | 5.00% | 280 | 10,637 |
| 17 | BELL | Republican | 218,892 | 11,131 | 20,122 | 9.00% | 1,351 | 21,473 | 9.81% | Click Here | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | BELL | Republican | 218,892 | 11,131 | 20,122 | 9.00% | 1,351 | 21,473 |

Figure 3 – This is 4 months after the election. 2 votes were removed from the OFFICIAL Election Day Voting Information.

The election numbers should be a fixed set of names and VUIDs **at the time of certification**. Why are numbers and names changing months after the election? And who is doing this? Is there something in the system that makes these changes?

These data changes do not appear to be happening at the county level. So what is happening at the State level? The SOS website has a disclaimer that says that they do not alter or modify data provided by the county.

Disclaimer: All information displayed is provided by county election officials. The Office of the Secretary of State does not alter or modify the data provided in any way. For questions related to this information, please contact the appropriate [county election official](#)

According to the above disclaimer, the State doesn't alter any information; therefore, there should NOT be changes at the State level that are not happening at the County level.

Galveston County, TX – Voter list inconsistencies

This series of screen shots shows unusual modifications of the state database with the timestamp going backwards.

DEMOCRATS on 4/22 at 6:13 PM

2022 MARCH 1ST DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY (March)

Disclaimer: All information displayed is provided by county election officials. The Office of the Secretary of State does not alter or modify the data provided in any way. For questions related to this information, please contact the appropriate [county election official](#).

[Past Early Voting Totals](#)

Official Early Voting Turnout by Date

Last Updated: 04-22-2022 02:40:01 PM

-- Select Early Voting Date --

Official Polling Place Information by County

Last Updated: 04-09-2022 03:36:56 PM

-- Select County --

Official Election Day Turnout by County

Last Updated: 04-22-2022 02:08:32 PM

-- Select Date --

[Previous](#) [Submit](#)

DEMOCRATS on 4/22 at 8:57PM

3hrs

2022 MARCH 1ST DEMOCRATIC PRIMARY (March)

Disclaimer: All information displayed is provided by county election officials. The Office of the Secretary of State does not alter or modify the data provided in any way. For questions related to this information, please contact the appropriate [county election official](#).

[Past Early Voting Totals](#)

Official Early Voting Turnout by Date

Last Updated: 04-22-2022 07:05:00 PM

February 25, 2022

Official Polling Place Information by County

Last Updated: 04-09-2022 03:36:56 PM

-- Select County --

Official Election Day Turnout by County

Last Updated: 04-20-2022 10:03:27 AM

-- Select Date --

[Previous](#) [Submit](#)

Figure 4. Comparing the selection menu on 4/22 at approx. 6PM and 9PM – Date stamp is artificially being adjusted.

Brazos County, TX – Unusual increase in registered voters



Brazos County grows to more than 120,000 registered voters, deadline for voter registration Monday

The deadline is coming up fast to vote for the November Election

By Clay Falls

Published: Oct. 2, 2020 at 7:01 PM CDT



BRYAN, Texas (KBTX) - If you want to vote in the November election and haven't registered yet you're running out of time.

The deadline is Monday, October 5.

Brazos County now has a new record of more than 120,000 registered voters. Elections Administrator Trudy Hancock said Friday they've seen thousands of new voters added in recent weeks. The deadline to register is Monday and you'll need to know your driver's license number or the last four digits of your social security number. You don't have to present an ID to register.


"Every year we see people show up to the polls wanting to cast a ballot and they can't because they haven't registered and that's why it's very important to register before Monday," said David Hilburn, Chair of the Republican Party of Brazos County.

He said their office is getting lots of calls.

"It's been a big uptick of people registering and whether that's just because of the presidential year or whether it's because of this specific election I don't know. You know as our population grows, it's natural to get people to move in. The numbers are going to go up," Hilburn added.


Brazos County, TX – Unusual Patterns

| YEAR | Reg Voters | Voted | Voted % | Early Vote | EV % |
|------|------------|--------|---------|------------|--------|
| 1988 | 64,143 | 44,690 | 69.67% | 6,536 | 14.63% |
| 1990 | 56,949 | 32,886 | 57.75% | 5,888 | 17.90% |
| 1992 | 60,915 | 49,334 | 80.99% | 15,931 | 32.29% |
| 1994 | 58,036 | 32,641 | 56.24% | 9,118 | 27.93% |
| 1996 | 70,204 | 38,644 | 55.05% | 12,003 | 31.06% |
| 1998 | 76,095 | 26,237 | 34.48% | 8,042 | 30.65% |
| 2000 | 80,133 | 46,941 | 58.58% | 19,097 | 40.68% |
| 2002 | 92,659 | 28,828 | 31.11% | 10,304 | 35.74% |
| 2004 | 92,666 | 54,309 | 58.61% | 38,063 | 70.09% |
| 2006 | 87,838 | 30,388 | 34.60% | 10,974 | 36.11% |
| 2008 | 92,984 | 58,673 | 63.10% | 36,906 | 39.69% |
| 2010 | 88,998 | 37,695 | 42.35% | 18,076 | 20.31% |
| 2012 | 91,496 | 55,866 | 61.06% | 34,844 | 38.08% |
| 2014 | 92,928 | 31,588 | 33.99% | 15,162 | 16.32% |
| 2016 | 106,804 | 67,029 | 62.76% | 46,926 | 43.94% |
| 2018 | 111,236 | 64,836 | 58% | 40,070 | 36% |
| 2020 | 122,137 | 84,753 | 69.39% | 71,847 | 58.82% |
| 2022 | 126,123 | 59,954 | 47.54% | 37,962 | 30.10% |



The Caesar Cipher and Stacking the Deck New York State Voter Rolls

All OOR High records




A Paquette
New York Citizens' Audit
Email: paqart@gmail.com



Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PAST | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PAST | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PAST | | | | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | | | |
| Reporting | | PAST | | | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | | | | |



BOOK

MANA


Choose A State

Jump to state or jurisdiction

Verified Voting



US Territories Excluded in All Calculations



| Poll Books | ? |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Paper Poll Books | 18.0% |
| In-House Electronic Poll Books | 12.8% |
| Commercial Electronic Poll Books | 69.3% |

US Territories

EXCEL | CSV | JSON

AS

GU

MP

PR

VI


National Map

Wisconsin

Choose A County



Jump to state or jurisdiction



Wisconsin

?

REGISTERED VOTERS
 3,669,336

PRECINCTS
 3,627

POLLING PLACES
 2,541

▶ Administrator Meagan Wolfe

Poll Books

?

- Paper Poll Books 63.2%
- In-House Electronic Poll Books 36.8%

Election Day Equipment

| Type of Equipment | Make | Model |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------|
| Paper Poll Book | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| In-House Electronic Poll Book | WI Election Commission | Badger Book |

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Blank Signatures Approved

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.

POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.

PODER DE ABOGADO
no es valido para fines
de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[Empty signature box]

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO; si la firma es cuestionada)

10/19/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.

POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.

PODER DE ABOGADO
no es valido para fines
de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

VERIFIED & APPROVED-MCTE

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO; si la firma es cuestionada)

10/19/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Blank Signature and Blank Date Approved

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[REDACTED]

de
o o
yo
on
ada

TA,
nte
ver
nte
ido
que
ir y
ata.

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLTA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELEFONO; si la firma es cuestionada)

WPH:UC 2020-10-29 14:00:00

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

4 21 16 03 11

de
o o
yo
on
ada

TA,
nte
ver
nte
ido
que
ir y
ata.

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLTA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

VERIFIED & APPROVED-MCTEC

[REDACTED]

(PHONE; if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

WPH:UC 2020-10-29 14:00:00

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Blank Signature and Blank Date Approved

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.

POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.

PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA ▽

[Empty signature box]

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.

POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN
FIRMA.

PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA ▽

VERIFIED & APPROVED MCTEC

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Signature

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION
26019 S 202ND PL QUEEN CREEK
MANUEL [REDACTED]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votacion.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[Handwritten Signature]

[REDACTED]
(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

11/3/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

[1] Are you registered to vote at another address? Yes No Not Sure (B)

List the former address, including county and state

[REDACTED]

Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No Not Sure

By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT

Manuel [REDACTED] 7-22-011

[23] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who assisted you must sign here.
SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING _____ DATE _____

[24] Will you be willing to work at a polling place on election day? Yes No

VERIFIED BR
ISSUE DATE 7-22-11

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Signature

MARICOPA COUNTY
NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

HEATHER [REDACTED]


BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.

POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.

PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votacion.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA



[REDACTED]
(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

10/19/2020
(DATE/FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

[22] Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No *if you checked 'no' to either one of these questions, do not submit this form.*

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

This is a printed representation of the completed ServiceArizona web form 202008301512267681

X

SIGN HERE

[24] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who assisted you must sign here.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING

9/30/2020

DATE

DATE

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Signature

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

IDA

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLTA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votacion.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

Margaret Jones

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

10-15-20
(DATE / FECHA)

Within 11 S - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

COOK

[21] • Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
• Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No If you checked "No" to either one of these questions, do not submit this form.

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

This is a printed representation of the completed ServiceArizona web form 20120201122009089 M

X Ida Claire Thompson
SIGN HERE

02/01/2012
DATE

[23] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who assisted you must sign here.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING
DATE

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Signature

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION


BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.

POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.

PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA



480 11/2/2020
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

- [22] Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, I am a resident of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated guilty of a felony.

Janessa Gaudreau

This is a printed name.
ServiceArizona.com

X

SIGN HERE

[24] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who signs here.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Name & Signature

MARICOPA COUNTY
NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

107720092242101

[Redacted Box]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO
no es válido para fines
de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[Handwritten Signature: Daniel Sanchez]

408 [Redacted Box] 10/12/20
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

Within 11 C - MAIL no later than 5 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

I - By signing below, I swear or a
convicted FELON or my civil right

[Handwritten Signature: Jeff M Sanchez]

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Name & Signature

BALLOT WILL NOT BE
COUNTED WITHOUT
YOUR SIGNATURE.

POWERS OF ATTORNEY
are not valid for voting
purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE
TRAMITAR SIN SU
FIRMA.

PODER DE ABOGADO
no es valido para fines
de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX ▽
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA ▽

Francine B. Kramer

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

10-21-2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)
Dentro de EE UU. - ENVÍE a más tardar 6 días antes del Día de la Elección (anotado en la boleta)

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of
Arizona. I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT

SIGN HERE

[24] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The pe

SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING

<Remove tape and fold to mail ->

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Name & Signature

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

Jamil Segant

(PHONE; if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO; si la firma es cuestionada)

10/29/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

[22] • Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
• Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No
If you checked "No" to either one of these questions, do not submit this form.

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

This is a printed representation of the completed ServiceArizona web form 20150913004845000 I

X *Jamil Segant*
SIGN HERE

09/13/2015
DATE

[24] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who assisted you must sign here.
SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING **DATE**

5

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: More than One Vote Cast and Counted

MARICOPA COUNTY
NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE. POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA. PODER DE ABOGADO no es valido para fines de votacion.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJITA

[Signature]

[Red Arrow]

[Redacted] 2227 10 30 20
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada) (DATE / FECHA)

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE. POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA. PODER DE ABOGADO no es valido para fines de votacion.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJITA

[Signature]

[Red Arrow]

[Redacted] 2227 10 21 20
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada) (DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: More than One Vote Cast and Counted

MARICOPA COUNTY 137 / 20664550507
NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

Henry J. Singer

253- [Redacted] 10/19/2020
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (TELÉFONO; si la firma es cuestionada) (DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

Henry J. Singer

253- [Redacted] 10/10/2020
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada) (DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: More than One Vote Cast and Counted

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es valido para fines de votacion.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[Handwritten Signature]

602 8 [Redacted] Oct 28 2020
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[Handwritten Signature]

602 8 [Redacted] Oct 22 2020
(PHONE; if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: More than One Vote Cast and Counted

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA
PODER DE ABOGADO no es valido para fines de votacion.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[Red Arrow]

Rogio [Redacted] Roland

623-53 [Redacted] 10-26-20

(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[Redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

▽ SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[Red Arrow]

Rogio [Redacted] Roland

623-53 [Redacted] 10-13-20

(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Registration Signature Changes AFTER Election Day

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

Christa Harris

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO; si la firma es cuestionada)

10/22/20
(DATE/FECHA)

[22] Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

Christa Harris

7/4/2020

Registration Dated 07/4/2020

Registration Dated 2/3/2021

[22] Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No

below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

Christa Harris

2/3/2021
DATE

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Registration Signature Changes AFTER Election Day

MARICOPA COUNTY
NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE. POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.
LA BOLETA NO SE TRANSMITAR SIN SU FIRMA. PODER DE ABOGADO no es valido para fines de votación.

