Mark Cook

1 Invisible Theft

We live in a 5GW world (or 5th Generational Warfare as coined by William S. Lind), where acts of men are indistinguishable from acts of Nature or God. Very smart and sophisticated people manipulate in subtle, almost undetectable ways. Revealing these methods necessitates the same level of sophistication used by the perpetrators. In other words, to catch these criminals, one must not only be able to think like them, but also possess the same understanding of science and systems. Obviously elections are manipulated both directly and indirectly (political as well as information subversion). The scope of this document will focus on techniques of direct subversion.

2 Mastering the Art of Direct Election Manipulation

It is not merely a cacophony of malevolent incidents that include things such as ballot harvesting, unregistered voters, or switched votes, but a sophisticated multi-tier approach developed over time and designed to meet the following requirements:

- 1. <u>Overly Sophisticated Design</u>: Design and deploy an election system that is so sophisticated that election officials do not know how to operate it nor guard against error or abuse;
- Invisible Manipulation: Perpetrate types of manipulation that resides within the margin of error and is undetectable and too complicated to understand by election officials, politicians, media, lawyers and the public. With power of the Artificial Intelligence tools now openly available, election manipulation will soon be undetectable to even the most skilled of professionals;
- 3. <u>Access Restricted:</u> Access to the forensic election data is blocked through a myriad of legal, political and media maneuverings, rending forensic investigation impossible;
- 4. <u>Qualified Investigators Restricted</u>: The people with the skill sets to investigate and uncover a manipulation of this sophistication are either restricted by corporate contract, fear of political or social retribution.
- <u>Certification Forced as Procedural Formality</u>: Officials are forced to certify elections in the absence of possible adequate verification. This forced certification still occurs even in cases where major issues are uncovered that would overwhelmingly substantiate halting of such certification in any other industry.

Mark Cook

3 The Four Elements of the Election Eco-System

3.1 Voter Registration

This is a list of eligible voters in a particular municipality, assembled prior to an election. In states with Mail Ballots, this controls the number of ballots in circulation.

3.2 Voter Validation

This is the system that validates a potential voter against the voter registration system when they arrive to vote. **This controls who is given a ballot.**

3.3 Tabulation

This is the system that counts up the votes on the ballots for a particular municipality. **This controls how the vote is counted.**

3.4 Reporting

This is the system that is responsible for communicating the results of the tabulation to the citizens. **This controls the totals we see.**

4 Prior to Electronic Registration/Voting

Prior to computerizing nearly all aspects of our elections, the voting process was decentralized down to the precincts so the citizens controlled their own elections.

4.1 Voter Registration

Conducted at and in control of individual Counties. A potential registrant's existence and residency was verified by <u>in-person positive physical identification</u> at their county office followed by their signature on their physical voter registration card.

4.2 Voter Validation

Conducted at the local precincts by the citizens, using an <u>in-person positive physical signature</u> on the paper poll-book compared with the signature on the above referenced voter registration cards.

4.3 Tabulation

The citizens in the precincts determined voter intent, tabulated their respective ballots and reported those results to the counties, then handed in all the ballots and related paperwork to the county officials.

4.4 Reporting

The counties reported their results, the public and media then shared those results.

Mark Cook

5 The Covert Attack

Now that the entire ecosystem has been moved into the electronic domain, it has been subtly and secretly centralized through the years. The citizens control has been usurped by sophisticated actors made of both government and non-government entities. Following, is a brief snapshot of merely some of the dangers with our current systems.

NOTE: There are thousands of evidentiary items myself and others have collected across thousands of counties throughout the Country, and I am happy to go into details beyond the scope of this document in a more appropriate environment.

Now, on to the details:

5.1 Voter Registration

5.1.1 Problems

Voter registration entries can be created without any verification of a respective eligible voter, allowing <u>phantom entries not related to eligible voters</u> to be injected into this system, with no ability to verify the information without physically tracking down each individual alleged registrant. Registrations are now essentially, VIRTUAL. The Voter registration process has also increasingly been centralized. In most cases, it is ultimately controlled by the vendors who provide the service or who wrote the white-labeled software(s), and managed by state officials in concert with non-government organizations (NGO's). One manipulation tactic is to increase the registered voters by as much as possible, especially with low-to-no-propensity voters, then use those database entries to substantiate injected votes. The counties have entirely lost <u>isolated control</u> of their voter registration databases, resulting in a gaping attack surface. The data collected in concert with their control of the databases can be easily used by bad actors to manipulate the election entirely from the outside, in a way that the county officials are unable detect. Most election officials don't even know where their own database physically resides.

