Mark Cook

Doña Ana County, NM 2024-05-03

- Mechanical Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Computer Science
- Hardware
- Software Development
- Cyber-Security
- Forensics
- Complex Systems
- Root Cause Analysis
- Election Technology

Mark Cook

Mark Cook

Doña Ana County, NM 2024-05-03

- Mechanical Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Computer Science
- Hardware
- Software Development
- Cyber-Security
- Forensics
- Complex Systems
- Root Cause Analysis
- Election Technology

First Stop: Washington, D.C.



Mark Cook

Mark Cook

Doña Ana County, NM 2024-05-03

- Mechanical Engineering
- Electrical Engineering
- Computer Science
- Hardware
- Software Development
- Cyber-Security
- Forensics
- Complex Systems
- Root Cause Analysis
- Election Technology

Visits

California
Arizona
Nevada
Texas
South Carolina
Florida
Kansas
Michigan
Virginia
Arkansas
North Carolina
Montana
Washington
Colorado
Utah
Pennsylvania
Ohio
Wisconsin
New Mexico

Mark Cook

We CAN Save Our Republic

To do so, YOU

MUST GET INVOLVED

IN YOUR COUNTY

Mark Cook

For 2024:

Opt-OUT of Mail-In Ballots
Learn how elections work in your county - Study your laws
Audit and clean, then MONITOR your voter rolls
WORK YOUR ELECTIONS!
Watch for and report problems before/during/after the election
Get set up on website **ElectionEyes.US**

MarkCook

ElectionEyes.US



ElectionEyes

Incident Submission

Submit a Report Online

Submit a Report by Phone

Precinct Tape Submission

Precinct Tape Upload

Click here to request ElectionEyes to be set up for your area

Mark Cook

ElectionEyes.US

NOTE: It is imperative that Reporting Voters are fully lawful, are not intrusive or disruptive in any way, and afford others their due privacy.

State *

Select an option

Report submitted by *

First

Last

Phone

Email *

Date of Incident *

Did you observe the incident? *

- Yes
- No

What was your postition when you observerd/heard about the issue? *

- Poll Observer
- Precinct Election Official
- Reporting Poll Voter (if not the above two)

Mark Cook

For 2024:

Opt-OUT of Mail-In Ballots
Learn how elections work in your county - Study your laws
Audit and clean, then MONITOR your voter rolls
WORK YOUR ELECTIONS!
Watch for and report problems before/during/after the election
Get set up on website **ElectionEyes.US**
Set up county-controlled parallel paper/in-house digital poll books
Secure/eliminate drop boxes - ID on ballot drop-off!
Work with your Sheriff to uphold election laws
Take screen shots/pictures of all real-time results on websites/media
Audit every aspect post-election including entire chain of custody
WATCH-PARTIES: 2000 Mules - LetMyPeopleGo.movie – State-Of-Denial.com
GET OUT THE VOTE! Primaries AND General! OVERWHELM THE CHEAT!
Use a BLUE PEN to fill out circles on ballot #BluePen

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

YOU MUST TAKE ACTION LOCALLY!!!

Mark Cook

The Nut Shell

Elections are a tool to measure the will of the people.

Mark Cook

Food Scale

Food Scales are a tool to measure the weight of your food.



Thumb on the Scale Scam

Whole Foods CEOs Admit to Overcharging Customers: 'We Made Some Mistakes'

On Wednesday, Whole Foods released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that found Whole Foods guilty of routinely overcharging customers.

By **Staff Author** | Updated on December 3, 2020 01:55PM EST



Whole Foods has just released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that accused Whole Foods of routinely overcharging customers.

"Straight up, we made some mistakes," began Robb, who stood by Mackey in the video released Wednesday.

In June, the Department of Consumer Affairs stated that the supermarket chain, which boasts a total of 422 stores in the United States, had been overcharging customers due to an overstated weight/price ratio when it came to certain items, including vegetables, fruit and prepackaged meat.

Although recent reports took aim at New York City locations, pricing errors were also uncovered in a California-based Whole Foods, resulting in a handful of penalties.

Mark Cook

Thumb on the Scale Scam

Whole Foods CEOs Admit to Overcharging Customers: 'We Made Some Mistakes'

On Wednesday, Whole Foods released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that found Whole Foods guilty of routinely overcharging customers.

By **Staff Author** | Updated on December 3, 2020 01:55PM EST

Whole Foods has just released a video starring its CEOs—Walter Robb and John Mackey—to respond to backlash facing the company, following a lengthy investigation that accused Whole Foods of routinely overcharging customers.

"Straight up, we made some mistakes," began Robb, who stood by Mackey in the video released Wednesday.

In June, the Department of Consumer Affairs stated that the supermarket chain, which boasts a total of 422 stores in the United States, had been overcharging customers due to an overstated weight/price ratio when it came to certain items, including vegetables, fruit and prepackaged meat.

Although recent reports took aim at New York City locations, pricing errors were also uncovered in a California-based Whole Foods, resulting in a handful of penalties.

An **INTENTIONAL ACT** can be easily disguised as a **MISTAKE**



The Nut Shell

Elections are a tool to measure the will of the people.

We are expected to blindly trust them.

They can be easily manipulated.

We can’t see the manipulation.

Mark Cook

Mark Cook 303-990-2660 PatriotMC@protonmail.com

HandCountRoadShow.org and ACCFEI.org




Mark Cook

PLEASE SIGN UP FOR NEWSLETTER!

The best victims are those easily distracted



Open your eyes



Mark Cook

How did we get here?

Mark Cook

How did we get here?

They think you are stupid.

Mark Cook

Who is THEY?

Mark Cook

THEY are the Evil Genius Architects



HOW CAN ANYONE HONESTLY SAY THERE IS NO EVIDENCE?

THE 2020 COUP

WHO WILL HONOR THEIR OATHS OF OFFICE?

PREPARATION

The goal of this phase is to win the battle before most believe it is even being fought by creating weaknesses in the election system or enhancing existing weaknesses that maximize chance of fraudulent victory while minimizing the risk of ever being caught.

MAIN ATTACK

The goal of this phase is to cast and or shift a sufficient number of absentee ballot votes to secure victory in a manner that minimizes the risk of detection. The number of ballots needed is determined by the projected voter turnout and the vote margins that would fit a plausible post-election narrative.

BACKUP ATTACK

The goal of this phase is to inject or shift a sufficient number of votes to overcome any deficit resulting from inaccurate voter turnout projections. Minimizing the risk of detection is no longer a primary concern.

DEFENSE

The goal of this phase is to prevent anyone from overturning the fraudulent election results.

LET'S FIX STUFF

LETSFIXSTUFF.ORG

#MEDDLINGKIDS

See evidence links and much more at LetsFixStuff.org

#FULLFORENSICAUDIT

Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration
Voter Validation
Tabulation
Reporting

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation

Voter Validation

Tabulation

Reporting

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation

Voter Validation – Controls which Ballots get Counted

Tabulation

Reporting

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation

Voter Validation – Controls which Ballots get Counted

Tabulation – Controls how Ballots get Counted

Reporting

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem

Voter Registration – Controls # of Ballots in Circulation

Voter Validation – Controls which Ballots get Counted

Tabulation – Controls how Ballots get Counted

Reporting – Controls what Totals we see

Mark Cook


#AMJOY
LIVE
MSNBC
JP FOR THE MSNBC DAILY NEWSLETTER TEXT MSNBC TO 66866
KANEKOATHEGREAT
SHARP REPORT
DEMOCRATS JOIN CYBERSECURITY EXPERTS IN WARNING OF VOTING MACHINE VULNERABILITIES BEFORE 2020 ELECTION
Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem: Control

	Precinct	County					
Voter Reg		**PHYSICAL**					
Voter Validation	**PHYSICAL**						
Tabulation	**PHYSICAL**						
Hand Audit	**100%**						
Reporting		**PHYSICAL**					
Transparency							

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem: Control

	Precinct	County					
Voter Reg		**PHYSICAL**					
Voter Validation	**PHYSICAL**						
Tabulation	**PHYSICAL**						
Hand Audit	**100%**						
Reporting		**PHYSICAL**					
Transparency	**HIGH**						

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem: Control

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County					
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL					
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL						
Tabulation	PHYSICAL						
Hand Audit	100%						
Reporting		PHYSICAL					
Transparency	HIGH						


Mark Cook

What is an Attack Vector?