Registration Dated 09/25/2018

(22) • Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
• Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No *If you checked 'No' to either one of these questions, do not submit this form.*

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

This is a printed representation of the completed ServiceArizona web form 20180925140708000 I

X Brianna Dumbke 09/25/2018
SIGN HERE DATE

Registration Dated 2/3/2021

Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes No
Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes No *If you checked 'No' to either one of these questions, do not submit this form.*

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

This is a printed representation of the completed ServiceArizona web form 20210203161723999519 M

X [Signature] 2/3/2021
SIGN HERE DATE

10/30/20
(PHONE, if signature is questioned) (DATE / FECHA)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

The Arizona Woodpecker



Arizona AG report finds no evidence of mass fraud in Maricopa County 2020 election results

But Attorney General Mark Brnovich said his office did find "serious vulnerabilities" with signature verification processes and transportation of ballots from drop box locations.




Although Wednesday's report did not find mass fraud or conspiracy in the 2020 election, it outlined his office's concerns with "serious vulnerabilities" involving certain procedures during the campaign, including the signature verification process and the transportation of ballots from drop box locations.


Runbeck Agilis Envelope Sorting and Signature Verification MACHINE



Runbeck Agilis Envelope Sorting and Signature Verification MACHINE




Using a high-definition camera, an image of each envelope is taken to capture voter information & voter signature for verification.



The sorting system is highly configurable with full reporting & audit capabilities, complete with detailed tray tag printing for document retention purposes.




Interfaces with Voter Registration Systems to manage the status of each mail ballot.



On-screen manual signature verification software included, with the option of adding on Automated Signature Recognition (ASR) software to use in conjunction with the manual verification process.

VICTOR JOECKS: County lowers 'confidence level' for ballot signatures



A mail-in ballot for the primary election, (Hali Bernstein Saylor/Boulder City Review)

By **Victor Joecks** Las Vegas Review-Journal

October 20, 2020 - 9:00 pm

Don't miss the big stories. Like us on Facebook.

The Clark County Election Department lowered the factory settings on its signature verification machine. So much for matching signatures as an impenetrable guard against election fraud.

That score is a “confidence level,” said Anthony Paiz, vice president of field services of Runbeck Election Services, which makes the machine. Paiz said his company recommends changes to that score are “done with bipartisan” buy-in.

That didn't happen in Clark County, where officials downgraded the confidence level to a 40 out of 100.

“To determine what score to use, we ran tests of the system and concluded that 40 would accept all the signatures that are obvious matches,” the spokesman said.

When asked if the “40” confidence level allowed inaccurate ballots to get through, the spokesman didn't respond by deadline. Registrar Joe Gloria

<https://www.reviewjournal.com/opinion/opinion-columns/victor-joecks/victor-joecks-county-lowers-confidence-level-for-ballot-signatures-2156178/>

10/22, 7:10 PM

Clark County reduces mail ballot signature requirements | VICTOR JOECKS | Las Vegas Review-Journal

refused to answer questions on Monday.

This is terrifying. Clark County is potentially the swing county in the swing state. It has tens of thousands of ballots floating around, legalized ballot harvesting and downgraded signature verification.

Did Clark County, Nevada use a defective signature-matching computer system in the 2020 election?

NO


In 2020, Clark County used a two-step process to verify voter signatures on mail-in ballots. The Agilis computerized scanning system reviewed signatures, accepting about 30%; additional human verification was used for the remaining 70%.

Republicans filed lawsuits challenging the county's signature verification process, which ultimately failed in both state and federal court.

A central claim of the lawsuits was that the Agilis system had a lower sensitivity setting than desired and should have accepted even fewer than 30% of signatures. Election officials testified that Agilis tested well at the setting used and that the county's ballot rejection rate was in line with the statewide signature rejection rate.

“The machine used in Clark County to verify signatures is called Agilis. And earlier this year, Clark County officials announced that they would need to lower the recommended factory tolerance settings on the Agilis machine in order to capture the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles' signature used to verify mail-in ballots. To match a ballot signature, the Agilis machine requires a clear signature on file with election officials that is scanned at a minimum resolution of 200 d.p.i. Nevertheless, the bulk of Clark County's signature files come from the Nevada DMV, which doesn't even have the capability of scanning signatures at that resolution. Knowing this, Clark County election officials still lowered the signature match threshold in order to capture blurry signatures and speed through the counting process. However, Artificial Intelligence experts and signature verification experts agree that changing the factory settings in this way will largely render the system useless.”


Texas Poll Pad Numbers Changing AFTER POLLS CLOSED



"Last time I
[inaudible] it
was 642...my
number now
says...I'm now
at 817"

Texas Poll Pad Numbers Changing AFTER POLLS CLOSED

"I'm 1,089 now"



"Mine just jumped to 922"

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

~~FREEDOM~~

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | | | |

BOOK


Choose A State

Jump to state or jurisdiction

Verified Voting



US Territories
Excluded in All
Calculations



| Make | ? |
|--------------------------------------|-------|
| Election Systems & Software | 43.8% |
| Dominion Voting Systems | 26.3% |
| Hart InterCivic | 12.9% |
| Unisyn Voting Solutions | 3.5% |
| Clear Ballot | 3.4% |
| Premier Election Solutions (Diebold) | 2.5% |
| Sequoia Voting Systems | 2.5% |
| MicroVote | 1.7% |
| Hand Counted Paper Ballots | 0.2% |

US Territories

- AS
- GU
- MP
- PR
- VI

EXCEL | CSV | JSON


National Map

Wisconsin

Choose A County

Verified Voting

Jump to state or jurisdiction



Wisconsin

REGISTERED VOTERS
3,669,336

PRECINCTS
3,627

POLLING PLACES
2,541

Administrator Meagan Wolfe

Make

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| ES&S | 68.2% |
| Dominion | 27.4% |
| Clear Ballot | 3.0% |
| Hand Counted Paper Ballots | 1.3% |



NBC News asked the two other major manufacturers how many of their tabulators with modems were currently in use. Hart said that it has approximately 1,600 such tabulators in use in 11 counties in Michigan. Dominion did not respond to numerous requests from NBC News for their sales numbers.

'Vulnerable to hacking'

With the 2020 presidential election only ten months away, Appel and Skoglund believe all modems can and should be removed from election systems.

"Modems in voting machines are a bad idea," said Appel. "Those modems that ES&S [and other manufacturers] are putting in their voting machines are network connections, and that leaves them vulnerable to hacking by anybody who can connect to that network."

POLITICS

Modems removed from voting machines in five N.C. counties



DAVID N. BASS

DECEMBER 15, 2021



“We pay to have our system penetration tested, hacked, basically, to find out are there weaknesses and what can we do to, to fix those weaknesses, right, to make it less hackable.”



Pete Lichtenheld

Senior Vice President of Customer Success at Hart InterCivic

“And I'll fully admit and anyone who says something's not hackable is a fool, so anything can be hacked, right? but it takes access to the thing, knowledge, and mostly time.”



Joint Election
Montgomery County, Texas
November 8, 2022

81289v2

To cast your ballot, you must take this record to the separate scanning station and scan it.

U.S. Representative, District 2
Governor
Lieutenant Governor
Attorney General
Comptroller of Public Accounts
Commissioner of General Land Office
Commissioner of Agriculture
Railroad Commissioner
Justice, Supreme Court, Place 3
Justice, Supreme Court, Place 5
Justice, Supreme Court, Place 9
Judge, Criminal Appeals Court Pl. 5
Judge, Criminal Appeals Court Pl. 6
State Board of Education District 6
State Senator, District 4
State Representative, District 15
Justice, Appeals Court Dist. 9 Pl 2
Justice of The Peace, Precinct 3

CHOICE

ORDER

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

NO SELECTION

KRISTIN JOHNSON

2 DEM

BOB MABRY

2 DEM

APRIL PRIM

2 DEM

** END OF PAGE **

ACCURATE. RELIABLE. TRANSPARENT.



**DOMINION
SECURE**

Dominion Voting Systems is committed to ensuring the security of elections.

We utilize both voluntary and compulsory testing on every one of our systems as part of company and federal/state certification processes. Our Democracy Suite products have been tested and certified by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) in accordance with federal Voluntary Voting Systems (VVSG).

**PRODUCT SECURITY
HIGHLIGHTS**



VVSG testing & EAC certification process



Comprehensive, non-alterable audit logs



Secure software development in accordance with federal guidelines for voting systems (VVSG)



Encryption protections



Multi-factor authentication features



Physical security seals and locks



Software independence - producing an auditable, paper record



Full auditing capabilities

OUR SECURITY PROGRAM



Email from Eric Coomer to another Dominion Employee

From: Eric Coomer
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Sheree R. Noell
Subject: Re: PAN - ICX Safe Mode

We are so broken all over the place

He is ABSOLUTELY
CORRECT, based on
what I see in this
document.

ERIC D. COOMER | DIRECTOR, PRODUCT STRATEGY AND SECURITY

DOMINION VOTING
1201 18th Street, Suite 210, DENVER, CO 80202
1.866.654.8683 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

720.201.1728MOBILE

Email from Eric Coomer to another Dominion Employee

From: Eric Coomer
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2020 12:10 AM
To: Sheree R. Noell
Subject: Re: AK - ICP modems failing acceptance testing

Eric nails it again.

We suck


ERIC D. COOMER | DIRECTOR, PRODUCT STRATEGY AND SECURITY

DOMINION VOTING
1201 18th Street, Suite 210, DENVER, CO 80202
1.866.654.8683 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

720.201.1728MOBILE

Dominion Employee in Serbia and a possible account hack

Why does Dominion (an alleged US voting system company) have a developer in SERBIA that has access to their information, and possibly source code along with election projects from various states in the US?



Is Jeremy so ignorant that he thinks that an IP address can 'pass its location'? If so, why would Dominion hire someone that doesn't even understand such a basic concept?



To: Jeremy Holck[jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com]
From: Nevena Djuric
Sent: Thur 4/23/2020 8:15:12 AM
Subject: RE: Nevana Logins from Kosovo

I can confirm again that my cell phone was always only in my possession. Last night, around 10 - 11 PM (Serbia time) I was checking mail from my cell phone. Also, I changed my password yesterday after I received these information. Option that I can suggest is to use OKTA on my phone only when I am using home internet with the IP address **77.46.240.39** I'm grateful for the attention and time you put into this case and if there is anything that I can do, please tell me.

From: Jeremy Holck <jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2020 12:38 AM
To: Nevena Djuric <nevena.djuric@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: RE: Nevana Logins from Kosovo

Strang, another alert just came in. For some reason, the 77.46.240.39 address is passing its location as Kosovo. The first entry below is from an Office 365 log, and it shows Telekom Srbija but a location of Kosovo. I then searched the Okta logs and for the same IP, it is showing Kosovo as well based on its Latitude and Longitude coordinates. I then checked Okta for the week prior and you had a different IP address of 77.243.31.254 and it was showing a location of Serbia.

Dominion Website found with security vulnerability Sept 30 2020

From: Mohd Asif Khan <blackops.asif@gmail.com>

Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2020 11:50 PM

To: Security <Security@dominionvoting.com>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Bug Report

Hello Security Team,

My name is Mohd Asif Khan and I am a Security Researcher.

I have found a bug in your site. Report of the bug is as follows:-

a) Summary:-

It's possible to get information about the users registered (such as: id, name, login name, etc.) without authentication in Wordpress via API on <https://www.dominionvoting.com/wp-json/wp/v2/users>

b) Description:-

By default Wordpress allows public access to the Rest API to get information about all users registered on the system.

c) Steps To Reproduce:-

1. Visit url:- <https://www.dominionvoting.com/> and add `/wp-json/wp/v2/users/`

2.

<https://www.dominionvoting.com/wp-json/wp/v2/users>

3. Add numbers like <https://www.dominionvoting.com/wp-json/wp/v2/users> (1,2,3,4....)

to get information about the users.

Claimed to be fixed Oct 1 2020. But was it breached?