5.1.2 Some Examples

Illegal or 'gray-area' voter registration drives

NGO's going performing voter registration

- Target vulnerable demographics in our communities
- It gives them direct access to people's information (violation of privacy)
- For example, in Maricopa County, the county provided registration NGO's URL/API access directly to their county voter registration system

Youth Pre-registration - In some states, children are allowed to be 'pre-registered' to vote:

- Allows plausible excuses for procedural 'mistakes' that allow indirect manipulation of elections
- Send out ballots to the youth. If caught, just claim it was a mistake. If not, free uninformed voters!

Mark Cook

- 2024-05-13
- As soon as those pre-registrations hit the 18 yr old mark, they are automatically changed to active status which allows them to be used an excuse for injection of phantom ballots

Set up permanent mail in ballot registration to causing justification for a perpetual flood of ballots being mailed out.

5.2 Voter Validation

5.2.1 Problems

Voter Validation is either no longer being conducted by the citizens (or even the County officials for that matter), or is being compared against the VIRTUAL records referenced above. Everyone has now become dependent on the electronic systems, associated vendors, and unverified data to perform this function in our elections. There is no effective oversight or control in place, only a perceived oversight and control.

5.2.1.1 Mail-In

The entire safety of this system is dependent on a defective 'signature verification' procedure. Signature Verification is claimed to be the Gatekeeper against fraudulent ballots entering the system. However, this system is defective in its very design because even IF the signature on the outside of the envelope was indeed made by the respective voter, there is no way for anyone to know who filled out the ballot inside the envelope. Again, there is no way for anyone to know if the ballot was filled out by the same identity as is specified on the outside of the envelope. Even if the signature is able to be verified as valid, the signature does nothing other than provide a false sense of security and justification to unsuspecting and victims.

5.2.1.2 In-Person

Electronic Poll-Books are typically connected to each other over insecure wireless connections, then all connected to another central database usually outside of the polling location, and sometimes outside of the state they are operating in. Unknown and uncontrolled parties have complete control of these databases, therefore can view/add/change/delete records at their will, without the knowledge of the poll workers, county officials, or even state officials. Most citizens and officials don't even know that the voter registration databases mentioned above are completely different than the electronic poll-book databases.

These can be abused wirelessly from the parking lot, wired from within the polling location, on the cellular network or internet or any network anywhere in the world. The counties and states do not have <u>isolated control</u> of these regardless of what they think or claim. Most election officials don't even know where their poll-book database actually resides or who has access to it.

Regardless of how 'clean' the voter registration databases are, the information in the poll-book databases can be read by others and used to know how to manipulate the election by adding phantom ballots, then injecting phantom electronic check-ins.

Mark Cook

Electronic Poll-Books usually consist of common iPads, Android tablets, or sometimes Windows laptops (all easily abused by people that know how), sometimes hidden in custom frames to obfuscate them.

5.2.2 Some Examples

Declare some kind of local or national emergency to force the use and relax/ignore other election laws.

Use <u>real-time mail-in ballot tracking</u> and <u>electronic poll book</u> systems to track who voted, use party affiliation and existing voting profiles to build a model of the election results, calculate needed adjustments, inject ballots to offset the real votes in order to achieve predetermined results.

Instruct postal carriers to NOT deliver ballots to the address in which no residents with that name reside so that the ballot can be routed elsewhere, thus avoiding alerting of multiple ballots registered to an address.

Copy addresses from one locality to another, with a slight change in the street name to generate returned ballots to be harvested and injected.

Take advantage of the many chain-of-custody vulnerabilities created by mail-in ballots in order to abuse the system, then hide it in the margin of error.

Give counties \$500M for drop-boxes in order to use them for the ballot mules to drop off ballots undetected.

Signature Verification:

- Initiate "updated" signature drives for all registered "voters" and inject fake "updated" signatures.
- Do not properly train the citizens.
- Do not test the citizens to ensure they can do the job with 100% accuracy prior to allowing them to verify signatures.
- Fire citizens if they reject too many signatures.
- The goal is to get as many ballots as possible past the gatekeeper without regard for their legitimacy.