Mark Cook

What is an Attack Vector?




Mark Cook

What is an Threat Actor?




Mark Cook

Threat Actors exploit Attack Vectors




Mark Cook

Adding windows make it faster and easier to see outside




Mark Cook

More Attack Vectors




Mark Cook

What is an Attack Surface?




Mark Cook

Which is safer?




Threat Actors target FEDERAL, STATE, and LOCAL Elections






Mark Cook


Threat Actors target FEDERAL, STATE, and LOCAL Elections




Threat Actors target FEDERAL, STATE, and LOCAL Elections




This is 5th+ Generation Warfare









Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL					
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL						
Tabulation	PHYSICAL						
Hand Audit	100%						
Reporting		PHYSICAL					
Transparency	HIGH						

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL						
Tabulation	PHYSICAL						
Hand Audit	100%						
Reporting		PHYSICAL					
Transparency	HIGH						

Mark Cook

Which States Are Members Of ERIC?

As of November 2022 Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. The District of Columbia is also a member. (32 states plus D.C.)

Who Controls ERIC?

What Data Does ERIC Collect From Member States?

What Reports Do States Receive From ERIC?

Who Pays For ERIC Operations?

Does ERIC Help Members Save Money?

Mark Cook

State of Utah Voter Registration Form

Voter Instructions - You can also register to vote online at voter.utah.gov

You may use this form to:

- Register to vote in Utah
- Preregister to vote if you are 16 or 17 years of age
- Change your name or address on your voter registration record
- Affiliate with a party or change your party affiliation

To register to vote in Utah, you must:

- Be a citizen of the United States
- Have resided in Utah at least 30 days immediately before the next election
- Be at least 18 years of age on or before the next election, OR
- Be 17 years of age but will be at least 18 years of age on or before the next general election

NOTICE: Voter registration records are considered public under Utah Code § 63G-2-301, excluding driver license or identification card numbers, Social Security numbers, email addresses, and the day of your month of birth. Preregistration records are considered private until the registrant reaches 18 years of age.

The portion of a voter registration form that lists a person's month or year of birth is a private record, the use of which is restricted to government officials, government employees, political parties or certain other persons.

Mail-in registration instructions:

- Complete all required information; if not applicable write "N/A."
- If you have registered to vote with a different name or address, complete the change of information section.
- **One of the following is required: a Utah Driver License number, a Utah State Identification number, or the last four digits of your Social Security number. If you do not have a Utah Driver License or a Utah State Identification card, please write "None" in the space designated for a Utah Driver License or Utah State Identification and fill in the last four digits of your Social Security number.**
- Read the voter declaration and citizenship affidavit and sign and date below.

Deadline for submitting this form:

- By Mail: This form must be postmarked at least 30 days before an election to be eligible to vote in that election (see the back of this form).
- Walk In: This form must be delivered in person to your county clerk at least 7 days before the election to be eligible to vote in that election.

Please note:

- If you are qualified and the information on your form is complete, your county clerk will mail confirmation of your registration to you.
- You may apply to the lieutenant governor or your county clerk to have your entire voter registration record classified as private.
- You must present valid voter identification to the poll worker before voting, which must be a valid form photo identification that shows your name and photograph, or, (2) two different forms of identification that show your name and current address.
- For more information contact your county clerk (see the back of this form) or the Lieutenant Governor's Office at vote.utah.gov or 1-800-995-VOTE.

In accordance with Utah code section 20A-2-401, the penalty for willfully causing, procuring, or allowing yourself to be registered or preregistered to vote if you know you are not entitled to register or preregister to vote is up to one year in jail and a fine of up to $2,500.

Reason(s) for completing this form (optional)
□ New Registration □ Party Affiliation Change
□ Address Change □ Name Change

Are you a citizen of the United States of America? □ Yes □ No
If you checked "no" to the above question, do not complete this form.
Will you be at least 18 years of age on or before election day? □ Yes □ No
If you checked "no" to the above question, are you 16 or 17 years of age and preregistering to vote? □ Yes □ No
If you checked "no" to both of the prior two questions, do not complete this form.

Last Name	First Name	Middle Name

Name at Birth (if different than above)	Place of Naturalization (if applicable)	Date

Physical Address (required, principal place of residence, no P.O. Box)	County	City	State	Zip Code

Mailing Address (if different from physical)	County	City	State	Zip Code

Phone Number (optional)	Date of Birth (required, month/day/year)	Place of Birth (required, state or country)

Utah Driver License or Identification # **AND/OR** Last 4 Digits of Social Security #

Email Address (optional)

Political Party Affiliation: (optional)
□ Constitution □ Democratic □ Green □ Independent American □ Libertarian
□ Republican □ United Utah □ Unaffiliated (no party preference) □ Other _____ (specify)

Would you like to receive your ballot by mail on an on-going basis? (read declaration) □ Yes □ No

I am a person with a disability (optional) □ Yes □ No

Would you like to classify your registration as a private record? □ Yes □ No

If previously registered and/or changing personal information, also fill out this section. □ Never registered in State of Utah

Name on Previous Registration_____ Address on Previous Registration_____ City_____ State _____ Zip_____

Read and Sign below

Voter Declaration: I do swear (or affirm), subject to penalty of law for false statements, that the information contained in this form is true and that I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Utah, residing at the above address. Unless I have indicated above that I am preregistering to vote in a later election, I will be at least 18 years of age and will have resided in Utah for 30 days immediately before the next election. I am not a convicted felon currently incarcerated for commission of a felony.
Citizenship Affidavit: I hereby swear and affirm, under penalties for voting fraud set forth below in Utah Code Section 20A-2-401, that I am a citizen and that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information above is true and correct.
Vote By-Mail (only if requested): I am a qualified elector, residing at the address above and I am applying for an official absentee ballot to be sent to me and voted by me at each election in which I am eligible to vote.

Signature	Today's Date
X	


OFFICE USE ONLY	Type of ID	Voting Precinct	Voting ID #	Type: Mail Form Date: 05/18

Some Utah 16-year-olds sent primary election ballots by mistake

by Kyle Harvey | Tue, June 16th 2020, 7:26 PM MDT



Some Utah 16-year-olds sent primary election ballots by mistake (Photo: KUTV)



In Utah, 16- and 17-year-olds can "pre-register" to vote when they file paperwork for a driver license.

Under normal circumstances, the system tags the name as ineligible at first and then is programmed to change its status on the driver's 18th birthday. But an error last week caused 150 new licensed drivers in several counties to be given active status right away.

"Very small glitch and I'm glad we caught it early," said Justin Lee, the state's director of elections.

SALT LAKE CITY (KUTV) — Local and state elections officials say a state computer system is to blame for a glitch that sent ballots for the upcoming primary election to dozens of teens who'd recently applied for driver licenses.

Mark Cook

Proof of Citizenship Requirements

NOTICE! [Migration]

January 11, 2024 Thursday @ 5 PM

On January 11, the Arizona Secretary of State website will be migrating to an upgraded Content Managing System (CMS).

Things to be aware of about this migration:

- This is currently only for azsos.gov; azlibrary.gov isn't affected.
- Some URLs/links may be broken.
  - Broken links will either lead you to a different page if you have some azsos.gov pages bookmarked or will appear to be nonexistent.
  - This also applies to links/URLs to files you have bookmarked or are in documents you've saved/created.
- Please use the navigation menu or search box to help find what you're looking for.
- The old pages may still be cached in your browser; clearing your browser cache can help.

We appreciate your patience and apologize for the inconvenience!

English | Español

Important Information Regarding Proof of Citizenship

A person must be a U.S. citizen in order to register and vote.