From: Andrew Hall <andrew@hallstarsolutions.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 1, 2020 12:54:38 PM
To: Jeremy Holck <jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Eric Coomer <eric.coomer@dominionvoting.com>; Mike McGee <michael.mcgee@dominionvoting.com>; Paul MacLaren (rockfishmarketing@bell.net) <rockfishmarketing@bell.net>; Paul MacLaren (rockfishmarketing@bell.net) <rockfishmarketing@bell.net>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Bug Report

Jeremy et al,

The vulnerability described below has been eliminated.

Regards,

Andrew JK Hall

Digital Portfolio Director / Owner

M: 905-599-3448 | andrew@hallstarsolutions.com | www.hallstarsolutions.com | www.linkedin.com/in/ahallstar

1100 Burloak Drive, Suite 300 | Burlington, Ontario | L7L 6B2



More vulnerabilities found internally Oct 14 2020!!!

To: Danica Gojkovic[danica.gojkovic@dominionvoting.com]; Marija Moric[marija.moric@dominionvoting.com]; Dusan Djordjevic[dusan.djordjevic@dominionvoting.com]; Nevena Djuric[nevena.djuric@dominionvoting.com]; Ivan Bulut[ivan.bulut@dominionvoting.com]; Neda Vukman[neda.vukman@dominionvoting.com]
Cc: Dejan Vukasinovic[dejan.vukasinovic@dominionvoting.com]; Jeremy Holck[jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com]
From: Alex Cruz
Sent: Wed 10/14/2020 6:22:02 PM
Subject: INFO: Microsoft CVE-2018-8273: Microsoft SQL Server Remote Code Execution Vulnerability

Hello All,

The following machines are coming up in our scan with some SQL vulnerabilities.

These vulnerabilities need to be patched ASAP in order to ensure the device stays protected.

- BGEGWDGOJK1
- BGEGWDTRABR1 – (Not sure who this machines belongs too)
- BGEGWMMICE1
- BGEGWDNRILA1 – (Not sure who this machine belongs too)
- BGEGWDDUDJO1
- N-NDJURIC
- N-BULUT
- N-VUKMAN

Danica Gojkovic
? possibly Tijana Todorovic
Marija Moric?
?- possibly Andrijana Ilic
Dusan Djordjevic
Nenvena Djuric
Ivan Bulut
Neda Vukman

Look at all those 'American' names...

To: Aleksandar Lazarevic[aleksandar.lazarevic@dominionvoting.com]; Alex Cruz[alex.cruz@dominionvoting.com]; John Giannopoulos[john.giannopoulos@dominionvoting.com]; Dalibor Milovanovic[dalibor.milovanovic@dominionvoting.com]; Ranko Stamatovic[ranko.stamatovic@dominionvoting.com]
Cc: Jeremy Holck[jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com]; Goran Obradovic[goran.obradovic@dominionvoting.com]
From: Dragan Djordjevic
Sent: Mon 7/26/2021 12:34:14 PM
Subject: RE: Visual Studio 2019 Professional (Version 16.10) subscription | license | product key

Hi all,

The usage of product key is much better than using the account name to sign in to VS 2019. That was initial idea.

There is another reason why we should use a separate account for trusted builds. If there is a limitation regarding the number of machines where the same VS 2019 license can be used to sign in to VS 2019, then I think the solution to have the new account (that will be used for trusted builds only) is a better solution (since all developers usually installed VS 2019 on their office machines, laptops etc..). In case of using that new account the product key will also be used (instead of account name

Didn't they tell everyone they aren't connected to the Internet?

From: Ranko Stamatovic [ranko.stamatovic@dominionvoting.com]
Sent: 4/4/2018 1:06:10 PM
To: Paul Chavez-Casanova [paul.chavez-casanova@dominionvoting.com]; Ivan Bulut [ivan.bulut@dominionvoting.com]
CC: Martijn Punt [martijn.punt@dominionvoting.com]; Ruzica Matic [ruzica.matic@dominionvoting.com]; Dave Anderson [dave.anderson@dominionvoting.com]
Subject: RE: RTM - Listener certificate signing

When we talk about certificates in general – Dominion is not the certificate issuer. Actually, we can create certificate, but – level of trust can be problematic... Different people (users) have different perspective what certificate means and how it should be obtained.

One more thing – when we are sending election results through Internet (from tabulators or RTM), this is not closed system any more. **Do the citizens know that election results are being sent through the internet?**

At least they admit this!

Therefore, my general approach to this problem is:

- do not assume anything regarding who/how/when certificate should be created/obtained,
- do not assume anything regarding the name of the certificate
- Our system should be able to:
 - o import any provided certificate (but first to check validity), or/and
 - o Create certificate on simple and intuitive way. Furthermore, implement logic that the same certificate is be copied to exact location where, EMS will pick it up, when prepare definition files for tabulators/RTM...

Ranko

Dominion KNEW that the SolarWinds vulnerability affected them

From: Shilov, Valeri (REG) <valeri.shilov@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, December 14, 2020 12:54 PM
To: David Moreno <david.moreno@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Travis Kester <travis.kester@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] SolarWinds fileshare

BINGO! Potential MASSIVE breach prior to 2020 Election!!! Did Dominion notify ANYONE prior to the election? Why didn't Election Officials know? Why didn't the public know? Why did they keep this hidden?

Hi David,

With the SolarWinds breach news yesterday, our CIO just sent me a note about the public DVS fileshare running on SolarWinds.


<https://dvsfileshare.dominionvoting.com/Web%20Client/Mobile/MLogin.htm>

<https://www.fireeye.com/blog/threat-research/2020/12/evasive-attacker-leverages-solarwinds-supply-chain-compromises-with-sunburst-backdoor.html>


Hope all is well.

Thank you,


Dominion KNEW that the SolarWinds vulnerability affected them



Source code: [20201214235952 https://dvsfileshare.dominionvoting.com/WebClient/Mobile/MLogin.htm](https://web.archive.org/web/20201214235952/https://dvsfileshare.dominionvoting.com/WebClient/Mobile/MLogin.htm) still shows SolarWinds:



Dominion KNEW that the SolarWinds vulnerability affected them



Integration is NOT always a good thing!




Eric Coomer – Dominion Voting Director of Strategy and Security

2021-09-21 14:36:10 -0600
AXON BODY 3 X6030266J



A candidate in Georgia who appeared to get few Election Day votes was actually in first place.

The discrepancy in a race for a county-level board of commissioners seat was blamed on a series of technical errors.

 Share full article



The candidate, Michelle Long Spears, was shortchanged by 3,792 votes in the District 2 primary for the Board of Commissioners in DeKalb County, Ga., that was held on May 24, according to newly-certified results released on Friday.

After conducting a hand count over the Memorial Day weekend and auditing those returns, election officials determined that they had drastically underreported the vote totals for Ms. Spears.

She received 43 percent of the vote, not 24 percent, as reported by an unofficial and incomplete election summary posted on May 25 on the county's website. As the top vote-getter, Ms. Spears qualified for a June 21 runoff against the second-place candidate, Lauren Alexander.

“I hope that this never happens to another candidate, in another race ever again,” Ms. Spears said. “It has been a nightmare.”

Election officials attributed the discrepancy to a series of technical and human errors, which they characterized as being isolated to the primary race.

They said that the problems, which they described as a computer programming error, began when a fourth candidate withdrew from the race late — 135 votes were cast for that former candidate. A redistricting error compounded the situation, according to the county.

CHEROKEE COUNTY

Cherokee County Clerk follows up on mixed election results

by: [Jessica Schaer](#)

Posted: Aug 9, 2022 / 06:20 PM CDT

Updated: Aug 9, 2022 / 06:20 PM CDT



CHEROKEE COUNTY, Kans. — We’re learning more on the events that lead to a mix-up in a Cherokee County Commissioner race.

The Cherokee County Clerk says an audit was done this past Friday and officials noticed a discrepancy that showed the numbers were correct, they were just under the wrong candidate. Image prints on the thumb drive for “County Commissioner District One” switched the numbers for incumbent Myra Frazier, and challenger Lance Nichols. A full hand re-count was done twice to ensure the accurate results of the vote and found Myra Frazier to be the true winner of the race.

The election software company has taken full responsibility for the error. The County Clerk just wants to ensure voters their vote will always count.

“I want to be as transparent as I can possibly be to the citizens of Cherokee County. And, I don’t want them to ever have to think that there’s anything to worry about when it comes to elections in this office,” said Rebecca Brassart, Cherokee County Clerk.

The County Clerk is now going through all of the thumb drives to make sure all the other races in the county and at the state-level are correct.



DATE FILED: September 21, 2021 2:14 PM
FILING ID: 65613E8BEC885
CASE NUMBER: 2021CV30214

Mesa County Colorado Voting Systems

Report #1 with Forensic Examination and Analysis



Mark

Figure 8 - EMS Server (5.11-CO) Web Server Log Files Before





Figure 9 - EMS Server (5.13) Web Server Log Files After



Prior to the Dominion update, there were 240 log files in this folder. After the update, there are only 3 log files showing and 3 (partial) remnants of previously used log files in File Slack space.

The Key Objectives for this report were answered by this examination:

1. To determine whether D-Suite-implemented security requirements comply with the 2002 Voting System Standards (VSS)
 - a. Uncertified software was used on the system rendering the certification of the entire system and all elections conducted with it, Invalid.
 - b. Security protections required by law were almost completely absent
 - i. Other than a userID and an easily guessed or bypassed password, no authentication was required
 - ii. The firewall rule for access to the election database, ballots and results was unrestricted to any IP address in the world
 - iii. Together with the firewall rule, Microsoft SQL Server Management Studio (SSMS) enabled complete access to the entire election databases – not just to the 2020 election but to the elections of June 2019 through May 25, 2021.
 - iv. A self-signed encryption certificate was used introducing the potential for a man-in-the-middle attack
 - v. Thirty-five wireless devices (802.11, Wi-Fi) were installed inside election equipment and an additional wireless device was identified in a connected printer
 - vi. Any or all of these wireless devices could have connected to the Internet via the building wireless facilities
 - vii. “Purging” (deletion) of critical Audit Log data, as specified by DVS and directed by the Secretary of State⁷⁵, destroyed all records of connection to the Internet or elsewhere, all record of user activity, including programs run by these users, errors, and any record of the addition or deletion of votes and the alteration of election results.
 - c. **EACH of the compliance failures identified in 1.a. and 1.b. above are clear violations of the law.**




Dell OptiPlex 9030 Motherboard

Used by
Dominion Voting Systems
AT LEAST
in Mesa County, Colorado

Do YOU see the wireless card?







Mesa County Colorado Voting System

Report #2

Forensic Examination and Analysis Report

Mark

CONFIDENTIAL

The screenshot shows a Microsoft SQL Server environment. The Object Explorer on the left lists various databases, including '2020 Mesa County General-2020-09-05-00-10-20'. The main window displays a SQL query and its results. The query is:

```
USE [2020 Mesa County General-2020-09-05-00-10-20]
GO
DECLARE @return_value int
EXEC @return_value = [dbo].[sp_Contest]
    @contestId = 1
SELECT 'Return Value' : @return_value
GO
```

The Results pane shows the following data:

| choiceId | choiceName |
|----------|---|
| 2 | Joseph R Biden / Kamala D Hars |
| 1 | Donald J Trump / Michael R Pence |
| 3 | Don Blankenship / William Mohr |
| 4 | Bill Hammons / Eric Bodenstab |
| 5 | Howie Hawkins / Angela Nicole Walker |
| 6 | Blake Huber / Frank Atwood |
| 7 | Jo Jorgensen / Jeremy "Spike" Cohen |
| 8 | Brian Carroll / Anar Patel |
| 9 | Mark Charles / Adrian Wallace |
| 10 | Phil Collins / Billy Joe Parker |
| 11 | Roque "Rocky" De La Fuente / Darcy G. Richa |

The Return Value is 0.