Mark Cook

5.3 Tabulation

5.3.1 Problem

Votes are tabulated on 'black-box' equipment, effectively counting the votes <u>in secret</u> when they should be counted in public.

- Neither Citizens or the Election Officials have any control over who programs the software.
- Software is often outsourced to be programmed by developers outside our own Country.
- The source code is claimed to be held in escrow and evaluated, yet I have seen nobody ever doing so.
- The source code is not evaluated for logic, not compiled to ensure that it is what is running on the election machines.
- The voting systems do not use third-party logging.
- The voting system vendors misrepresent their products to unsuspecting officials and citizens.
- In some cases, the voting systems 'hash' themselves (which is allowing the fox to watch the hen house).
- Back-doors on voting systems that allow direct manipulation of the ballot and/or vote databases.

5.3.2 Some Examples

Change definitions of 'tabulation' to legally allow early machine tabulation so long as it isn't 'human readable'; this still fuels their feedback loop.

Pre-load votes during early voting in order to establish a 50/50 ratio from the start to be able to stay under the radar as adjustments are made to counter real votes coming in from that point forward. (Colorado, Washington, etc.).

Use built-in database backup and restore procedures to extract voting results and/or manipulate programming or votes, then restore back into voting system.

Logs lacking security and integrity mechanisms and are easily modified and erased to cover tracks of manipulation.

Manipulation can occur in ways that bypass logging.

Interrupt normal flow of ballots to be tabulated w/alternative definitions to shift votes:

- Use the thickness as excuse to determine which tabulators are used (Sacramento CA does this they claim the 'thin' paper gets jammed in the Hi-Pro scanners, so they scan all the 'thin' ballots through the smaller desktop scanners).
- Paper that is too thick used in BMD (Ballot Marking Devices) and tabulators may jam, allowing another path to segregate those ballots.

Print the ballot image slightly shrunk so citizens don't notice it, but the tabulators would be unable to scan, causing them to be segregated and an excuse to 're-create' them and inject phantom ballots into the stream. (Maricopa County, AZ).

Mark Cook

Fill in under-votes on ballots prior to tabulation to organically manipulate results without detection.

Swap ballots prior to tabulation to organically manipulate results without detection.

Create over-votes to cancel a vote.

Induce error into the system to obfuscate manipulation (ballot programming mistakes, printing mistakes, misspellings, etc. - these aren't all accidents).

Change database outside of voting system software to manipulate the results.

Configure inadequate database change tracking.

Set up inadequate internal logging.

Set up self-deleting logs (Dominion – Mesa County, CO).

Install back-doors in the voting system image – See Mark Cook for forensic analysis of Mesa County, CO.

Create ability to be covertly connected to alternate networks/devices (including the internet).

Embed hidden wireless devices in hardware without knowledge of election officials.

Block access from the public.

Block access from the election officials.

Keep the source code secret. Put different code in escrow, knowing that nobody is going to compile the code to check it against the code running on their voting machines.

Create internal checking mechanisms that vendors control and make it illegal for any of the systems to be tested by external means.

Design the databases without referential integrity to make manipulation easy and impossible to prove (See Mark Cook for analysis of his forensic evaluation of Dominion used in Mesa County, CO)

Set up 'Logic and Accuracy Tests' in order to give election officials false sense of security. They don't know that the systems can be programmed to perform flawlessly when convenient.

Block access to ballot images or paper ballots.

Wipe hard drives during constant 'Trusted Build' installs to ensure no evidence of manipulation is left after elections.

To easily manipulate an election, induce a problem in the system to cause a phone call to support, send support out to install the manipulated programming. This can be done wirelessly, on USB thumb drives, using embedded cameras or microphones, etc.

Pre-load votes on USB flash drives. Because the drives are encrypted, election officials have no way to detect this.

Mark Cook

2024-05-13

Convince election officials that these systems are 'air-gapped' to give them false sense of security, have them use USB flash drives to transport results, then manipulate the results on those flash drives during transfer either in the process of exporting, or use a specialized USB flash drive with custom firmware.

Slow down the process of ballot image creation in the software so election officials are coerced into disabling this feature to speed up their tabulation process. Now there is no record of a ballot image so the only way to audit is via the physical paper ballots.

If anyone demands access to the physical paper ballots, stall and inject the proper number of ballots to so an audit will closely match the previous results.