A person who submits valid proof of citizenship with his or her voter registration form (regardless of the type of form submitted) is entitled to vote in all federal, state, county and local elections in which he or she is eligible. The voter registration form otherwise must be sufficiently complete.

A person is not required to submit proof of citizenship with the voter registration form, but failure to do so means the person will only be eligible to vote in federal elections (known as being a "federal only" voter). A "federal only" voter will become eligible to vote a "full ballot" in all federal, state, county and local elections if he or she later provides valid proof of citizenship to the appropriate County Recorder's office.

Federal only voters may use the Federal Voter Registration form, available here.

Federal Voter Registration Form (English)

Accepted Proof of Citizenship Information

If you have an Arizona drivers license or non-operating identification card issued after October 1, 1996, you will only need to list the license number on box 9 of the voter registration form.

If you do not have an Arizona license you may need to provide one of the following documents to establish proof of citizenship:

1. Supporting legal documentation (i.e. marriage certificate) if the name on the birth certificate is not the same as your current legal name.
2. A legible photocopy of the pertinent pages of your passport.
3. U.S. naturalization documents or fill in your Alien Registration Number in box 11 on the voter registration form.
4. Your Indian Census Number, Bureau of Indian Affairs Card Number, Tribal Treaty Card Number, or fill in your Tribal Enrollment Number in box 10 on the voter registration form.
5. A legible photocopy of your Tribal Certificate of Indian Blood or Tribal or Bureau of Indian Affairs Affidavit of Birth.

Contact Elections

Office of the Secretary of State
Elections Division
1700 W Washington St Fl 7
Phoenix AZ 85007-2808

602-542-8683
1.877.THE VOTE

Email Elections

County Elections Contact Information

Arizona (as of 2024-01-09)
No proof of citizenship = Vote in Federal Elections

Important Information Regarding Proof of Citizenship

A person must be a U.S. citizen in order to register and vote.

A person who submits valid proof of citizenship with his or her voter registration form (regardless of the type of form submitted) is entitled to vote in all federal, state, county and local elections in which he or she is eligible. The voter registration form otherwise must be sufficiently complete.

A person is not required to submit proof of citizenship with the voter registration form, but failure to do so means the person will only be eligible to vote in federal elections (known as being a "federal only" voter). A "federal only" voter will become eligible to vote a "full ballot" in all federal, state, county and local elections if he or she later provides valid proof of citizenship to the appropriate County Recorder's office.

Federal only voters may use the Federal Voter Registration form, available here:

Federal Voter Registration Form (English)

Mark Cook

sanjuancounty.org/sites/default/files/fileattachments/clerk/page/25990/2022_absentee_ballot_application_2016.pdf

1 / 2 | − 171% + |

State of Utah
Absentee Ballot Application

Updated 05/2015

Who is eligible to vote by absentee ballot:
Any person who is registered to vote may vote by absentee ballot (See Utah Code 20A-3-301).

How to apply for an absentee ballot:
Fill out the following application, and then mail or drop off the application to your county clerk's office. You may also request an absentee ballot online at VOTER.UTAH.GOV.

Overseas and military voters (UOCAVA voters) may electronically request an absentee ballot, receive an absentee ballot electronically, and return a completed absentee ballot electronically. Forms may be emailed to elections@utah.gov or submitted directly to your county clerk.

When to apply for an absentee ballot:
The county clerk must receive the absentee ballot application no later than the Thursday before the election. See the reverse side of this form for your county clerk's mailing address and contact information.

How to cancel an absentee ballot request:
Contact your county clerk if you no longer wish to receive absentee ballots.

All of the elections in 2016 will be conducted by mail meaning that all active voters will receive the ballot in the mail about 3 weeks before the election.

Absentee ballot applications only need to be filled out by those voters that need a ballot sent to a location other than their normal mailing address.

sanjuancounty.org/sites/default/files/fileattachments/clerk/page/25990/2022_absentee_ballot_application_2016.pdf

1 / 2 | − 171% + |

Last Name	First Name	Middle Name	Date of Birth (month/day/year)	
Physical Address		City	State	ZIP Code

I, a qualified elector (voter), residing in _____ County at the above address, apply for an official absentee ballot to be voted by me at the election. If I am applying for an absentee ballot for a regular or Western States Presidential primary election, I apply for an official absentee ballot for the _____ political party to be voted by me at the primary election. **I understand that I must be affiliated with or authorized to vote the political party's ballot that I request.**

I would like my name placed on the permanent absentee voter list: ____ YES ____ NO

If you checked NO to the above question, please indicate when you would like to be removed from the absentee voter list: _____
(examples: "12/1/2020" or "After the 2020 General Election")

I request that the ballot be mailed to the following address: _____

_____

I am an overseas citizen: ____ YES ____ NO I am an overseas military voter: ____ YES ____ NO I am a domestic military voter: ____ YES ____ NO

If you checked YES, you may designate a fax no. or email where your ballot will be sent: _____
(If blank, ballot will be mailed to the address listed above)

Read and Sign Below:

Application provided by a third party: I understand that a person that collects this absentee ballot application is required to file it with the appropriate election official before the earlier of fourteen days after the day on which I sign the application or the Thursday before the next election. This form is provided by: _____.

Applicant Declaration: I have verified that the information on this application is correct.

_____
Voter's Signature **Date (month/day/year)**

OFFICE USE ONLY Voter ID #: _____ Precinct: _____ Ballot Number: _____ Ballot Format: _____ Date Voted: _____

MarkCook

sanjuancounty.org/sites/default/files/fileattachments/clerk/page/25990/2022_voter-registration-form5-20.pdf

1 / 2 | – 163% + |

State of Utah Voter Registration Form

Reason(s) for completing form: ☐ New Registration ☐ Party Affiliation Change ☐ Address Change ☐ Name Change

Eligibility — 1

☐ Yes ☐ No Are you a citizen of the United States?
If you answered "No", do not complete this form.

☐ Yes ☐ No Will you be at least 18 years of age on or before election day?

☐ Yes ☐ No If you checked "no" to the above question, are you 16 or 17 years of age and preregistering to vote?
If you answered "No" to both of the prior two questions, do not complete this form.

Name — 2

Last Name | First Name | Middle Name | Suffix

Name at Birth (if different from above)

The address where you live — 3

Residential Address (no P.O. Boxes) | Unit or Apt. Number | City or Town

State | Zip Code | County in Utah

The address where you get your mail — 4

☐ Same as above

Mailing Address or P.O. Box | Unit or Apt. Number

City or Town | State | Zip Code

Identification — 5

Write your birth date below:
__ – __ – ____
MM DD YYYY

☐ I have a valid **Utah Driver's License** or valid **Utah ID** card.
Write that number here: __ __ __ __ __ __ __ __ __ __

☐ I do not have a valid **Utah Driver's License** or valid **Utah ID** card.
Write the last four digits of your Social Security Number here: __ __ __ __

☐ I do not have a valid UT Driver's License, valid UT ID card, or a Social Security Number.