Vendors Lie Right to Your Face

LIVE

Pause


FOX NEWS channel




MICHAEL STEEL | SPOKESPERSON, DOMINION VOTING SYS


DOMINION VOTING SYSTEMS RESPONDS TO PRESIDENT TRUMP'S CLAIMS OF FRAUD

PATRIOT AWARDS
TONIGHT 10PM ET

501.6K views IN WI AS THE RECOUNT GOES ON: A LAWYER... 0:08 / 0:33 CC [Speaker Icon] [Share Icon]







FREEMAN, CRAFT, MCGREGOR GROUP

Red Team Testing Report
Dominion Democracy Suite
4.14-A and
Dominion Democracy Suite
4.14.A.1 w/ Adjudication
2.4

Author:
Jacob Stauffer, CISSP
Freeman, Craft, McGregor Group

Contributors:
Louis Losee
Steve Weingart, CISA
atsec information security

November 18, 2014

Conclusions

While the 4.14.A.1 build of the Democracy Voting System proved to mitigate four previously enumerated vulnerabilities, multiple medium and high vulnerabilities still exist within the suite of systems. A remote user could leverage most of the vulnerabilities across the network to obtain information about the system, voter ballots and results, or gain remote access to the system.

If remote access is gained, recovery of user credentials through system memory (RAM) analysis could be executed and used to modify the backend database of the EMS Server.

November 18, 2014



Mesa County Colorado Voting Systems

Report #3 Election Database and Data Process Analysis



DEMOCRACY SUITE 4.14-A.1
MODIFICATION

DEMOCRACY SUITE 5.0

DEMOCRACY SUITE 5.5-A
(MODIFICATION)

DEMOCRACY SUITE 5.17

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

NTS Huntsville (formally Wyle Laboratories)

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Testing Lab

SLI Compliance, a Division of Gaming Laboratories International,

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Certification Date

06/16/14

Certification Date

02/08/17

Certification Date

01/19/19

Certification Date

03/16/23

SERVICES

Revolutionizing Global Gaming Certification



MarkCook



Testing

One of our most popular requests is for pre-compliance testing services. These services involve working collaboratively.



Certification

GLI provides independent testing and inspection of electronic gaming products.

VERITY VOTING 2.7

CLEARVOTE 2.3

OPENELECT V.1.3.0.2 MODIFICATION

EVS 6.3.0.0

Manufacturer

Hart InterCivic, Inc.

Manufacturer

Clear Ballot Group, Inc.

Manufacturer

Unisyn Voting Solutions (a division of International Lottery and Totalizator, Inc)

Manufacturer

Election Systems & Software, Inc (ES&S)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

SLI Compliance, a Division of Gaming Laboratories International, LLC

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Certification Date

06/07/22

Certification Date

10/31/22

Certification Date

03/28/17

Certification Date

11/11/22

What Audit?

Partial Manual Count

The Partial Manual Count (PMC) is a post-election audit of the electronic voting system used in an election to ensure the accuracy of the tabulation of the votes.⁴⁸⁹ The PMC involves a manual count of all the races in at least one percent of the election precincts or in three precincts (whichever is greater) in which the electronic voting system was used.⁴⁹⁰ The PMC must be conducted after every election that uses paper ballots that are counted with an electronic voting system. As a general rule, the general custodian of election records shall select the precincts at random.⁴⁹¹ However, in the general election for state and county officers, primary election, or an election involving a proposed amendment to the state constitution or other statewide measure submitted by the legislature, the Secretary of State shall select the precincts to be counted in the PMC.⁴⁹² For counties that participate in the Countywide Polling

Example: Kerr County TX

Sample Ballot

PRIMARY ELECTION
March 3, 2020
KERR COUNTY, TEXAS
Elección Primaria
03 de marzo de 2020
CONDADO DE KERR, TEXAS

Precinct 101
Precinto 101

Page 1 of 3
Página 1 de 3

REPUBLICAN PARTY
PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

Please use a black or blue ink pen to mark your ballot. To vote for your choice in each contest, completely fill in the box next to your choice.

Favor de usar un bolígrafo de tinta negra o azul para marcar su boleta. Para votar por su selección en cada contienda, rellene completamente la casilla junto a su selección.

"I am a Republican and understand that I am ineligible to vote or participate in another political party's primary election or convention during this voting year."
"Yo soy Republicano y comprendo soy inelegible para votar o participar en la elección primaria o la convención de algún otro partido político durante este año electoral."

Preference for Presidential Nominee
You may vote for one presidential candidate whose name appears on the ballot by choosing the option to the left of the name of your choice or you may vote as uncommitted by choosing the option to the left of the choice "Uncommitted."
Make only one choice.
Preferencia para un Candidato Nominado para Presidente
Usted puede votar por un candidato para presidente cuyo nombre aparece en la boleta escogiendo la opción al lado izquierdo del nombre que usted elija o usted puede votar como votante no comprometido, escogiendo la opción al lado izquierdo de las palabras "no comprometido." Haga solamente una selección.

President
Presidente

Roque "Rocky" De La Fuente Guerra

Joe Walsh

Bob Ely

Donald J. Trump

Matthew John Matern

Bill Weld

Zoltan G. Istvan

Uncommitted

United States Senator
Senador de los Estados Unidos

Mark Yancey

John Cornyn

Dwayne Stovall

Virgil Bierschwale

John Anthony Castro

United States Representative, District No. 21
Representante de los Estados Unidos, Distrito Núm. 21

Chip Roy

Railroad Commissioner
Comisionado de Ferrocarriles

Ryan Sitton

James "Jim" Wright

Chief Justice, Supreme Court
Juez Presidente, Corte Suprema

Nathan Hecht

Justice, Supreme Court, Place 6
Unexpired Term
Juez, Corte Suprema, Lugar Núm. 6

Jane Bland

Justice, Supreme Court, Place 7
Juez, Corte Suprema, Lugar Núm. 7

Jeff Boyd

Justice, Supreme Court, Place 8
Juez, Corte Suprema, Lugar Núm. 8

Brett Busby

Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals, Place 3
Juez, Corte de Apelaciones Criminales, Lugar Núm. 3

Gina Parker

Bert Richardson

Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals, Place 4
Juez, Corte de Apelaciones Criminales, Lugar Núm. 4

Kevin Patrick Yeary

Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals, Place 9
Juez, Corte de Apelaciones Criminales, Lugar Núm. 9

David Newell

Member, State Board of Education, District 5
Miembro de la Junta Estatal de Educación Pública, Distrito Núm. 5

Inga Cotton

Lani Popp

Robert Morrow

State Senator, District 24
Senador Estatal, Distrito Núm. 24

Dawn Buckingham

State Representative, District 53
Representante Estatal, Distrito Núm. 53

Andrew S. Murr

Read Both Sides of the Ballot / Lea Ambos Lados de la Boleta

Sample Ballot

PRIMARY ELECTION
March 3, 2020
KERR COUNTY, TEXAS
Elección Primaria
03 de marzo de 2020
CONDADO DE KERR, TEXAS

Precinct 101
Precinto 101

Page 2 of 3
Página 2 de 3

REPUBLICAN PARTY
PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

Chief Justice, 4th Court of Appeals District
Juez Presidente, Corte de Apelaciones, Distrito Núm. 4

Renee Yanta

District Judge, 216th Judicial District
Juez del Distrito, Distrito Judicial Núm. 216

Albert D. "Pat" Pattillo III

District Attorney, 198th Judicial District
Procurador del Distrito, Distrito Judicial Núm. 198

Stephen Harpold

District Attorney, 216th Judicial District
Procurador del Distrito, Distrito Judicial Núm. 216

Lucy Wilke

County Attorney
Procurador del Condado

Heather Stebbins

County Clerk, Unexpired Term
Secretario del Condado, Duración Restante del Cargo

Jackie "JD" Dowdy

Sheriff
Sherife

Mitch Lambdin

Larry Leitha

Tommy Eddie Hill

Carol Twiss

Elias Romeriz Garcia

County Tax Assessor-Collector
Asesor-Collecter de Impuesto del Condado

Lonnell Hanks

Bob Reeves

County Commissioner, Precinct 1
Comisionado del Condado, Precinto Núm. 1

Harley David Belew

Constable, Precinct 1
Condestable, Precinto Núm. 1

Tommy Rodriguez

County Chairman
Presidente del Condado

John Elliott

2020 Republican Primary Ballot Propositions
Propuestas de Votación de las Elecciones Primarias Republicanas de 2020

Proposition 1
Proposición 1

Texas should not restrict or prohibit prayer in public schools.
El Estado de Texas no debe restringir ni prohibir, el que se pueda orar en las escuelas públicas.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 2
Proposición 2

Texas should reject restrictions on the right to keep and bear arms.
El Estado de Texas debe rechazar las restricciones al derecho a tener y portar armas.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 3
Proposición 3

Texas should ban the practice of taxpayer-funded lobbying, which allows your tax dollars to be spent on lobbyists who work against the taxpayer.
El Estado de Texas debe prohibir la practica del cabildeo financiado por los contribuyentes, que permite que el dinero generado de los impuestos del contribuyente se utilice en contra del mismo contribuyente por la practica del cabildeo.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 4
Proposición 4

Texas should support the construction of a physical barrier and use existing defense-grade surveillance equipment along the entire southern border of Texas.
El Estado de Texas debe apoyar la construcción de una barrera física y utilizar, equipo de vigilancia de defensa militar de alta tecnología a lo largo de toda la frontera sur de Texas.

Yes / Si

No / No

Read Both Sides of the Ballot / Lea Ambos Lados de la Boleta

Sample Ballot

PRIMARY ELECTION
March 3, 2020
KERR COUNTY, TEXAS
Elección Primaria
03 de marzo de 2020
CONDADO DE KERR, TEXAS

Precinct 101
Precinto 101

Page 3 of 3
Página 3 de 3

REPUBLICAN PARTY
PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

Proposition 5
Proposición 5

Texas parents or legal guardians of public school children under the age of 18 should be the sole decision makers for all their children's healthcare decisions including, but not limited to, psychological assessment and treatment, contraception, and sex education.
Los padres o tutores legales de menores de 18 años en las escuelas de Texas, deben ser los únicos responsables de tomar decisiones concernientes a la salud de sus hijos, incluyendo sin limitación a toma de decisiones para evaluaciones psicológicas, administración de tratamientos anticonceptivos y a recibir educación sexual.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 6
Proposición 6

Texas should ban chemical castration, puberty blockers, cross-sex hormones, and genital mutilation surgery on all minor children for transition purposes, given that Texas children as young as three (3) are being transitioned from their biological sex to the opposite sex.
El Estado de Texas debe prohibir la castración química, los tratamientos hormonales para detener la pubertad, la administración de hormonas sexuales del sexo opuesto y la cirugía de mutilación genital con fines de transición sexual en todos los niños menores de edad, dado que, hay niños de Texas de tan solo tres años de edad, que han sido expuestos a estas practicas de transición al sexo opuesto.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 7
Proposición 7

Texas should protect and preserve all historical monuments, artifacts, and buildings, such as the Alamo Cenotaph and our beloved Alamo, and should oppose any reimagining of the Alamo site.
Los Tejanos deben proteger y preservar todos los monumentos históricos, reliquias y edificios, como el Alamo Cenotaph y nuestro querido Alamo y deben oponerse a cualquier cambio de imagen que se le quiera hacer a el Alamo.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 8
Proposición 8

Texas election officials should heed the directives of the Office of the Governor to purge illegal voters from the voter rolls and verify that each new registered voter is a U.S. Citizen.
Los funcionarios electorales de Texas deben prestar atención a las instrucciones de la Oficina del Gobernador para eliminar del padrón electoral a personas ilegales y verificar que cada nuevo votante que se registre en el padrón electoral sea ciudadano estadounidense.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 9
Proposición 9

Ball in Texas should be based only on a person's danger to society and risk of flight, not that person's ability to pay.
Las fianzas en el estado de Texas se deben fijar según el peligro que la persona represente para la sociedad y en la probabilidad de que la persona se de a la fuga y no, en la capacidad de pago de esta persona.

Yes / Si

No / No

Proposition 10
Proposición 10

Texas should limit our state legislators' terms to 12 years.
Texas debería limitar gestión de nuestros legisladores estatales a 12 años.