For officials that still use ballot images, adjust the images to swap votes so the stored ballot images match the results in the database.

Use the same keys for all devices to make it easy for someone to abuse, but impossible to track down who it was.

Intentionally induce confusion by mixing up USB flash drives to sabotage proper audits.

For D.R.E. (Direct-Recording Equipment - touch-screen voting)

- Change the touch-screen calibration so when someone selects their candidate, the other candidate is selected. Many won't notice.
- Program the system to select whichever candidate you like regardless of which candidate name is touched. Set up a counter in the software so after X number of tries, the correct candidate is selected. This can be easily explained away as 'calibration error'. Most will fall for this explanation. It's been going on for over a decade.

Show one thing on the screen and the printout, but record the votes any way you like in the database.

Record the votes properly in the database initially, then change them later.

Show one thing on the printout, but put different results in the QR-code, then encrypt the QR-code so humans can't see what's been done.

Use QR-codes to covertly make adjustments to the votes/feed algorithms. Then put them in the mail or drop-boxes. The election staff will be the delivery mechanism without knowing.

If/when they figure out the QR code con, then use what appears as random dots on the page, yet code the tabulators to watch for those patterns to make adjustments with those covert instructions.

If/when they figure out the 'random' dot approach, then use very light color like yellow that the scanner can pick up, but the human eye won't see without a magnifying glass.

Cyber-attack the counties, then scare them into installing 'Albert Sensors' to 'secure' their networks. Albert Sensors could be used to invade and spy on the county networks, and to

Mark Cook

manipulate election results on their way out or what comes back in when should an election official check them after uploading. (For instance, use MAC Spoofing to re-route LAN traffic).

Randomize Cast Vote Record data to obfuscate manipulation to avoid detection while using 'voter privacy' as an excuse to justify destroying the integrity of election records, therefore falsifying evidence. This is one of the latest tactics of the electronic voting system vendors.

6 Reporting

6.1 Problem

Election officials don't even know what really happens to their totals after they hand them off. In many cases, they think they are giving them to their Secretary of State, when the SoS has actually outsourced this to a third party such as Scytl/Clarity Elections, which in turn creates the results page on the county sites in a way that is indistinguishable by the average person.

Totals can be changed and hidden inside aggregation without the public able to detect. There is no guarantee to the public that the shown state/fed totals are actually accurate. There is no public place that all totals are transparently and additionally posted to allow citizens to verify that the totals of their precinct results match what they are told.

Centralization can make it impossible for the citizens to detect mistakes or many types of fraud.

No audit trail (digital or physical) between precinct results and final vote totals promoted on News Stations or by State Certification Officials.

6.2 Some Examples

Many of our states have outsourced their reporting to other companies. Some, to **Scytl Election Technologies**, a company founded in 2001 in Barcelona, Spain. Its products and services are used in elections and referendums across the world.

Investors - \$9M from Balderton Capital, Nauta Capital, \$104M in 2014 in multiple funding rounds from Vulcan Capital, Sapphire Ventures, Vy Capital, Adams Street Partners and Industry Ventures. Paul Allen (co-founder of Microsoft with Bill Gates) invested \$40 million in 2014.

Acquisitions and cooperations – In 2012, Scytl acquired the American company SOE Software "Supervisors of Elections", located in Tampa. SOE implemented Scytl technologies in the United States. Scytl became a partner of Amazon Web Services by November 2018. They host their services on Amazon's cloud platform.

2020 bankruptcy and recovery - On 11 May 2020, facing debts of over €75 million, Scytl initiated bankruptcy proceedings with a view to selling its business to the U.S. investment fund Sandton Capital. On 2 June 2020, a Spanish court declared Scytl bankrupt and started the process of auctioning off its assets. They have still been handling US elections through this bankruptcy and to this day.