Place of Birth (state or country) | Place of naturalization (if applicable) | Date of naturalization (if applicable)

Political party affiliation — 6

I would like to be a member of the following political party:

☐ Constitution ☐ Democratic ☐ Green ☐ Independent American ☐ Libertarian

☐ Republican ☐ United Utah ☐ Unaffiliated (no party preference) ☐ Other:_____ (specify)

Mark Cook

sanjuancounty.org/sites/default/files/fileattachments/clerk/page/25990/2022_voter-registration-form5-20.pdf

Optional information — 7

( ) _____
Phone number with area code

☐ I am a voter with a disability ☐ I would like to be a poll worker

Important election information is often sent by email:
*(This **does not mean** your ballot will be emailed to you)*

_____
Email Address (confidential)

Active military and voters living outside of the U.S. — 8

☐ I am a voter residing out of the U.S. ☐ I am an active military voter or a dependent of one

If you checked either of the boxes above, you may designate a fax number or email address where your ballot will be sent:

_____

Updating a current record? — 9

☐ I have previously registered to vote

☐ I have never registered to vote (skip this section)

_____
Previous Residential Address

_____
Unit or Apt. Number

_____
City or Town

_____
State

_____
Zip Code

_____
Previous legal name (if applicable)

Privacy information — 10

Voter registration records contain some information that is available to the public, such as your name and address, some information that is available only to government entities, and some information that is available only to certain third parties in accordance with the requirements of law. Your driver license number, identification card number, social security number, email address, and full date of birth are available only to government entities. Your year of birth is available to political parties, candidates for public office, certain third parties, and their contractors, employees, and volunteers, in accordance with the requirements of law. You may request that all information on your voter registration records be withheld from all persons other than government entities, political parties, candidates for public office, and their contractors, employees, and volunteers, by indicating here:

☐ **Yes, I request that all information on my voter registration records be withheld from all persons other than government entities, political parties, candidates for public office, and their contractors, employees, and volunteers.**

For information about requesting additional privacy protection, and to learn about specific circumstances when a person's voter registration can be withheld from the groups listed above, please see the reverse side of this form.

Voter Declaration — 11

Read and Sign below

Voter Declaration: I do swear (or affirm), subject to penalty of law for false statements, that the information contained in this form is true and that I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of Utah, residing at the above address. Unless I have indicated above that I am preregistering to vote in a later election, I will be at least 18 years of age and will have resided in Utah for 30 days immediately before the election. I am not a convicted felon currently incarcerated for commission of a felony.
Citizenship Affidavit: I hereby swear and affirm, under penalties for voting fraud set forth below in Utah Code Section 20A-2-401, that I am a citizen and that to the best of my knowledge and belief the information above is true and correct.

_____
Signature

_____
Today's Date

OFFICE USE ONLY	Type of ID	Voting Precinct	Voting ID #	Type: Mail Form Date: 05/20

Information about this registration

You may also register to vote or update your registration online at www.voter.utah.gov

How do I turn in this form?

You can submit this form by mailing, delivering, or scanning and emailing it to your county clerk's office. You can obtain your county clerk's contact information at www.vote.utah.gov or by calling the Office of the Utah Lieutenant Governor.

You may also mail your registration to:

Office of the Utah Lieutenant Governor
Elections Division
P.O. Box 142325
Salt Lake City, UT 84114

Am I eligible to register to vote?

You are eligible to register to vote if you:

- Are a citizen of the United States
- Have resided in Utah for at least 30 days immediately before the next election
- Are at least 18 years of age on or before the next election*
- If you are 16 or 17 years of age, you may preregister to vote.

**A voter who is 17 years of age is eligible to participate in a Primary Election if they will turn 18 years of age on or before the date of the next General Election.*

When is the voter registration deadline?

This registration form must be received by your county clerk's office at least 11 days before the election. If this form is not received by this deadline, you may register to vote at a voting center by voting with a provisional ballot and providing two forms of identification. You can find a list of acceptable forms of identification at www.vote.utah.gov.

How will I know if my registration was processed?

Your county clerk's office will send you an official voter information card by mail within 30 days of processing your voter registration form. You can also check the status of your voter registration by visiting www.vote.utah.gov.

Is voter registration information confidential?

The list of registered voters is considered public record and available to the general public in Utah. However, Utah law grants protections to voters who wish to not have their information publicly available. "Section 10" of the Voter Registration Form allows for a voter to protect their information from certain parties, while the section below (titled "How do I request additional privacy protection?") discusses additional privacy protections that are available to certain individuals. A few items remain confidential and are never provided to any requester: your social security number, your UT Driver's License number/UT ID number, email address, full date of birth, and your signature.

How do I request additional privacy protection?

In addition to the protections outlined in "Section 10" of the Voter Registration Form, you may request that all information on your voter registration records be withheld from all political parties, candidates for public office, and their contractors, employees, and volunteers, by submitting a withholding request form, and any required verification, with this registration form, or to the Office of the Utah Lieutenant Governor or a county clerk.

Who can submit a withholding request form?

- A person who is a victim, or is likely to be a victim, of domestic violence or dating violence
- Law enforcement officers
- Individuals protected by protective or protection orders
- Members of the armed forces
- Public figures who have a higher safety risk due to their celebrity status or prominent position (does not include elected officials)
- A person who resides with a person described in the bullet points above.

You can obtain a withholding request form from your county clerk's office or the Office of the Utah Lieutenant Governor, or you may download the form online at www.vote.utah.gov.

Will I need identification to vote?

Yes, you will need to provide identification if you vote in person. If you are registering to vote for the first time and are voting by mail, you will need to provide identification to your county clerk's office. A list of acceptable forms of identification can be found at www.vote.utah.gov.

Will I get a ballot in the mail?

If this form is received by your county clerk's office at least 11 days before an election, your county clerk's office will mail you a ballot. If you are unable to submit your registration at least 11 days before an election, you must vote at an in-person voting center.

You can find a nearby voting center on www.vote.utah.gov.

Can I register to vote if I have been arrested or convicted of a crime?

You can register to vote if you:

- Are granted parole;
- Are on probation for a misdemeanor or a felony;
- Are a pretrial detainee awaiting trial;
- Are currently in jail serving a sentence for a misdemeanor that is not related to election law; or
- Are no longer serving a term of imprisonment due to a felony or misdemeanor conviction.

How do I vote if I'm a Utah resident but live outside of the state at the time of an election?

If you are a Utah resident who is actively serving military duty or currently resides outside of the U.S., complete "Section 8" of the Voter Registration Form to be eligible to receive a ballot by fax or email. If you are a Utah resident and would prefer to not receive your ballot at your primary residence, you can obtain an "Alternate Address Request Form" online at www.vote.utah.gov and submit it to your county clerk's office. This will enable you to receive your by-mail ballot at a separate address from your primary residence.

Utah Elections (2020 - 2021)

2020 Primary Election - June 30, 2020
2020 General Election - November 3, 2020
2021 Municipal Primary Election - August 10, 2021
2021 Municipal General Election - November 2, 2021

Who should I contact if I have more questions?

Contact your county clerk's office. You can obtain their contact information at www.vote.utah.gov.

You may also contact the Office of the Utah Lieutenant Governor:

Phone: (801) 538-1041
Email: elections@utah.gov
Website: www.vote.utah.gov



sanjuancounty.org/sites/default/files/fileattachments/clerk/page/25990/2022_voting_rights_poster_english.pdf

1 / 1 | − 51% + |

2022 VOTER INFORMATION

June 28, 2022
PRIMARY ELECTION
November 8, 2022
GENERAL ELECTION

Election Day Poll Hours: 7am-8pm

How do I vote?

1. Present your valid form of ID to the poll worker and sign the official voting register.



2. The poll worker will give you a ballot.



3. Follow the instructions provided.



4. Double-check your selections.



What are my rights?


As a voter, you have the right to:

- Have your ballot fairly and accurately counted
- Vote in any election scheduled in your jurisdiction
- Vote if you are in line before the polls close
- Cast your ballot in private without being interrupted, intimidated, coerced, or threatened
- Ask for help to cast your ballot
- Vote a ballot that is easy to understand and legible
- Replace your ballot if you made a mistake (if you did not already cast your ballot)
- Vote a provisional ballot if you are not allowed to vote on a regular ballot and be able to check the status of that provisional ballot

Voters with Disabilities

Polling locations must be accessible for all voters. Assistance to the hearing and/or visually impaired is provided by electronic voting machines. You may receive assistance from a poll worker or an individual of your choice; however, an employer, union representative, or candidate may not assist you.

5 Cast your ballot. Ask for assistance if needed.



What is valid identification?

Acceptable ID includes *valid*:

- Utah Driver's License
- U.S. Passport
- Tribal ID Card
- Utah Concealed Carry Permit

OR

- **TWO** documents that have your name and provide evidence of residence (such as a utility bill and a bank statement)

The poll worker will provide you with a provisional ballot if you do not have valid ID, you are not found in the official voting register, or your right to vote is challenged. Complete the provisional ballot affidavit and then follow the instructions above to vote.