Yes / Si

No / No

Read Both Sides of the Ballot / Lea Ambos Lados de la Boleta

2020 Ballot
35 Races

2/35 races = 5.7%

5% of 5.7% =
0.28% **AUDIT!**

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | | | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | | | |

BOOK

MANA

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | |

BOOK



SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

2018 General Election Night Reporting



SHERRIE SWENSEN | Salt Lake County Clerk

RESULTS

MY FAVORITE RACES (0)

TURNOUT

FEDERAL

STATE

COUNTY

SCHOOL BOARD

JUDICIAL

QUESTIONS

LOCAL QUESTIONS

STRAIGHT PARTY

PRECINCTS REPORTING

Official Final Election Results

HOME > RESULTS

Last updated 11/20/2018 5:28:47 PM MST

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

FEDERAL

| ★ U.S. SENATE | | View Contest Detail | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Precincts Reporting: 100% | | Percentage | Votes |
| REP | MITT ROMNEY | 49.90% | 207,719 |
| DEM | JENNY WILSON | 44.89% | 186,856 |
| LIB | CRAIG R. BOWDEN | 2.37% | 9,854 |
| CON | TIM AALDERS | 1.62% | 6,759 |
| IAP | REED C. MCCANDLESS | 1.02% | 4,265 |
| | WRITE-IN | 0.18% | 746 |
| | CALEB DAN REEVE | 0.01% | 27 |

Latest News

Precincts Reporting

Completely Reported Not Reporting Partially Reported





SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

2018 General Election Night Reporting



SHERRIE SWENSEN | Salt Lake County Clerk

- RESULTS
- MY FAVORITE RACES (0)
- TURNOUT
- FEDERAL
- STATE
- COUNTY
- SCHOOL BOARD
- JUDICIAL
- QUESTIONS
- LOCAL QUESTIONS
- STRAIGHT PARTY
- PRECINCTS REPORTING

Official Final Election Results

HOME > RESULTS

Last updated 11/20/2018 5:28:47 PM MST

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

Latest News



FEDERAL

| U.S. SENATE | | Percentage | Votes |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------|---------|
| Precincts Reporting: 100% | | | |
| REP | MITT ROMNEY | 49.90% | 207,719 |
| DEM | JENNY WILSON | 44.89% | 186,856 |
| LIB | CRAIG R. BOWDEN | 2.37% | 9,854 |
| CON | TIM AALDERS | 1.62% | 6,759 |
| IAP | REED C. MCCANDLESS | 1.02% | 4,265 |
| | WRITE-IN | 0.18% | 746 |
| | CALEB DAN REEVE | 0.01% | 27 |

- Save Page As...
- Save Page to Pocket
- Select All
- Take Screenshot
- View Page Source
- Inspect Accessibility Properties
- Inspect (Q)
- Foxit PDF Creator





```
1 <!DOCTYPE html><html lang="en" ng-app="enr.web02" ng-jq ngsf-fullscreen><head><meta charset="utf-8"><meta http-equiv="X-UA-Compatible" content="IE=edge"><meta name="viewport" content="width=device-
2 width,initial-scale=1,minimum-scale=1,maximum-scale=1,user-scalable=no"><!-- Start SmartBanner configuration --><!--
3 <meta name="smartbanner:title" content="Election Night Results">
4 <meta name="smartbanner:author" content="Scyt1 US">
5 <meta name="smartbanner:price" content="FREE">
6 <meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-apple" content=" - On the App Store">
7 <meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content=" - In Google Play">
8 <meta name="smartbanner:icon-apple" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
9 <meta name="smartbanner:icon-google" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
10 <meta name="smartbanner:button" content="VIEW">
11 <meta name="smartbanner:button-url-apple" content="https://ios/application-url">
12 <meta name="smartbanner:button-url-google" content="https://android/application-url">
13 <meta name="smartbanner:enabled-platforms" content="android,ios">
--><!-- End SmartBanner Configuration --><title>Election Night Reporting</title><meta name="keywords" content="Election Night Reporting, ENR, scyt1, republican election results, democrat election
results, republican election night results, democrat election night results, election map, election results map, live election results, real time election results, voting results, registered voters,
Georgia, Appling,"><meta name="description" content="Election Night Reporting"><meta name="subject" content="Election Night Reporting"><meta name="copyright" content="Scyt1"><meta name="language"
content="EN, ES"><meta name="robots" content="index, follow"><meta name="revised" content="Sunday, July 8th, 2018, 9:27 pm"><meta name="abstract" content=""><meta name="topic" content=""><meta
name="summary" content=""><meta name="Classification" content="Elections"><meta name="author" content="scyt1, contact@scyt1.com"><meta name="reply-to" content="contact@scyt1.com"><meta name="url"
content="http://results.enr.clarityelections.com/"><meta name="directory" content="submission"><meta name="category" content="Elections"><meta name="coverage" content="Worldwide"><meta name="distributi
content="Global"><meta name="rating" content="General"><meta http-equiv="Expires" content="0"><meta http-equiv="Pragma" content="no-cache"><meta http-equiv="Cache-Control" content="no-cache"><meta
property="og:title" content="Election Night Reporting"><meta property="og:description" content="Election Night Reporting."><meta property="og:image" content="https://www.scyt1.com/wp-content/themes/scy
/lib/img/Scyt1-logo.png"><meta property="og:url" content="index.html"><!-- CSS --><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="css/soccss.css"><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css"
href="css/enr.min.css"><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="css/print.css"><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="css/animate.css"><style type="text/css">body.dragging,
14 body.dragging * {
15     cursor: move !important;
16 }
17
18 .dragged {
19     position: absolute;
20     opacity: 0.5;
21     z-index: 2000;
22 }
23
24 .sidebar-list li.placeholder {
25     position: relative;
26     height: 5px;
27     background: red;
28     width: 100%;
29     z-index: 1;
30 }
31
32 .sidebar-list li.placeholder:before {
33     position: absolute;
```

MIAMI COUNTY, KS



Primary Election August 2, 2022

RESULTS

MY FAVORITE RACES ★ (0)

REPORTING

TURNOUT

Federal 4

State 22

County 8

Township 26

Precinct 216

Constitutional Amendment 1

Official Final Results

RESULTS

RESULTS

Last Updated

Friday, August 19, 2022, 10:45:15 AM (1 year ago)

★ Federal

★ DEM US Senate (Vote For 1)

Precincts Reporting 100%

| | Percentage | Votes |
|--------------------|------------|-------|
| DEM Mike Andra | 2.60% | 65 |
| DEM Paul Buskirk | 22.87% | 572 |
| DEM Mark R Holland | 33.19% | 830 |

PRECINCTS REPORTING

Donut Pie Bar



PRECINCTS REPORTING 54/54

SEE PRECINCTS REPORTING →



view-source:https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/KS/Miami/115291/web.285569/#/summar

```
1 <!DOCTYPE html>
2 <html lang="en">
3 <head>
4 <meta charset="utf-8" />
5 <title>Election Night Reporting</title>
6 <base href="https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/KS/Miami/115291/web.285569/" />
7 <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1.0" />
8 <link rel="icon" type="image/x-icon" href="favicon.ico" />
9 <link rel="manifest" href="manifest.json" />
10 <meta name="theme-color" content="#1976d2" />
11 <link rel="stylesheet" href="styles.4c87e62bc9ba37b232cb.css" />
12 </head>
13 <body>
14 <enr-root>
15 <div class="d-flex justify-content-center align-content-center my-5">
16 <div class="spinner-border" role="status">
17 <span class="sr-only">Loading...</span>
18 </div>
19 </div>
20 </enr-root>
21 <noscript>
22 Please enable JavaScript to continue using this application.
23 </noscript>
24 <script src="runtime.b4a99da8363c9cbebece.js" defer=""></script>
25 <script src="polyfills-es5.e5cbb391e424859408d5.js" nomodule="" defer=""></script>
26 <script src="polyfills.310cc94ebecdab0c0a7f.js" defer=""></script>
27 <script src="main.1348f29b4b4c32b9e54b.js" defer=""></script>
28 <!--
29 enr-web (3.22.3 - Fri May 20 2022 13:22:43 GMT-0400 (Eastern Daylight Time))
30 -->
31 </body>
32 </html>
```

EVERY VOTE MATTERS.
EVERY VOTECOUNTS.

2020 Statewide General Election

Election Night Reporting

SOUTH CAROLINA
ELECTION COMMISSION

RESULTS

SELECT COUNTY

MY FAVORITE RACES (0)

TURNOUT

Official Results

HOME > RESULTS

Last updated 6/30/2021 8:42:40 AM EDT

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

RESULTS

| ★ President and Vice President (Vote For 1) | | View Contest Detail | |
|---|---|---------------------|------------------|
| Counties Reporting: 100 % | | Percentage | Votes |
| GRN | GRN Howie Hawkins Angela Walker | 0.27% | 6,907 |
| REP | REP Donald J Trump Michael R Pence | 55.11% | 1,385,103 |
| ALN | ALN Roque Rocky De La Fuente Darcy G Richardson | 0.07% | 1,862 |
| LIB | LIB Jo Jorgensen Jeremy Spike Cohen | 1.11% | 27,916 |
| DEM | DEM Joseph R Biden Kamala D Harris | 43.43% | 1,091,541 |
| | | | 2,513,329 |

Counties Reporting

Completely Reported Not Reporting Partially Reported



COUNTIES REPORTING 46/46
PRECINCTS REPORTING 2262/2262

EVERY VOTE MATTERS. EVERY VOTE COUNTS.

2020 Statewide General Election

Election Night Reporting

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTION COMMISSION

RESULTS

SELECT COUNTY

MY FAVORITE RACES (0)

TURNOUT

Official Results

HOME - RESULTS

Last updated 6/30/2021 8:42:40 AM EDT

RESULTS

★ President and Vice President (Vote For 1)

Counties Reporting: 100 %

- GRN** GRN Howie Hawkins | Angela Walker
- REP** REP Donald J Trump | Michael R Pence
- ALN** ALN Roque Rocky De La Fuente | Darcy G Richardson
- LIB** LIB Jo Jorgensen | Jeremy Spike Cohen
- DEM** DEM Joseph R Biden | Kamala D Harris

- ← Back (Alt+Left arrow)
- Forward (Alt+Right arrow)
- ↻ Refresh (Ctrl+R)
- 📄 Save as (Ctrl+S)
- 🖨️ Print (Ctrl+P)
- 📱 Cast media to device
- 📱 Send to your devices >
- 📄 Create QR Code for this page
- 🗣️ Read aloud (Ctrl+Shift+U)
- 🗣️ Translate to English
- 📁 Add page to Collections >
- 🔗 Share
- 🌐 Web select (Ctrl+Shift+X)
- 📄 Web capture (Ctrl+Shift+S)
- 👁️ View page source (Ctrl+U)**
- 🔍 Inspect

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

| | Percentage | Votes | View Contest Detail |
|--------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|
| GRN | 0.27% | 6,907 | |
| REP | 55.11% | 1,385,103 | |
| ALN | 0.07% | 1,862 | |
| LIB | 1.11% | 27,916 | |
| DEM | 43.43% | 1,091,541 | |
| TOTAL | | 2,513,329 | |

Counties Reporting

■ Completely Reported
 ■ Not Reporting

■ Partially Reported



COUNTIES REPORTING 46/46

PRECINCTS REPORTING 2262/2262

view-source:https://www.enr-scvotes.org/SC/106502/Web02-state.264691/#/?undefined

Line wrap

```
1 <!DOCTYPE html><html lang="en" ng-app="enr.web02" ngsf-fullscreen><head><meta charset="utf-8"><meta http-equiv="X-UA-Compatible" content="IE=edge"><meta name="viewport"
2 <meta name="smartbanner:title" content="Election Night Results">
3 <meta name="smartbanner:author" content="Scytl US">
4 <meta name="smartbanner:price" content="FREE">
5 <meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-apple" content=" - On the App Store">
6 <meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content=" - In Google Play">
7 <meta name="smartbanner:icon-apple" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
8 <meta name="smartbanner:icon-google" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
9 <meta name="smartbanner:button" content="VIEW">
10 <meta name="smartbanner:button-url-apple" content="https://ios/application-url">
11 <meta name="smartbanner:button-url-google" content="https://android/application-url">
12 <meta name="smartbanner:enabled-platforms" content="android,ios">
13 --><!-- End SmartBanner configuration --><title>Election Night Reporting</title><meta name="keywords" content="Election Night Reporting, ENR, scytl, republican e
14     body.dragging * {
15         cursor: move !important;
16     }
17     .dragged {
18         position: absolute;
19         opacity: 0.5;
20         z-index: 2000;
21     }
22     #sidebar-list li.placeholder {
23         position: relative;
```

Utah Election Preliminary Results

Elections

- Statewide Federal
- Congressional
- Statewide Offices
- State Senate
- State House
- Board of Education
- County
- [Search By Name](#)
- [My Races](#)



County Completion Report

The majority of counties in Utah now vote by mail. Because any batch of ballots could include voters from multiple precincts, it is no longer helpful to track the number of precincts reporting to know when all votes have been counted.