Mark Cook

See Utah:

SHERRIE ŚWENSEN RESULTS FEDERAL STATE COUNTY SCHO Official Final Election Results HOME - REBULTS Last updated 11/20/2018 5:28:47 PM MST		AVORITE RACES (0) QUESTIONS LOCAL QUEST	ions straight	TURNOUT
Official Final Election Results				PARTY O PRECINCTS REPORTING
Last updated 🖂 11/20/2016 5:26:47 PM MS1		- - -		
		了Filter 合 PRINT 刃 FU	LL SCREEN 🗠 SHARE	Latest News
 FEDERAL 	< → C ☆			
	Save Page As			
U.S. SENATE	Select <u>A</u>		View Contest Detail	Precincts Reporting
Precincts Reporting: 100%	John Scieenahul	Percentage	Votes	Completely Reported III Not Reporting
REP MITT ROMNEY	Wew Fage Source	49,90%	207,719	Partially Reported
DEM JENNY WILSON	Inspect (O)	44.89%	9,854	
CON TIM AALDERS	E Faxis PDF Creator	1.62%	6.759	
IAP REED C. MCCANDLESS		1.02%	4,265	
WRITE-IN		0.18%	745	
CALER DAN REFVE		0.01%	27	
TYPE html> <html lang="en" ng-app="enr.web02" ng-jq="" ngsf-fu<br="">,initial-scale=1,minimum-scale=1,maximum-scale=1,user-scal meta name="smartbanner:title" content="Election Night Resu meta name="smartbanner:price" content="fired"> meta name="smartbanner:price" content="fired"> meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content=" - In meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content=" - In meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content=" - Style meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content="assity/img/app meta name="smartbanner:button" content="assity/img/app meta name="smartbanner:button" content="assity/img/app meta name="smartbanner:button" content="tile>Election Ni , republican election night results, democrat election ni , Appling,">kmeta name="description" content="filection Ni , republican election night results, democrat election ni ="sumary" content="">clasification" content="Election Ni , sumary" content=""sume" name="Classification" content=" "tile>Election Night Reporting">kmeta name="bane="classification" content="Election Ni , sumary" content=">kmeta name="Classification" content="Election Ni , sumary" content=">kmeta name="Classification" content="Election Ni , sumary" content=">kmeta name="Classification" content="Election Ni , sumary" content="Nemta name="Classification" content="Election Ni , sumary" content="Nemta name="Classification" content="Election Ni , sumary" content="Nemta name="Classification" content="Election Ni , sumary" cont</html>	<pre>able=no"><!-- Start SmartBan<br-->Lts"> the App Store"> Google Play"> ican.png"> ican.png"> ios/application-url"> /android/application-url"> /android/application-url"> iss"> ght Reporting<meta content="S
Elections" name="
ta name=" revised"=""/><meta cont<br="" content="
operty=" expires"="" name="
aubdirectory" ogidescription"=""/>.html"></pre>	<pre>ame="keywords" content="Election Ni election results map, live election subject" content="Election Night Re unday, July 8th, 2018, 9:27 pm">cme " content="Eoytil, contact@sytel.co on">cmeta name="category" content=" 0">cmeta http-equiv="Pragma" content ent="Election Night Reporting.">cme ="stylesheet" type="text/css" href=""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""</pre>	ght Reporting, ENR, so results, real time el oorting"> <meta abstract"="" con<br="" name="c
ta name="/> "> <meta name="reply-t
Elections"/> <meta name="reply-t
Elections" nameta=""/> <meta name<="" nameta="" th=""/> <th><pre>it, republican election results, democrat el lection results, voting results, registered vo icopyright" content="soyl]">kmeta name="isopic" itent="">kmeta name="sopic" content="'>kmeta to" content="contact@soyl] :coverage" content="Wooldwide">kmeta name="di tp-equiv="Cache-Control" content="no-cache">km ' content="https://www.soyl].com/wp-content/th k rel="stylesheet" type="text/css"</pre></th>	<pre>it, republican election results, democrat el lection results, voting results, registered vo icopyright" content="soyl]">kmeta name="isopic" itent="">kmeta name="sopic" content="'>kmeta to" content="contact@soyl] :coverage" content="Wooldwide">kmeta name="di tp-equiv="Cache-Control" content="no-cache">km ' content="https://www.soyl].com/wp-content/th k rel="stylesheet" type="text/css"</pre>
<pre>} .dragged { position: absolute; opacity: 0.5; z-index: 2000; } .sidebar-list li.placeholder { position: relative; height: 5px; background: red; width: 100%; z-index: 1; }</pre>				

÷

Mark Cook

South Carolina:





There are more, these above are just two small examples. There are countless more and a multitude of different types available for demonstration.

Mark Cook

Edison "Zeros" featuring cumulative vote decrements



See details at: <u>https://survivalmagazine.org/news/not-to-miss-revisiting-interview-with-draza-smith-and-jeff-odonnell-regarding-edison-data-from-2020-election/</u>

•Results should equal if in a real election – results would be the same for all reporting at all times. 'Edison zero' is when results for state data with NYT drop to zero.