You may check the status of your provisional ballot after Election Day by visiting vote.utah.gov.

Have your rights been violated or have you witnessed election fraud?

Contact one of these offices:

County Clerk: _____

Utah Lieutenant Governor's Office: **(801) 538-1041**

Utah Attorney General's Office: **(801) 366-0260**


U.S. Department of Justice: **(202) 514-2000**

Prohibitions

It is against the law to:

- Receive money or anything of value to vote, change your vote, or not vote
- Vote if you are ineligible to vote
- Impersonate another voter or vote more than once
- Alter any ballot after it has been cast
- Interfere with election officials or other voters
- Intentionally damage, modify, steal, tamper with, or destroy a voting device or equipment
- Deface, remove, or destroy ballots or other election materials
- Electioneer within 150 feet of this polling location

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)



Mark Cook

'; Introduction();--

sqlmap is an open source penetration testing tool that automates the process of detecting and exploiting SQL injection flaws and taking over of database servers. It comes with a powerful detection engine, many niche features for the ultimate penetration tester and a broad range of switches lasting from database fingerprinting, over data fetching from the database, to accessing the underlying file system and executing commands on the operating system via out-of-band connections.

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)




Mark Cook


Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)




Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)



Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)




Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)




Mark Cook

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)




Mark Cook

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)



Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)



Mark Cook

Iranian Whistleblower exploiting military ballots (Unverified)



Collin County, TX – Voter list inconsistencies

The first issue is that months after an election, voters are removed from the State List. The example below comes from the State List of Voters for Collin County for the March 1, 2022 Primary Election. Notice that from May 29 to May 30 a couple from Plano was removed from the State list of voters. We checked with the County and they have confirmed that they in fact did vote. So what is happening in the State records for this couple to be removed months after the election?

The names have been blurred for privacy. But can be shared with legislators.



Figure 1. Two people removed from the State Roster for March Primary – Removed sometime between 5/29 and 5/30

© 2023 LostVotes.us. All Rights Reserved.

Collin County, TX – Voter list inconsistencies

Here is another example from Collin County where the State added four voters sometime between May 3, 2022 and June 10, 2022. Where did these names come from?

The names have been redacted for privacy. But can be shared with legislators.




Figure 2 comparing 5/3 to 6/10 for the March Primary – 2 duplicates but that might be OK, as it may be possible with suffixes, but there were also 4 names added over 2 months after the election.

© 2023 LostVotes.us. All Rights Reserved.

Galveston County, TX – Voter list inconsistencies

And this issue does not just occur in Collin County.

Here is a chart from Galveston County. This chart was downloaded from the SOS website on July 2, 2022, and July 24, 2022 (four months after the primary). Notice that there are 2 votes removed from the Official Election Day Voting Information.



11	HIDALGO	an	404,404	4,974	15,219	4.00%	179	15,398	3.81%	Click Here	0	0	0	0	0	HIDALGO	an	404,404	4,974	15,219	4.00%	179	15,398
12	WILLIAMSON	Republican	399,779	20,783	46,517	12.00%	1,582	48,099	12.03%	Click Here	0	0	0	0	0	WILLIAMSON	Republican	399,779	20,783	46,517	12.00%	1,582	48,099
13	MONTGOMERY	Republican	391,078	29,793	69,256	18.00%	3,272	72,528	18.55%	Click Here	0	0	0	0	0	MONTGOMERY	Republican	391,078	29,793	69,256	18.00%	3,272	72,528
14	GALVESTON	Republican	229,469	10,886	29,830	13.00%	1,669	31,499	13.73%	Click Here	0	0	0	2	2	GALVESTON	Republican	229,469	10,886	29,830	13.00%	1,671	31,501
15	BRAZORIA	Republican	228,253	10,374	29,584	13.00%	1,479	31,063	13.61%	Click Here	0	0	0	0	0	BRAZORIA	Republican	228,253	10,374	29,584	13.00%	1,479	31,063
16	CAMERON	Republican	222,642	4,079	10,377	5.00%	280	10,657	4.78%	Click Here	0	0	0	0	0	CAMERON	Republican	222,642	4,079	10,377	5.00%	280	10,657
17	BELL	Republican	218,892	11,131	20,122	9.00%	1,351	21,473	9.81%	Click Here	0	0	0	0	0	BELL	Republican	218,892	11,131	20,122	9.00%	1,351	21,473

7/02 7/24

Figure 3 – This is 4 months after the election. 2 votes were removed from the OFFICIAL Election Day Voting Information.

The election numbers should be a fixed set of names and VUIDs **at the time of certification**. Why are numbers and names changing months after the election? And who is doing this? Is there something in the system that makes these changes?

These data changes do not appear to be happening at the county level. So what is happening at the State level? The SOS website has a disclaimer that says that they do not alter or modify data provided by the county.

> **Disclaimer:** All information displayed is provided by county election officials. The Office of the Secretary of State does not alter or modify the data provided in any way. For questions related to this information, please contact the appropriate county election official

According to the above disclaimer, the State doesn't alter any information; therefore, there should NOT be changes at the State level that are not happening at the County level.

© 2023 LostVotes.us. All Rights Reserved.

Galveston County, TX – Voter list inconsistencies

This series of screen shots shows unusual modifications of the state database with the timestamp going backwards .

DEMOCRATS on 4/22 at 6:13 PM



3hrs

DEMOCRATS on 4/22 at 8:57PM



Figure 4. Comparing the selection menu on 4/22 at approx. 6PM and 9PM – Date stamp is artificially being adjusted.

© 2023 LostVotes.us. All Rights Reserved.

Brazos County, TX – Unusual increase in registered voters



Brazos County grows to more than 120,000 registered voters, deadline for voter registration Monday

The deadline is coming up fast to vote for the November Election

By Clay Falls

Published: Oct. 2, 2020 at 7:01 PM CDT

BRYAN, Texas (KBTX) - If you want to vote in the November election and haven't registered yet you're running out of time.

The deadline is Monday, October 5.


Brazos County now has a new record of more than 120,000 registered voters. Elections Administrator Trudy Hancock said Friday they've seen thousands of new voters added in recent weeks. The deadline to register is Monday and you'll need to know your driver's license number or the last four digits of your social security number. You don't have to present an ID to register.

"Every year we see people show up to the polls wanting to cast a ballot and they can't because they haven't registered and that's why it's very important to register before Monday," said David Hilburn, Chair of the Republican Party of Brazos County.
He said their office is getting lots of calls.


"It's been a big uptick of people registering and whether that's just because of the presidential year or whether it's because of this specific election I don't know. You know as our population grows, it's natural to get people to move in. The numbers are going to go up," Hilburn added.

Brazos County, TX – Unusual Patterns

YEAR	Reg Voters	Voted	Voted %	Early Vote	EV %
1988	64,143	44,690	69.67%	6,536	14.63%
1990	56,949	32,886	57.75%	5,888	17.90%
1992	60,915	49,334	80.99%	15,931	32.29%
1994	58,036	32,641	56.24%	9,118	27.93%
1996	70,204	38,644	55.05%	12,003	31.06%
1998	76,095	26,237	34.48%	8,042	30.65%
2000	80,133	46,941	58.58%	19,097	40.68%
2002	92,659	28,828	31.11%	10,304	35.74%
2004	92,666	54,309	58.61%	38,063	70.09%
2006	87,838	30,388	34.60%	10,974	36.11%
2008	92,984	58,673	63.10%	36,906	39.69%
2010	88,998	37,695	42.35%	18,076	20.31%
2012	91,496	55,866	61.06%	34,844	38.08%
2014	92,928	31,588	33.99%	15,162	16.32%
2016	106,804	67,029	62.76%	46,926	43.94%
2018	111,236	64,836	58%	40,070	36%
2020	122,137	84,753	69.39%	71,847	58.82%
2022	126,123	59,954	47.54%	37,962	30.10%