This page will indicate when each county has completed counting ballots on election night and each subsequent day when ballots are counted and results are released. For additional information on results displayed on this website please [click here](#).

| County | Status | Last Updated |
|-----------|---|--------------|
| Beaver | County Canvass Complete - Results Final | 09/20/2023 |
| Box Elder | | |

Uvalde, TX



In 15 minutes, The number of votes went DOWN!

-1,375

-1,131

-36,069

IMPOSSIBLE!

Uvalde, TX

Uvalde County
71.20% Est. Vote Counted
November 8, 2022
*Incumbent

| Candidates | Total Votes | % Vote |
|----------------|-------------|--------|
| Gonzales (R) * | 2,897 | 44.9% |
| Lira (D) | 1,775 | 27.5% |
| Lopez (I) | 1,775 | 27.5% |

Tuesday November 8, 8:23 PM

What are the chances of two candidates having the EXACT same number of votes?

Bexar, TX

Bexar Count November 8, 2022
75% Est. Vote Cou *Incumbent

| Candidates | Votes | % Vote |
|----------------|--------|--------|
| Gonzales (R) * | 46,440 | 38.5% |
| Lira (D) | 37,022 | 30.7% |
| Lopez (I) | 37,022 | 30.7% |

Tuesday November 8, 8:23 PM

What are the chances of two candidates having the EXACT same number of votes in a nearby county at the same time?

Zavala, TX

Zavala County

November 8, 2022

63,105 Est. Vote Counted

*Incumbent

Candidates

Total Votes

% Vote

Lira (D)

808

39.9%

Lopez (I)

808

39.9%


Gonzales (R) *

409

20.2%

What are the chances of two candidates having the EXACT same number of votes in a THIRD nearby county at the same time?


Crane, TX



How about a
FOURTH
County?

**WHAT ARE THE
CHANCES?**

Loving, TX



Shall we make
it a fifth?

WHAT ARE THE
CHANCES?

Sutton, TX



Let's go for
SIX!

WHAT ARE THE
CHANCES?

Reagan, TX



Just kidding,
SEVEN!!!

**WHAT ARE THE
CHANCES?**

Brewster, TX

Brewster County November 8, 2022
85.13% Est. Vote Counted

| Candidates | Total Votes | % Vote |
|----------------|-------------|--------|
| Gonzales (R) * | 1,340 | 37.3% |
| Lira (D) | 1,125 | 31.3% |
| Lopez (I) | 1,125 | 31.3% |

*Incumbent

Are you getting it yet?

WHAT ARE THE CHANCES?

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37
Page:1 of 5



Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Provisional Ballots Issued | 341 |
| Provisional Ballots Qualified | 272 |
| Provisional Ballots Disqualified | 68 |
| Percentage Counted | 79.7% |

Reasons for Disqualification:

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| not registered | 57 |
| Incomplete form | 7 |
| already voted | 1 |
| moved to another county | 3 |

Election Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Registered Voters | 24,029 |
| Ballots Cast | 21038 |
| Percentage Counted | 87.50% |

By-Mail Disqualified

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Post-marked after Deadline | 87 |
| Unsigned Affidavit | 93 |
| signature didn't match | 143 |
| signature tab tore off | 15 |
| Signature not on file | 9 |
| spoiled | 11 |
| primary ballot | 6 |
| moved to different county | 37 |
| Not in envelope | 4 |

Total 358

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37
Page:1 of 5



Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Provisional Ballots Issued | 341 |
| Provisional Ballots Qualified | 272 |
| Provisional Ballots Disqualified | 68 |
| Percentage Counted | 79.7% |

Reasons for Disqualification:

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| not registered | 57 |
| Incomplete form | 7 |
| already voted | 1 |
| moved to another county | 3 |

Election Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Registered Voters | 24,029 |
| Ballots Cast | 21038 |
| Percentage Counted | 87.50% |

By-Mail Disqualified

| | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Post-marked after Deadline | 87 |
| Unsigned Affidavit | 93 |
| signature didn't match | 143 |
| signature tab tore off | 15 |
| Signature not on file | 9 |
| spoiled | 11 |
| primary ballot | 6 |
| moved to different county | 37 |
| Not in envelope | 4 |
| Total | 358 |

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37
Page:1 of 5



Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Provisional Ballots Issued | 341 |
| Provisional Ballots Qualified | 272 |
| Provisional Ballots Disqualified | 68 |
| Percentage Counted | 79.7% |

$$272/341 = 79.76\%$$

Reasons for Disqualification:

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| not registered | 57 |
| Incomplete form | 7 |
| already voted | 1 |
| moved to another county | 3 |

Election Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Registered Voters | 24,029 |
| Ballots Cast | 21038 |
| Percentage Counted | 87.50% |

By-Mail Disqualified

| | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Post-marked after Deadline | 87 |
| Unsigned Affidavit | 93 |
| signature didn't match | 143 |
| signature tab tore off | 15 |
| Signature not on file | 9 |
| spoiled | 11 |
| primary ballot | 6 |
| moved to different county | 37 |
| Not in envelope | 4 |
| Total | 358 |

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37
Page:1 of 5



Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Provisional Ballots Issued | 341 |
| Provisional Ballots Qualified | 272 |
| Provisional Ballots Disqualified | 68 |
| Percentage Counted | 79.7% |

$$272/341 = 79.76\%$$

Reasons for Disqualification:

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| not registered | 57 |
| Incomplete form | 7 |
| already voted | 1 |
| moved to another county | 3 |

Election Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Registered Voters | 24,029 |
| Ballots Cast | 21038 |
| Percentage Counted | 87.50% |

By-Mail Disqualified

| | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Post-marked after Deadline | 87 |
| Unsigned Affidavit | 93 |
| signature didn't match | 143 |
| signature tab tore off | 15 |
| Signature not on file | 9 |
| spoiled | 11 |
| primary ballot | 6 |
| moved to different county | 37 |
| Not in envelope | 4 |
| Total | 358 |

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37
Page:1 of 5



Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Provisional Ballots Issued | 341 |
| Provisional Ballots Qualified | 272 |
| Provisional Ballots Disqualified | 68 |
| Percentage Counted | 79.7% |

$$272/341 = 79.76\%$$

Reasons for Disqualification:

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| not registered | 57 |
| Incomplete form | 7 |
| already voted | 1 |
| moved to another county | 3 |

Election Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Registered Voters | 24,029 |
| Ballots Cast | 21038 |
| Percentage Counted | 87.50% |

$$21038/24029 = 87.55\%$$

By-Mail Disqualified

| | |
|----------------------------|-----|
| Post-marked after Deadline | 87 |
| Unsigned Affidavit | 93 |
| signature didn't match | 143 |
| signature tab tore off | 15 |
| Signature not on file | 9 |
| spoiled | 11 |
| primary ballot | 6 |
| moved to different county | 37 |
| Not in envelope | 4 |

Total 358

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37
Page:1 of 5



Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Provisional Ballots Issued | 341 |
| Provisional Ballots Qualified | 272 |
| Provisional Ballots Disqualified | 68 |
| Percentage Counted | 79.7% |

$$272/341 = 79.76\%$$

Reasons for Disqualification:

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| not registered | 57 |
| Incomplete form | 7 |
| already voted | 1 |
| moved to another county | 3 |

Election Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Registered Voters | 24,029 |
| Ballots Cast | 21038 |
| Percentage Counted | 87.50% |

$$21038/24029 = 87.55\%$$

By-Mail Disqualified

| | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Post-marked after Deadline | 87 |
| Unsigned Affidavit | 93 |
| signature didn't match | 143 |
| signature tab tore off | 15 |
| Signature not on file | 9 |
| spoiled | 11 |
| primary ballot | 6 |
| moved to different county | 37 |
| Not in envelope | 4 |
| Total | 358 |

Election Summary Report
 2016 Summit County General Election
 Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
 Official Results

Date: 11/21/16
 Time: 15:23:37
 Page: 1 of 5



Provisional Ballots

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------|
| Provisional Ballots Issued | 341 |
| Provisional Ballots Qualified | 272 |
| Provisional Ballots Disqualified | 68 |
| Percentage Counted | 79.7% |

$$272/341 = 79.76\%$$

Reasons for Disqualification:

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| not registered | 57 |
| Incomplete form | 7 |
| already voted | 1 |
| moved to another county | 3 |

Election Summary

| | |
|--------------------|--------|
| Registered Voters | 24,029 |
| Ballots Cast | 21038 |
| Percentage Counted | 87.50% |

$$21038/24029 = 87.55\%$$

By-Mail Disqualified

| | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Post-marked after Deadline | 87 |
| Unsigned Affidavit | 93 |
| signature didn't match | 143 |
| signature tab tore off | 15 |
| Signature not on file | 9 |
| spoiled | 11 |
| primary ballot | 6 |
| moved to different county | 37 |
| Not in envelope | 4 |
| Total | 358 |

$$87+93+143+15+9+11+6+37+4 = 405$$

**Summit County Utah
Presidential Primary
March 3, 2020**

STATISTICS

| | TOTAL |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Election Day Precincts Reporting | 50 of 51 |
| Registered Voters - Total | 24,694 |
| Registered Voters - DEMOCRATIC | 6,211 |
| Registered Voters - REPUBLICAN | 8,354 |
| Ballots Cast - Total | 10,984 |
| Ballots Cast - DEMOCRATIC | 6,942 |
| Ballots Cast - REPUBLICAN | 4,042 |
| Voter Turnout - Total | 44.48% |
| Voter Turnout - DEMOCRATIC | 111.77% |
| Voter Turnout - REPUBLICAN | 48.38% |

OFFICIAL RESULTS

Election Summary Report

Where Georgia's Hand Recount Differed From the Initial Tally, by County

By Josh Holder and Amy Schoenfeld Walker Nov. 19, 2020

| | INITIAL COUNT | AUDIT COUNT | DIFFERENCE |
|--|---------------|--------------------|------------|
|  Joseph R. Biden Jr | 2,473,383 | 2,475,141 | +1,758 |
|  Donald J. Trump | 2,459,825 | 2,462,857 | +3,032 |
| Margin | Biden +0.27 | Biden +0.25 | |

On Thursday, Georgia's 159 counties [finalized a hand recount](#), technically an audit, of the five million ballots cast in the election, reaffirming Joseph R. Biden Jr.'s victory but reducing his lead by more than 1,200 votes.

Georgia's secretary of state, Brad Raffensperger, a Republican, had [ordered the manual audit](#) as part of a new state law to ensure the accuracy of voting machines by comparing paper ballot counts with machine tallies. This was not an official recount, though the Trump campaign can choose to request [one](#) after the state's results are certified this week, as the vote margin between Mr. Biden and President Trump is below 0.5 percentage points.

In many counties, officials conducting the audit found [zero or single-digit differences](#) in their counts, and they will not change their original results for the state certification process. Yet at least four counties — Douglas, Fayette, Floyd and Walton — discovered missed ballots and will add these to their original counts.

In Douglas, Fayette and Walton Counties, the counting of paper ballots revealed that election workers had undercounted votes after missing memory cards, which held machine-cast votes. New voting machines adopted by the state in 2019 allow voters to use touchscreens to print ballots. The voters then verify the ballots and formally cast them by scanning, resulting in a paper record and a machine tally.

Floyd County officials discovered about 2,400 ballots that election workers neglected to rescan after a scanner failed and results could not be retrieved from memory cards. Officials attributed the error to "gross incompetence" on the part of the county's elections director.

Despite the errors in these four counties, election officials have said that there are [no signs of voter fraud](#) and that the new system is working as intended.

"These people are operating in it under the highest level of stress, in the most contentious election in their work life in the United States and in Georgia. So for the most part they are doing a really good job on this," said Gabriel Sterling, Georgia's statewide voting system implementation manager.