•At one point in the night in all the states a zero value was entered into the results.

•Before the 'Edison zero' moment, there are differences between the state and county data.

•After the 'Edison zero' the state and county data are very close. Ultimately both the state and county data come to an agreement.

•This 'Edison zero' moment happened in other races as well (i.e. other races of national interest as recorded at the NYT – governor, senator, etc....).

•Some county data obtained does correlate with Edison county data and some don't. State reporting is likely more related to an algorithm or pre-determined values.

•Battleground states actually had more refined reporting down to the precinct level rather than county level as in other states.

•Draza Smith believes ratio of Trump to Biden votes were preset for each state before the election. But when Texas and then Florida went to Trump voting had to stop while they rearranged the remainder of the swing state votes.

•The Edison zero moment occurred after Florida was called for Trump.

•The Edison zero moment occurred after Florida was called for Trump.

•All states show this pattern.

•Draza Smith says that it's so messed up, that "there's no way of reconstructing the true intention of the voter of any state of any race with the systems we have in place."

•Everything we've put together with the idea of how things happened here, played out exactly the same way in the California recall election. This is why so many of these things make sense once you understand what was happening. Why did all those people...go in an vote and be told, oh you can't vote, you've already cast a vote. In that particular situation the vote was going to be so horrible in the other direction, that they had to go in and not only have the phantom voters to overcome the deficit but they had to steal real people's votes and vote for them and make up the deficit. So the things that we're seeing happening are falling into line that it's the same, it's the same, it's the same. We need to start demanding the answers as to why the same, the same, the same."

•We need an audit of our time stamps. We need to get a better idea of how a ballot goes from the voter, to the tabulator, to the state and Edison and eventually to the New York Times.

Mark Cook

7 Fingerprints of Manipulation can still be in the Data

A type of manipulation used currently can be seen in the election results if ordered by county size. Prior to 2016, there was nearly no correlation between results and the county size. However, beginning in 2016, you can see a huge correlation between the shifting of results and the county size. In other words, as the county size increases, the amount of the shift increases with relation to the size of the county. LARGER COUNT = MORE MANIPULATION. Smaller counties do not experience this. However, larger counties using centralized voting and/or centralize tabulation pave the road for this type of manipulation as seen here:



Arkansas Republican Presidential Primary Historically

This isn't only limited to Arkansas. In fact, this is and has been occurring in many states since 2012. I have access to analysis that would take up another 100+ pages and am happy to go over them and bring some experts in. Much like financial fraud, those rigging elections strongly prefer manipulating smaller percentages of larger municipalities than larger percentages of smaller municiplaties through the use of graduated-style algorithms.





Mark Cook

8 Their Information/Diversion/Lawfare Strategy

They intentionally deploy diversions and instigate chaos, usually in very successful operations to distract the citizens while they attempt to drain every bit of our resources and spirit.

8.1 Some Examples:

- Use main-stream and social media outlets to relentlessly perpetuate a narrative that attempts to mandate the public's trust in a co-opeted election system.
- Show egregious videos of the public and party members being blocked from observing election operations to cause noise created by public outrage, stifling quieter, more insightful and more credible minds who might otherwise involve themselves in a forensic investigation, but are scared off by the media insanity.
- Release videos of suitcases of ballots being emptied in the middle of the night as a distraction to the real underlying sophistication of the much larger crime.
- File high-profile lawsuits with an army of lawyers against any that dare to question their beloved electronic voting manipulation systems in an attempt to scare everyone else from daring to do the same.
- Stage events to set up those exercising their rights to protest and/or seek redress and to manipulate the rest of the country to attack their own citizens.

9 Conclusion

9.1 Who's Doing It

9.1.1 Isolated Manipulation

This current election ecosystem creates an environment ripe with abuse vectors, allowing local manipulation by those that have figured out how to take advantage of those vectors. These corrupt individuals in many local counties across the country are currently manipulating their elections.

9.1.2 Communal Strategic Manipulation

A small talented group with years of development. Attacks of this sophistication would have taken many years and numerous election cycles to plan and prepare for. The universe of people with the know-how, the means, and the will to both ochestrate such crimes would be very small, perhaps less than a few hundred suspects, who possess deep technical understanding of elections and the systems that have been designed and put in place to usurp the citizens.