The Caesar Cipher and Stacking the Deck
New York State Voter Rolls



A Paquette
New York Citizens' Audit

Email: paqart@gmail.com

https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2023/06/new-york-state-legislature-fails-address-catastrophic-breach/

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PAST	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PAST		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PAST						
Hand Audit	100%						
Reporting		PAST					
Transparency	HIGH						

Mark Cook


The Verifier — Poll Books — November 2022

Choose A State

Jump to state or jurisdiction

VerifiedVoting

US Territories Excluded in All Calculations



US Territories

AS | GU | MP | PR | VI

EXCEL | CSV | JSON

Poll Books

Paper Poll Books	18.0%
In-House Electronic Poll Books	12.8%
Commercial Electronic Poll Books	69.3%

The Verifier — Poll Books in New Mexico — November 2024

National Map | New Mexico | Choose A County

VerifiedVoting

Jump to state or jurisdiction



New Mexico

REGISTERED VOTERS (11/2022)	PRECINCTS (11/2022)
1,375,200	2,163

Secretary of State
- Maggie Toulouse Oliver

State Elections Director
- Mandy Vigil

Poll Books

Paper Poll Books	4.0%
Commercial Electronic Poll Books	96.0%

EXCEL | CSV | JSON

Mark Cook

Brazos County, TX – Election 'mistakes'



The issue is now being investigated by the Secretary of State's office. (KBTX)

By Caleb Britt

Published: Oct. 31, 2022 at 1:43 PM CDT

BRYAN, Texas (KBTX) - The Brazos County Elections Office has mailed 76 new mail-in ballots to voters who received ballots reflecting their old precincts. A total of 80 Brazos County residents received ballots reflecting their precincts before redistricting last, but four have now voted in person. The issue was brought to light Friday, Oct. 28, after a voter contacted the elections office saying they received the wrong ballot.

The issue is now being investigated by the Secretary of State's office since the database used to send out mail-in ballots is generated through it. Hancock said her team has gone through all 3,001 ballot by mail applications that have been approved, and no others besides the original 80 are inaccurate.

The 76 voters who haven't voted in person should receive new ballots in the next couple of days, according to Hancock.


"If you choose to vote in person instead of that ballot by mail, please take that ballot with you to the polling place," Hancock said. "You have to surrender that paper ballot at the voting facility to be able to vote in person."

For those who don't have their new ballots but want to vote in person now, you'll have to vote with a provisional ballot, according to Hancock. That ballot will not be counted immediately but will go to the early voting ballot board who'll look at it to ensure the mail-in ballot has not been returned. If that's the case, the provisional ballot will be accepted and counted.

For those expecting mail-in ballots, you can track it here.

Mark Cook

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Blank Signatures Approved


NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE. POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.


LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA. PODER DE ABOGADO no es valido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELEFONO; si la firma es cuestionada)

10/19/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day *(noted on ballot)*


NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE. POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA. PODER DE ABOGADO no es valido para fines de votacion.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

VERIFIED & APPROVED-MCTE


(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)


10/19/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day *(noted on ballot)*

MarkCook


Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Blank Signature and Blank Date Approved





Mark Cook

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Blank Signature and Blank Date Approved


NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE. POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.


LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA. PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day *(noted on ballot)*


NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE. POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN FIRMA. PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

VERIFIED & APPROVED MCTEC


(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day *(noted on ballot)*

Mark Cook

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence: Non-Matching Signature


NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION
26019 S 202ND PL QUEEN CREEK
MANUEL [redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.

LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votacion.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[redacted]
(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

11/3/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day (noted on ballot)


[1] Are you registered to vote at another address? Yes☐ No☐ Not Sure☐
List the former address, including county and state

[redacted]

Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes☐

By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT

Manuel [redacted] 7-22-011

[23] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who assisted you must sign here.
SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING DATE


[24] Will you be willing to work at a polling place on election day? Yes☐ No☐

VERIFIED BR
ISSUE DATE 7-22-11

MCDOE

Mark Cook

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Non-Matching Signature


MARICOPA COUNTY

NOV 3 2020 GENERAL ELECTION

[redacted]

HEATHER [redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE.
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.


LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votacion.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[redacted]
(PHONE, if signature is questioned)
(TELEFONO, si la firma es cuestionada)

10/19/2020
(DATE/ FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day *(noted on ballot)*


[redacted]

[22] Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes ☒ No ☐
Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes ☒ No ☐

If you checked 'No' to either one of these questions, do not submit this form.

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

This is a printed representation of the completed ServiceArizona web form 20200930151226758I

X [signature] 9/30/2020
SIGN HERE DATE


[24] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who assisted you must sign here.

SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING DATE

W E S


Mark Cook

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Non-Matching Signature



Mark Cook


Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Non-Matching Signature



Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Non-Matching Name & Signature




Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Non-Matching Name & Signature



Mark Cook

Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Non-Matching Name & Signature


[redacted]

BALLOT WILL NOT BE COUNTED WITHOUT YOUR SIGNATURE
POWERS OF ATTORNEY are not valid for voting purposes.


LA BOLETA NO SE TRAMITAR SIN SU FIRMA.
PODER DE ABOGADO no es válido para fines de votación.

SIGN WITHIN THE BOX
FIRME DENTRO DE LA CAJA

[redacted]
(PHONE; If signature is questioned)
(TELÉFONO; si la firma es cuestionada)

10/29/2020
(DATE / FECHA)

Within U.S. - MAIL no later than 6 days prior to Election Day *(noted on ballot)*


[redacted]

[22] • Are you a citizen of the United States of America? Yes ■ No □
• Will you be 18 years of age on or before election day? Yes ■ No □
If you checked 'No' to either one of these questions, do not submit this form.

VOTER DECLARATION - By signing below, I swear or affirm that the above information is true, that I am a RESIDENT of Arizona, I am NOT a convicted FELON or my civil rights are restored, and I have NOT been adjudicated INCOMPETENT.

This is a printed representation of the completed ServiceArizona web form 20150913004845000 I


X [redacted]
SIGN HERE

09/13/2015
DATE


[24] If you are unable to sign the form, the form can be completed at your direction. The person who assisted you must sign here.
SIGNATURE OF PERSON ASSISTING DATE

Mark Cook


Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
More than One Vote Cast and Counted




Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
More than One Vote Cast and Counted




Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
More than One Vote Cast and Counted



Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
More than One Vote Cast and Counted



Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Registration Signature Changes AFTER Election Day



Arizona Senate Hearing Evidence:
Registration Signature Changes AFTER Election Day



The Arizona Woodpecker



The Arizona Woodpecker



Arizona AG report finds no evidence of mass fraud in Maricopa County 2020 election results

But Attorney General Mark Brnovich said his office did find "serious vulnerabilities" with signature verification processes and transportation of ballots from drop box locations.



Although Wednesday's report did not find mass fraud or conspiracy in the 2020 election, it outlined his office's concerns with "serious vulnerabilities" involving certain procedures during the campaign, including the signature verification process and the transportation of ballots from drop box locations.


MarkCook

Runbeck Agilis Envelope Sorting and Signature Verification MACHINE



Mark Cook

Runbeck Agilis Envelope Sorting and Signature Verification MACHINE




Using a high-definition camera, an image of each envelope is taken to capture voter information & voter signature for verification.



The sorting system is highly configurable with full reporting & audit capabilities, complete with detailed tray tag printing for document retention purposes.




Interfaces with Voter Registration Systems to manage the status of each mail ballot.



On-screen manual signature verification software included, with the option of adding on Automated Signature Recognition (ASR) software to use in conjunction with the manual verification process.

Mark Cook

VICTOR JOECKS: County lowers 'confidence level' for ballot signatures



A mail-in ballot for the primary election. (Hali Bernstein Saylor/Boulder City Review)

By Victor Joecks Las Vegas Review-Journal

October 20, 2020 - 9:00 pm

Don't miss the big stories. Like us on Facebook.

The Clark County Election Department lowered the factory settings on its signature verification machine. So much for matching signatures as an impenetrable guard against election fraud.