Discrepancies of over 100 Votes

| | | | |
|-----------|---------|---------|-------------|
| DeKalb | 308,769 | 58,438 | D+560 votes |
| Cobb | 221,816 | 165,114 | D+315 votes |
| Dougherty | 24,656 | 10,412 | D+117 votes |
| Henry | 73,359 | 48,153 | D+117 votes |
| Floyd | 11,853 | 28,687 | R+686 votes |
| Fulton | 381,179 | 137,620 | R+345 votes |
| Gwinnett | 242,490 | 167,361 | R+285 votes |
| Rockdale | 31,120 | 13,129 | R+241 votes |
| Clayton | 95,232 | 15,714 | R+145 votes |

An Honest Election

Allow the Citizens to vote

Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote


Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots



Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote

Collect the ballots

Count the totals to see what the real result is

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots

Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots

Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed
Add votes by filling in under-votes

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots

Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed
Add votes by filling in under-votes
Insert extra ballots to add votes

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots

Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed

Add votes by filling in under-votes

Insert extra ballots to add votes

and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

Carbon County, MT – Nov 8, 2022



Carbon County, MT

11/8/22 2045 Election night. Carbon County MT Election Administrator Crystal Roascio shredding in county commissioners waiting area.



How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots

Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed

Add votes by filling in under-votes

Insert extra ballots to add votes

and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote

Collect the ballots
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote

Monitor who votes



Collect the ballots
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

Utah Mail Ballot Tracker



LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR DEIDRE M. HENDERSON WELCOMES YOU TO

Vote.Utah.gov



Track my mail or provisional ballot

This tool displays tracking information for by mail ballots or provisional ballots. It does not display information if you voted in-person on a voting machine at a polling location.

Enter your personal information and address below:

First Name

Last Name

Date of Birth

MM/DD/YYYY

Street Address

City

Zip

SUBMIT

Kansas

Kansans: Track your mail-in vote from start to finish

October 2, 2020 • 1 Comment • by The Leaven

KANSAS CITY, Kan. — Because of the pandemic, more Kansans than ever are expected to be voting by mail this coming election.

But for those worried about the process, the state is offering something new. Voters can track the progress of their vote online.

Advance voting by mail is nothing new for Kansas voters; they've been doing it since 1996. Nor do voters need an excuse to receive a vote-by-mail ballot.



Election Details

11/03/2020 2020 General Election

Advance Ballot



Ballot Sent
10/14/2020



Ballot Returned



Ballot Status

Oregon

https://sos.oregon.gov/voting-elections/Pages/default.aspx

☆ 📧 ⬇️ 🔍 Search

All state offices are closed **Monday, May 27** for Memorial Day.

close (X)

[Meet the Secretary](#) [About Us](#) [Work with Us](#) [Media Resources](#) [Public Records Request](#) [Financial Transparency](#) [Contact Us](#)

📶 Stay Connected



Oregon Secretary of State
LaVonne Griffin-Valade

Home

Business

Voting & Elections

State Archives

Audits

Search for...



Voting & Elections

May 21, 2024, Primary Election

[Get more information on the Current Election page.](#)



Register to Vote



Check Your Info or Track Your Ballot with My Vote



Learn About Voting in Oregon

Texas Ballot Mail Tracker

TEXAS SECRETARY OF STATE



BALLOT BY MAIL TRACKER
TEXAS ELECTIONET ADMINISTRATION SYSTEM

[← Back to My Voter Portal](#)

[English](#) [En Español](#)

Ballot by Mail Tracker

To track the status of your ballot by mail application or ballot, please enter your voter information below. Fields marked with an * are statutorily required.

Voter Information

| | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| First Name* | Last Name* |
| Suffix | Date of Birth (mm/dd/yyyy) |
| Last 4 digits of SSN* | Driver's License # / DPS ... |

Residential Address

| | |
|----------------|-----------------|
| County* | Address Number* |
| Pre Direction | Street Name* |
| Post Direction | Street Type |
| Street Line 2 | |
| City* | Zip Code* |

If you are having issues accessing this tracker, please visit [Am I Registered](#) to view the information as listed in your voter registration record or contact your [county voter registrar](#).



WELCOME TO CIVIX

Technology and Expertise to Transform the Public Sector

We provide software and services to help public sector organizations deliver on their missions.

[Discover Our Solutions](#) →

[Airport Management](#)

[Grants Management](#)

[Lease Management](#)

[Right of Way Mgmt.](#)

[Transit Operations](#)

[Business Services](#)

[Community Planning](#)

[Elections Management](#) ▶

[Environmental Data Mgmt.](#)

[Ethics Administration](#)

ELECTION ADMINISTRATION

Software that enables safe, secure, accessible, and transparent elections.

[Explore Our Platform](#)



VOTE



TRACK MY BALLOT

Track My Ballot

Request An Absentee Ballot

View My Absentee Request

Need Assistance?

Find your municipal clerk by searching for your name or address

[Find My Clerk](#)

Next Statewide Election

SEARCH BY NAME

*=Required Field

Search for My Absentee

If you have an active absentee request, you can check the status by entering your full name and date of birth

- Has my ballot request been received or processed
- Was my ballot sent
- Was my returned ballot received
- Was there a problem with my returned ballot
- When does my ballot need to be returned to my clerk
- What options do I have to return my ballot

Search [+ Search Tip](#)

First Name*

Last Name*

Date of Birth*

[Clear](#)

[Search](#)

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote

Monitor who votes

Estimate the results based on voters/party

Determine how many extra votes are needed

Insert extra ballots via mail-in/drop-box to add votes
and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes

Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote

Monitor who votes

Estimate the results based on voters/party


Determine how many extra votes are needed

Insert extra ballots via mail-in/drop-box to add votes
and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes

Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results



MarkCook


Sacramento County, CA – Election Equipment Warehouse



Sacramento County, CA – Election Equipment Warehouse



Sacramento County, CA – Election Equipment Warehouse



4.5 DS200 LOCK AND SEAL RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Insert the election definition USB flash drive and secure with a wire seal.




2. Close the access door and lock with a medium barrel key. Place a label seal on the smooth plastic area as shown at right.



Richland County, SC – Voting Center during the Election











Mark Cook





RICHLAND COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPT

5623 TWO NOTCH RD COLUMBIA, SC 29223

803-576-3000



Event

2020 Hampton Street SC SOUTH CAROLINA 29204

| | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Incident Description: | ALL OTHER CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS |
| Incident Start Date: | 06/24/2022 14:24:00 |
| Incident End Date: | 06/24/2022 16:59:00 |
| Reported Date: | 06/24/2022 16:00:00 |
| Date Dispatch: | 06/24/2022 00:00:00 |
| Date Arrive: | 06/24/2022 00:00:00 |
| Date Depart: | 06/24/2022 00:00:00 |
| Region: | REGION 3 |
| Weapon Code: | |



Richland County Elections director resigns, official confirms



Richland County Election Director Alexandria Stephens has resigned after two years on the job.

Author: wltx.com

Published: 11:12 PM EDT August 18, 2022

Updated: 11:12 PM EDT August 18, 2022

Group asks BI: Stop Smartmatic, Comelec people from leaving PHL

By VIRGIL LOPEZ, GMA News

Published June 4, 2016 3:49pm

A poll watchdog group has asked the Bureau of Immigration (BI) to prevent 10 people from leaving the country for their alleged role in the controversial script change in the Comelec transparency server that receives precinct-level votes.

In a letter dated June 2, election watchdog "Mata sa Balota" urged BI Commissioner Ronaldo Geron to issue a hold departure order (HDO) against the following personalities:

advertisement

- Cesar Flores -Smartmatic-TIM president for Southeast Asia
- Heider Garcia- Smartmatic official
- Marlon Garcia - Smartmatic Philippines project manager
- Elie Moreno - Smartmatic project director
- Neil Banigued- Smartmatic technical support member
- Mauricio Herrera - Smartmatic technical support member
- Andres Kapunan- Smartmatic Mindanao
- Rouie Peñalba- Comelec IT personnel
- Nelson Herrera - Comelec IT personnel
- Frances Mae Gonzales- Comelec IT personnel

The group said these people are facing several criminal and administrative complaints filed before the Office of the Ombudsman, Manila Prosecutor's Office. They are also under investigation by the Comelec.

Tarrant County hires second elections administrator of the year

BY ANNA M. TINSLEY

UPDATED FEBRUARY 02, 2018 1:35 PM



In this short video, find out who can register to vote, where to register, which documents are needed and when you must register by to vote in the next election. BY LENA BLIETZ



Only have a minute? Listen instead

Powered by Trinity Audio

00:00

1.0x

02:37

For the second time this year, Tarrant County will have a new elections administrator.

Heider Garcia, elections manager in Placer County, Calif., has been hired to guide and oversee local elections.

He should join the county in mid-February to observe the March 6 primary election and help out as needed, Tarrant County Judge **Glen Whitley** said.


“We think he will be a good fit,” Whitley said.

Garcia will be sworn in as elections administrator after the primary.

The delay is to give him time to become a registered voter in Tarrant County, which Whitley said he must do before he can be sworn in.

He replaces **Nathan Neblett**, a Houston man who held the post for two weeks in January before county officials decided to “release” him from the post.

“We just decided it wasn’t going to be a good fit for us,” Whitley [said at the time](#). “We decided to go in a different direction.”



New voting machines in Tarrant County

September 3, 2019

Tarrant County voters will be casting ballots on new machines for this year's Nov. 5 constitutional amendment election. County Commissioners recently signed off on an \$11 million plan to buy this new equipment, part of Austin-based Hart InterCivic's Verity line.

These machines, approved for use by the Texas Secretary of State's office, include a paper trail.

Voters will use a touchscreen to review the ballot and make their choices. The machine will print a list of the candidates chosen. After reviewing votes on the sheet, voters must put their paper ballot into one of the scanners to formally cast their vote and drop it into the ballot box.

Tarrant County Elections Administrator Heider Garcia has asked the state to allow countywide vote centers — which would let voters cast ballots at any polling place in the county on Election Day — as soon as the November election.

ELECTION NEWS

Tarrant County sees amazing turnout for 2020 presidential election

By Teresa Gubbins Nov 4, 2020 | 6:00 am



Most of the voting done in Tarrant County was early. IssueVoter/Facebook


MOST POPULAR

- > Fort Worth gains a round of fun restaurant openings and more dining news
- > Texas relaxes into 3rd place in new ranking of best states for camping
- > These are the 9 best food and drink events in Fort Worth this week

UPDATE: According to Tarrant County Elections' final results, released November 11, Democratic Presidential candidate Joe Biden bested President Donald Trump by 1,826 votes, with Biden's tally at 411,567 votes versus Trump's 409,741 votes. It's the first time Tarrant County has gone "blue" for

Scanning problem affects more than 20,000 Tarrant County mail-in ballots

By Dionne Anglin and FOX 4 Staff | Published October 27, 2020 | Tarrant County | FOX 4



Scanning problem affects more than 20,000 Tarrant County mail-in ballots


Scanning problem affects more than 20,000 Tarrant County mail-in ballots

Tarrant County elections officials say a third of received mail-in ballots have been rejected because the company that printed them made a mistake.

FORT WORTH, Texas - Tarrant County elections officials say a third of received mail-in ballots have been rejected because the company that printed them made a mistake.

With one week until Election Day, elections administrator Heider Garcia

Trending

- 1**  **WATCH: Michael Irvin's attorney plays video of interaction with Arizona hotel employee**
- 2**  **Thursday storms bring large hail, dangerous winds to North Texas**
- 3**  **17-year-old pleads guilty to murder of Uber Eats driver in Haltom City**
- 4**  **Three EF-1 tornadoes confirmed, North Texans clean up after Thursday's severe storms**
- 5**  **Home invasion suspects fatally shot by resident at Garland apartment, police say**

Latest News

[View More](#)


| ELECTION |

Tarrant GOP Denies County Turned Blue, Makes Trumpian Claims of Irregularities

LAUREN DREWES DANIELS | DECEMBER 1, 2020 | 4:00AM

The Tarrant County GOP is quite agitated the county has been cast as “blue” after the Nov. 3 general election. As mail-in ballots trickled in after Election Day, the seesaw tipped ever so slightly Democratic under the weight of about 1,826 more votes cast for the Biden/Harris ticket than Trump/Pence. It’s not much, but this isn’t horseshoes.

The county hadn’t voted for a Democrat for president since 1964.



Tarrant County's lauded elections chief resigns, citing differences with new county executive

Heider Garcia, like many other election officials across the country, faced death threats that stemmed from lies about the outcome of the 2020 election.