Over years of this perpetrated abuse, they have also infiltrated and successfully, recruited, trained, and placed agents throughout the Country (some brought in from outside our Country) and installed into roles in some State, County, and other various strategic positions within our Government and Intelligence agencies.

Mark Cook

9.2 How We Fix It

An uncontrolled system cannot be made secure. The following ideals are paramount in need for a truly functional election ecosystem:

- Simple enough for every citizen to understand from registration through reporting.
- **Transparent** enough for every citizen to see every part of the election.
- Verifiable enough for every citizen to ensure complete accuracy of every result.
- Accessible to all citizens that choose to vote.
- Local control by citizens at the precincts, coordinated by their elected County officials.

The solution is simple. After exposing the players, their network, and dismantling their numerous systems of control, we start with the simple process that worked for us for over 200 years. Then we <u>improve</u> it with technology to meet the above five core priorities. A simplified overview of how we create Earned Trust in our Elections by returning them to the citizens who they rightfully belong to, and therefore Restore Our Constitutional Republic:

- In-person voter registration at the County.
- County-controlled voter registration with common data sharing for other counties to crossreference their voters lists to ensure no duplicates.
- Precinct-based voting.
- Voter-ID.
- 'Count Where Cast' Set up counting of the ballots immediately at poll close without moving them anywhere first.
- Set up inexpensive cameras (under \$100ea) with individual recording around the room (never being able to view someone's votes).
- Scan ballots to PDF (color, double-sided, 300 dpi) on simple scanners (under \$500) immediately after opening ballot box. (ballots have no personal identifiable information on them).
- Anti-counterfeit ballot paper.
- Citizens tabulate the ballots under additional inexpensive cameras, determining voter intent themselves, with multi-partisan teams, observers, poll watchers.
- Use paper cast-vote record tally sheets.
- Scan all tally sheets and other election-related paperwork.
- Ideally, scanners would create HASHes of each document scanned and cameras would create HASHes of each video file.
- All citizens that are part of the process certify their results with their signatures.
- Post results on the outside of the building for citizens to verify against county website results.
- Share results with County and Secretary of State.
- Deliver all ballot image USBs, camera SD cards, and paperwork to County Officials.

Mark Cook

- Our Election Officials then become heroes for helping us conduct a fully transparent verifiable election by posting on the County website:
 - Precinct-level results
 - Ballot images
 - Cast Vote Record Tally Sheets
 - Video recordings from all the precinct cameras
 - All other Election Paperwork that does not violate privacy

9.3 Actions that Must be Taken Immediately

- **Create a Congressional Task Force** vested with investigative authority to explore compliance and chain of custody concerns around voter registration systems, poll books, tabulators, and election night reporting systems.
- Issue a subpoena to Center for Internet Security to validate information shared during their hearing.
- Conduct an Election Night Reporting System Chain of Custody Hearing featuring testimony by Edison Research, the Associated Press, Knowink/BPro, SCYTL/Clarity Elections, Dominion Voting Systems, ES&S, Hart Intercivic, Unisyn Voting Systems, National Association of Secretaries of State, National Association of State Election Directors, and the Center for Internet Security.

9.4 Who Will Fix It

Every citizen in our Country is currently suffering the consequences of decades of manipulated elections by the political elite as they play us against each other. And now, millions of us have had our eyes opened to what's been going on right under our noses. Many of us, including a good number of brave officials, are working tirelessly to regain control of our elections in our respective counties, despite a constant barrage of attacks by a regime of corrupt actors benefiting from this entire stolen election system. I for one, will take everything I've learned in nearly 40 years in information technology, and do everything I can and put in whatever work it takes to help return elections to the people of my Country and restore happiness and true liberty for all, including and most importantly our future generations. I know right now that thousands of others are willing to stand by my side and do the same. Who up top has the courage and tenacity to take the next needed steps in joining us to save **our** Nation? If someone should call on me to step up further, I'd do so without hesitation, honored to serve my Country.

Mark Cook

-A Proud Fearless American Citizen that has given up and lost nearly everything, loves and is fighting tirelessly for the benefit of all my fellow Citizens, even those that have fallen victim to the real lie and have not yet realized the truth hidden under the veil of deceit that has affected us all.

303-990-2660 <u>PatriotMC@ProtonMail.com</u> HandCountRoadShow.org