That score is a "confidence level," said Anthony Paiz, vice president of field services of Runbeck Election Services, which makes the machine. Paiz said his company recommends changes to that score are "done with bipartisan" buy-in.

That didn't happen in Clark County, where officials downgraded the confidence level to a 40 out of 100.

"To determine what score to use, we ran tests of the system and concluded that 40 would accept all the signatures that are obvious matches," the spokesman said.

When asked if the "40" confidence level allowed inaccurate ballots to get through, the spokesman didn't respond by deadline. Registrar Joe Gloria

https://www.reviewjournal.com/opinion/opinion-columns/victor-joecks/victor-joecks-county-lowers-confidence-level-for-ballot-signatures-2156478/

refused to answer questions on Monday.

This is terrifying. Clark County is potentially the swing county in the swing state. It has tens of thousands of ballots floating around, legalized ballot harvesting and downgraded signature verification.

Did Clark County, Nevada use a defective signature-matching computer system in the 2020 election?

NO


In 2020, Clark County used a two-step process to verify voter signatures on mail-in ballots. The Agilis computerized scanning system reviewed signatures, accepting about 30%; additional human verification was used for the remaining 70%.

Republicans filed lawsuits challenging the county's signature verification process, which ultimately failed in both state and federal court.

A central claim of the lawsuits was that the Agilis system had a lower sensitivity setting than desired and should have accepted even fewer than 30% of signatures. Election officials testified that Agilis tested well at the setting used and that the county's ballot rejection rate was in line with the statewide signature rejection rate.

"The machine used in Clark County to verify signatures is called Agilis. And earlier this year, Clark County officials announced that they would need to lower the recommended factory tolerance settings on the Agilis machine in order to capture the Nevada Department of Motor Vehicles' signature used to verify mail-in ballots. To match a ballot signature, the Agilis machine requires a clear signature on file with election officials that is scanned at a minimum resolution of 200 d.p.i. Nevertheless, the bulk of Clark County's signature files come from the Nevada DMV, which doesn't even have the capability of scanning signatures at that resolution. Knowing this, Clark County election officials still lowered the signature match threshold in order to capture blurry signatures and speed through the counting process. However, Artificial Intelligence experts and signature verification experts agree that changing the factory settings in this way will largely render the system useless."

Texas Poll Pad Numbers Changing AFTER POLLS CLOSED



Mark Cook

Texas Poll Pad Numbers Changing AFTER POLLS CLOSED



Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%						
Reporting		PHYSICAL					
Transparency	HIGH						

Mark Cook


The Verifier — Manufacturers — November 2022

Choose A State

Jump to state or jurisdiction

VerifiedVoting

US Territories Excluded in All Calculations



US Territories

AS GU MP PR VI

EXCEL | CSV | JSON

Make

Election Systems & Software	43.8%
Dominion Voting Systems	26.3%
Hart InterCivic	12.9%
Unisyn Voting Solutions	3.5%
Clear Ballot	3.4%
Premier Election Solutions (Diebold)	2.5%
Sequoia Voting Systems	2.5%
MicroVote	1.7%
Hand Counted Paper Ballots	0.2%

The Verifier — Manufacturers in New Mexico — November 2024

National Map | New Mexico | Choose A County

VerifiedVoting

Jump to state or jurisdiction



New Mexico

REGISTERED VOTERS (11/2022): 1,375,200

PRECINCTS (11/2022): 2,163

Secretary of State
- Maggie Toulouse Oliver

State Elections Director
- Mandy Vigil

Make

Dominion	100.0%

EXCEL | CSV | JSON

Mark Cook



NBC News asked the two other major manufacturers how many of their tabulators with modems were currently in use. Hart said that it has approximately 1,600 such tabulators in use in 11 counties in Michigan. Dominion did not respond to numerous requests from NBC News for their sales numbers.

'Vulnerable to hacking'

With the 2020 presidential election only ten months away, Appel and Skoglund believe all modems can and should be removed from election systems.

"Modems in voting machines are a bad idea," said Appel. "Those modems that ES&S [and other manufacturers] are putting in their voting machines are network connections, and that leaves them vulnerable to hacking by anybody who can connect to that network."

Mark Cook

THE CAROLINA JOURNAL

POLITICS

Modems removed from voting machines in five N.C. counties



DAVID N. BASS


DECEMBER 15, 2021



Mark Cook

The Key Objectives for this report were answered by this examination:

1. To determine whether D-Suite-implemented security requirements comply with the 2002 Voting System Standards (VSS)
    a. Uncertified software was used on the system rendering the certification of the entire system and all elections conducted with it, Invalid.
    b. Security protections required by law were almost completely absent
        i. Other than a userID and an easily guessed or bypassed password, no authentication was required
        ii. The firewall rule for access to the election database, ballots and results was unrestricted to any IP address in the world
        iii. Together with the firewall rule, Microsoft SQL Server Management Studio (SSMS) enabled complete access to the entire election databases – not just to the 2020 election but to the elections of June 2019 through May 25, 2021.
        iv. A self-signed encryption certificate was used introducing the potential for a man-in-the-middle attack
        v. Thirty-five wireless devices (802.11, Wi-Fi) were installed inside election equipment and an additional wireless device was identified in a connected printer
        vi. Any or all of these wireless devices could have connected to the Internet via the building wireless facilities
        vii. "Purging" (deletion) of critical Audit Log data, as specified by DVS and directed by the Secretary of State[75], destroyed all records of connection to the Internet or elsewhere, all record of user activity, including programs run by these users, errors, and any record of the addition or deletion of votes and the alteration of election results.
    c. **EACH of the compliance failures identified in 1.a. and 1.b. above are clear violations of the law.**




Dell OptiPlex 9030
Motherboard

Used by
Dominion Voting Systems
AT LEAST
in Mesa County, Colorado

Do YOU see the wireless card?



Mark Cook


SECOND LIFE TECHNOLOGY
IPPLP-RH/TH
DELL


PCB MADE IN CHINA
CN-0CYTN6-74491-578-00PJ-A01

“We pay to have our system penetration tested, hacked, basically, to find out are there weaknesses and what can we do to, to fix those weaknesses, right, to make it less hackable.”



Pete Lichtenheld

Senior Vice President of Customer Success at Hart InterCivic

“And I'll fully admit and anyone who says something's not hackable is a fool, so anything can be hacked, right? but it takes access to the thing, knowledge, and mostly time.”

Mark Cook

Test Pvr

Precinct 35-35D

PROVISIONAL

0gg6-yon3-b110c (2-165153-005000000092)

2

Joint Election

Montgomery County, Texas

November 8, 2022 81289v2

To cast your ballot, you must take this record to the separate scanning station and scan it.

	CHOICE	ORDER
U.S. Representative, District 2	*NO SELECTION*	
Governor	*NO SELECTION*	
Lieutenant Governor	*NO SELECTION*	
Attorney General	*NO SELECTION*	
Comptroller of Public Accounts	*NO SELECTION*	
Commissioner of General Land Office	*NO SELECTION*	
Commissioner of Agriculture	*NO SELECTION*	
Railroad Commissioner	*NO SELECTION*	
Justice, Supreme Court, Place 3	*NO SELECTION*	
Justice, Supreme Court, Place 5	*NO SELECTION*	
Justice, Supreme Court, Place 9	*NO SELECTION*	
Judge, Criminal Appeals Court Pl. 5	*NO SELECTION*	
Judge, Criminal Appeals Court Pl. 6	*NO SELECTION*	
State Board of Education District 6	*NO SELECTION*	
State Senator, District 4	*NO SELECTION*	
State Representative, District 15	KRISTIN JOHNSON	2 DEM
Justice, Appeals Court Dist. 9 Pl 2	BOB MABRY	2 DEM
Justice of The Peace, Precinct 3	APRIL PRIM	2 DEM
	** END OF PAGE **	

Harris County Clerk



DOMINION SECURE

Dominion Voting Systems is committed to ensuring the security of elections.