BY NATALIA CONTRERAS, VOTEBEAT AND THE TEXAS TRIBUNE APRIL 17, 2023 1 PM CENTRAL



COPY LINK



Tarrant County Election Administrator Heider Garcia looks at his phone while working Election Day at the election administration building in Fort Worth on Nov. 8, 2022. He resigned from his position Monday. 📷 Shelby Tauber for The Texas Tribune



HEIDER GARCIA
Elections Administrator

TROY HAVARD
Assistant Elections Administrator

TARRANT COUNTY ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATION

April 16, 2023

The Honorable Tim O' Hare
County Judge
100 East Weatherford St.
Fort Worth, Texas 76196

The Honorable GK Maenius
Tarrant County Administrator
100 East Weatherford St.
Fort Worth, Texas 76196

Gentlemen,

Please accept this letter as my formal resignation from the position of Elections Administrator for Tarrant County. My last day with the County will be June 23, 2023. This will allow sufficient time to complete all work related to the May 6 Joint Elections, any potential runoff elections in June and a smooth handover of the operation. It will be my utmost priority to guarantee operational continuity of the department before my departure.

GK, thank you for your leadership, your support, and your guidance in my time here. You believed in me and provided me all the tools I needed to do my job. I say to you what I said to Judge Glen Whitley when we canvassed the November 2022 election: *"Thank you for your leadership, and for just letting elections officials do their job and stay out of politics. That means the world to us."* When leadership respects the team's values and shows trust, members of the team become the best version of themselves. You know this, Judge Whitley knew it, and because of that, I leave Tarrant County a better man and a better professional. Thank you, GK.

Judge O'Hare, my formula to *"administer a quality transparent election"* stands on respect and zero politics; compromising on these values is not an option for me. You made it clear in our last meeting that your formula is different, thus, my decision to leave. I wish you the best; Tarrant County deserves that you find success.

Sincerely,

Heider Garcia
Elections Administrator
Tarrant County



Heider Garcia

@heidergarcia · [Follow](#)

8:55 AM · Jul 14, 2023



Monday is my first day as a Senior Subject Matter Expert with the Election Assistance Commission. I'm thrilled to join a such a great team, and excited about the opportunity to continue contributing to fair and secure elections, this time at a national level.



Heider Garcia, celebrated elections chief from Tarrant County, set to run elections in Dallas

Garcia will replace Michael Scarpello, who had led the elections department in Dallas since 2020.

BY NATALIA CONTRERAS, VOTEBEAT AND THE TEXAS TRIBUNE OCT. 18, 2023 UPDATED: 5 PM CENTRAL



Heider Garcia, the former Tarrant County elections administrator, speaks with ballot board workers inside the Tarrant County's central count station on election night on May 6, 2023. Garcia will oversee elections in Dallas County starting later this year. © Azul Sordo for The Texas Tribune

OCT. 18, 2023

Heider Garcia, a lauded Texas election official who resigned as elections director in Tarrant County under political pressure last spring, is set to become Dallas County's next elections chief.

Garcia's first day will be Dec. 20, Dallas County officials said during an election commission meeting Wednesday.

The announcement comes two weeks after Michael Scarpello, who has been Dallas County elections administrator since 2020, submitted his resignation and said he'd be retiring after working in elections in various jurisdictions across the country for more than 20 years. Scarpello's last day will be Dec. 19.

"I am honored," Garcia said in a text message Wednesday, after his appointment. "I'll be very humble in my new role and work really hard to follow in Michael's footsteps. Dallas deserves the best and I intend to work with that goal in mind."

Garcia, one of the most respected elections directors in the state during his time in Tarrant, had been Tarrant County's elections administrator since 2018. Since former President Donald Trump began to make baseless allegations of fraud in connection with the outcome of the 2020 election, Tarrant County — the state's most populous swing county — has been at the center of unfounded election fraud conspiracies.

Garcia, who previously worked as a software engineer for the voting machine company Smartmatic in Venezuela, also became the subject of allegations from some voter fraud activists and Trump allies who claimed he'd "rigged" the Tarrant election. Fringe activists began posting Garcia's home address online. He faced harassment and racist death threats.

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | | | |

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | |

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

DANGER

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | |



Election Ecosystem

More Complex = Less Secure

Progression – More Complex and Less Transparent

Paper
Mechanical
Electronic
Computerized

“I consider it completely unimportant who in the party will vote, or how; but what is extraordinarily important is this — who will count the votes, and how.”

“I consider it completely unimportant who in the party will vote, or how; but what is extraordinarily important is this — who will count the votes, and how.”

Joseph Stalin, 1923


Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union

Magic Show


Mark



System Diagram by Russell Ramsland



Built on BLINDFOLDED TRUST



Fully Engineered OUTCOME-BASED Elections

Dirty voter rolls to increase headroom (eg E.R.I.C.)

Mail-out unsolicited ballots everywhere, then hijack

Make convenient 'mistakes' before/during/after election

Early voting – **insider information**

Mail-in ballot tracking – **insider information**

Electronic poll books – **insider information**

Cause loss of confidence in the election system

Days/Weeks to accept mail-in ballots for the clean-up

Election Ecosystem: Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

~~FREEDOM~~

DANGER

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | |

BOOK

Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control

FREEDOM

DANGER

OK

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------------|-------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | 0% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | |

Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control


FREEDOM

DANGER

OK


| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------------|-------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | 0% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | ZERO | | |

Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control



| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | | |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------------|-------------|--|--|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | 0% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Transparency | | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | ZERO | | |

Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control



| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | ZERO |

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface


FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

Precinct County State Corporations Federal

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | ZERO |




Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface

FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | PHYSICAL |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | ZERO | | |




Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface

FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | PHYSICAL |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | ZERO | | |




Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface

FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | PHYSICAL |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% | | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | ZERO | | |




Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface

FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | PHYSICAL |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% | | 100% |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | ZERO | | |




Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface

FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | PHYSICAL |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% | | 100% |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | ZERO | | |




Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface

FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | PHYSICAL |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% | 100% | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | 100% | |



Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface


FREEDOM

DANGER

TYRANNY

FREE AGAIN

| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | Federal | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------|----------|---------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|
| Voter Reg | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | | PHYSICAL |
| Voter Validation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Tabulation | PHYSICAL | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | 0.28% | | 0% | 100% | |
| Reporting | | PHYSICAL | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | IN-PROGRESS | PHYSICAL | |
| Transparency | HIGH | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | 100% | |



Cameras are EVERYWHERE!






MockCountyTX.US showing how real Transparency can work!

Tabulation Livestream/Recorded Video and Ballot Images

Room Cam 1:

Room Cam 2:



BATCH

| Justice, 14th Ct of Appeals District, Place 2 | | District Judge, 180th Judicial District | | District Judge, 189th Judicial District | | District Judge, 230th Judicial District | |
|---|----|---|----|---|----|---|----|
| Erin Jewell | 0 | Tami C. Place | 0 | Erin Strabenh | 0 | Brad Hart | 0 |
| Cheri C. Thomas | 42 | Dawson Jones | 42 | Tamika Lane Craft | 42 | Chris Morton | 41 |
| Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 |
| Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 |
| Justice, 14th Ct of Appeals District, Place 9 | | District Judge, 182nd Judicial District | | District Judge, 190th Judicial District | | District Judge, 232nd Judicial District | |
| Randy Wilson | 0 | Robert H. Jackson | 0 | Fred Shuchart | 0 | Joshua Norman | 0 |
| William Demond | 42 | Darbo Denny Lacypt | 42 | Beau Miller | 42 | Josh Hill | 42 |
| Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 |
| Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 |
| District Judge, 55th Judicial District | | District Judge, 183rd Judicial District | | District Judge, 205th Judicial District | | District Judge, 234th Judicial District | |
| Rita Copeland | 0 | Kristin M. Guiney | 0 | Heather Hudson | 0 | Michael West | 0 |
| Latisha Leah Payne | 42 | Garnage L. Haynes | 41 | Beverly Armstrong | 42 | Lauren Reeder | 42 |
| Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 |
| Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 |
| District Judge, 113th Judicial District | | District Judge, 184th Judicial District | | District Judge, 209th Judicial District | | District Judge, 248th Judicial District | |
| Nathan J. Milton | 0 | Lori D'Angelo | 0 | Swish Fulton | 0 | Julian Ramirez | 0 |
| Rebecca Collier | 43 | Katherine Kat Thomas | 42 | Grant S. Warren | 42 | Hilary Unger | 41 |
| Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 |
| Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 |
| District Judge, 157th Judicial District | | District Judge, 185th Judicial District | | District Judge, 228th Judicial District | | District Judge, 262nd Judicial District | |
| Sonya L. Nelson | 0 | Chris Carmona | 0 | Andy Taylor | 0 | Tanya McLaughlin | 0 |
| Tanya Garrison | 42 | Andrea Beall | 42 | Frank Aguilar | 42 | Lori Chambers Gray | 41 |
| Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 | Under vote | 0 |
| Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 | Over vote | 0 |

Station 1

Scanned Image



Mock Ballot

Transparent And Verifiable
April 17, 2023
Mock County, Texas
Transparencia P. MockCounty
17 de abril del 2023
Candidato de Mock, Texas

precinct 0001
 Precinct 0001
 Page 2 of 4
 Pagina 2 de 4

| Justice, 14th Court of Appeals District, Place 2 | District Judge, 180th Judicial District | District Judge, 189th Judicial District | District Judge, 230th Judicial District |
|--|--|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Erin Jewell <input type="checkbox"/> Cheri C. Thomas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tami C. Place <input type="checkbox"/> Dawson Jones | <input type="checkbox"/> Robert H. Jackson <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Darbo Denny Lacypt <input type="checkbox"/> Heather Hudson <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Garnage L. Haynes | <input type="checkbox"/> Erin Strabenh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tamika Lane Craft <input type="checkbox"/> Fred Shuchart <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Beau Miller | <input type="checkbox"/> Brad Hart <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chris Morton <input type="checkbox"/> Joshua Norman <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Josh Hill |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Erin Jewell <input type="checkbox"/> Cheri C. Thomas <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tami C. Place <input type="checkbox"/> Dawson Jones | <input type="checkbox"/> Robert H. Jackson <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Darbo Denny Lacypt <input type="checkbox"/> Heather Hudson <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Garnage L. Haynes | <input type="checkbox"/> Erin Strabenh <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Tamika Lane Craft <input type="checkbox"/> Fred Shuchart <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Beau Miller | <input type="checkbox"/> Brad Hart <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Chris Morton <input type="checkbox"/> Joshua Norman <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Josh Hill |

To Insure our Future



Election Ecosystem: Final Goal

FINAL GOAL

Precinct

County

Voter Reg

FUTURE

Voter Validation

FUTURE

Tabulation

FUTURE

Hand Audit

100%

Reporting

FUTURE

Transparency

100%

Election Ecosystem: Current Reality

| | FINAL GOAL | | VIRTUAL SYSTEM | | | |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| | Precinct | County | State | Corporations | | |
| Voter Reg | | FUTURE | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Voter Validation | FUTURE | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Tabulation | FUTURE | | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Hand Audit | 100% | | | 0.28% | | |
| Reporting | | FUTURE | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | |
| Transparency | 100% | | CLOSE TO NONE | | | |

Election Ecosystem: Incremental Improvement

| FINAL GOAL | |
|---------------|---------------|
| Precinct | County |
| | FUTURE |
| FUTURE | |
| FUTURE | |
| 100% | |
| FUTURE | |
| 100% | |

VIRTUAL SYSTEM + INCREMENTAL

| | State | Corporations | Precinct | County |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Voter Reg | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | | PARALLEL |
| Voter Validation | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | PARALLEL | |
| Tabulation | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | HAND COUNT | |
| Hand Audit | 0.28% | | 66-100% | |
| Reporting | VIRTUAL | VIRTUAL | PARALLEL | |
| Transparency | CLOSE TO NONE | | >75% | |

THEN IMMEDIATELY progress to:

Background Checks on Election/Adjacent-Individuals

Fully Transparent, Accurate, Verifiable,
County-Managed Nationally Cross-Referenced Voter Registration System

Paper Poll Books, HAND MARKED Ballots,

PRECINCT-BASED HAND COUNT ELECTION DAY

that is Understandable by and Accessible to
Every American Citizen

To Save Our Republic

YOU, YES **YOU**
MUST GET INVOLVED!

Local Action = National Effect

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD


PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

YOU MUST TAKE ACTION LOCALLY!!!

Mark Cook 303-990-2660 PatriotMC@protonmail.com

HandCountRoadShow.org and ACCFEI.org



PLEASE SIGN UP FOR NEWSLETTER!