We utilize both voluntary and compulsory testing on every one of our systems as part of company and federal/state certification processes. Our Democracy Suite products have been tested and certified by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) in accordance with federal Voluntary Voting Systems (VVSG).

PRODUCT SECURITY HIGHLIGHTS

Mark Cook

Privacy - Terms



VVSG testing & EAC certification process



Comprehensive, non-alterable audit logs



Secure software development in accordance with federal guidelines for voting systems (VVSG)



Encryption protections



Multi-factor authentication features



Physical security seals and locks



Software independence - producing an auditable, paper record



Full auditing capabilities

OUR SECURITY PROGRAM

Mark Cook

Privacy - Terms

Email from Eric Coomer to another Dominion Employee

From: Eric Coomer
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2020 5:32 PM
To: Sheree R. Noell
Subject: Re: PAN - ICX Safe Mode

We are so broken all over the place

He is ABSOLUTELY CORRECT, based on what I see in this document.

ERIC D. COOMER | DIRECTOR, PRODUCT STRATEGY AND SECURITY

DOMINION VOTING
1201 18th Street, Suite 210, DENVER, CO 80202
1.866.654.8683 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

720.201.1728MOBILE

Mark Cook

Email from Eric Coomer to another Dominion Employee

From: Eric Coomer
Sent: Thursday, January 23, 2020 12:10 AM
To: Sheree R. Noell
Subject: Re: AK - ICP modems failing acceptance testing

Eric nails it again.

We suck

ERIC D. COOMER | DIRECTOR, PRODUCT STRATEGY AND SECURITY


DOMINION VOTING
1201 18th Street, Suite 210, DENVER, CO 80202
1.866.654.8683 | DOMINIONVOTING.COM

720.201.1728MOBILE

Mark Cook


Dominion Employee in Serbia and a possible account hack

Why does Dominion (an alleged US voting system company) have a developer in SERBIA that has access to their information, and possibly source code along with election projects from various states in the US?



To: Jeremy Holck[jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com]
From: Nevena Djuric
Sent: Thur 4/23/2020 8:15:12 AM
Subject: RE: Nevana Logins from Kosovo

I can confirm again that my cell phone was always only in my possession. Last night, around 10 - 11 PM (Serbia time) I was checking mail from my cell phone.
Also, I changed my password yesterday after I received these information.
Option that I can suggest is to use OKTA on my phone only when I am using home internet with the IP address **77.46.240.39**
I'm grateful for the attention and time you put into this case and if there is anything that I can do, please tell me.



Is Jeremy so ignorant that he thinks that an IP address can 'pass its location'? If so, why would Dominion hire someone that doesn't even understand such a basic concept?

From: Jeremy Holck <jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 23, 2020 12:38 AM
To: Nevena Djuric <nevena.djuric@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: RE: Nevana Logins from Kosovo

Strang, another alert just came in. For some reason, the 77.46.240.39 address is passing its location as Kosovo. The first entry below is from an Office 365 log, and it shows Telkom Srbija but a location of Kosovo. I then searched the Okta logs and for the same IP, it is showing Kosovo as well based on it Latitude and Longitude coordinates. I then checked Okta for the week prior and you had a different IP address of 77.243.31.254 and it was showing a location of Serbia.

Dominion Website found with security vulnerability Sept 30 2020

From: Mohd Asif Khan <blackops.asif@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, September 30, 2020 11:50 PM
To: Security <Security@dominionvoting.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Bug Report

Hello Security Team,
My name is Mohd Asif Khan and I am a Security Researcher.
I have found a bug in your site. Report of the bug is as follows:-

a) Summary:-
It's possible to get information about the users registered (such as: id, name, login name, etc.) without authentication in Wordpress via API on https://www.dominionvoting.com/wp-json/wp/v2/users

b) Description:-
By default Wordpress allows public access to the Rest API to get information about all users registered on the system.

c) Steps To Reproduce:-
1. Visit url:- https://www.dominionvoting.com/ and add /wp-json/wp/v2/users/
2. https://www.dominionvoting.com/wp-json/wp/v2/users
3. Add numbers like https://www.dominionvoting.com/wp-json/wp/v2/users (1,2,3,4....) to get information about the users.

MarkCook Opinion

Claimed to be fixed Oct 1 2020. But was it breached?

From: Andrew Hall <andrew@hallstarsolutions.com>
Sent: Thursday, October 1, 2020 12:54:38 PM
To: Jeremy Holck <jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com>
Cc: Eric Coomer <eric.coomer@dominionvoting.com>; Mike McGee <michael.mcgee@dominionvoting.com>; Paul MacLaren (rockfishmarketing@bell.net) <rockfishmarketing@bell.net>; Paul MacLaren (rockfishmarketing@bell.net) <rockfishmarketing@bell.net>
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Bug Report

Jeremy et al,

The vulnerability described below has been eliminated.

Regards,

Andrew JK Hall
Digital Portfolio Director / Owner
M: 905-599-3448 | andrew@hallstarsolutions.com | www.hallstarsolutions.com | www.linkedin.com/in/ahallstar

1100 Burloak Drive, Suite 300 | Burlington, Ontario | L7L 6B2

HALLSTAR SOLUTIONS

Mark Cook

More vulnerabilities found internally Oct 14 2020!!!

To: Danica Gojkovic[danica.gojkovic@dominionvoting.com]; Marija Moric[marija.moric@dominionvoting.com]; Dusan Djordjevic[dusan.djordjevic@dominionvoting.com]; Nevena Djuric[nevena.djuric@dominionvoting.com]; Ivan Bulut[ivan.bulut@dominionvoting.com]; Neda Vukman[neda.vukman@dominionvoting.com]
Cc: Dejan Vukasinovic[dejan.vukasinovic@dominionvoting.com]; Jeremy Holck[jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com]
From: Alex Cruz
Sent: Wed 10/14/2020 6:22:02 PM
Subject: INFO: Microsoft CVE-2018-8273: Microsoft SQL Server Remote Code Execution Vulnerability

Hello All,
The following machines are coming up in our scan with some SQL vulnerabilities.
These vulnerabilities need to be patched ASAP in order to ensure the device stays protected.

- BGEGWDGOJK1
- BGEGWDTRABR1 – (Not sure who this machines belongs too)
- BGEGWMMICE1
- BGEGWDNRILA1 – (Not sure who this machine belongs too)
- BGEGWDDUDJO1
- N-NDJURIC
- N-BULUT
- N-VUKMAN

Danica Gojkovic
? possibly Tijana Todorovic
Marija Moric?
?- possibly Andrijana Ilic
Dusan Djordjevic
Nenvena Djuric
Ivan Bulut
Neda Vukman

MarkCook Opinion

Look at all those ‘American’ names…

To: Aleksandar Lazarevic[aleksandar.lazarevic@dominionvoting.com]; Alex Cruz[alex.cruz@dominionvoting.com]; John Giannopoulos[john.giannopoulos@dominionvoting.com]; Dalibor Milovanovic[dalibor.milovanovic@dominionvoting.com]; Ranko Stamatovic[ranko.stamatovic@dominionvoting.com]
Cc: Jeremy Holck[jeremy.holck@dominionvoting.com]; Goran Obradovic[goran.obradovic@dominionvoting.com]
From: Dragan Djordjevic
Sent: Mon 7/26/2021 12:34:14 PM
Subject: RE: Visual Studio 2019 Professional (Version 16.10) subscription | license | product key

Hi all,
The usage of product key is much better than using the account name to sign in to VS 2019. That was initial idea.

There is another reason why we should use a separate account for trusted builds. If there is a limitation regarding the number of machines where the same VS 2019 license can be used to sign in to VS 2019, then I think the solution to have the new account (that will be used for trusted builds only) is a better solution (since all developers usually installed VS 2019 on their office machines, laptops etc..). In case of using that new account the product key will also be used (instead of account name

Mark Cook

Didn't they tell everyone they aren't connected to the Internet?

From: Ranko Stamatovic [ranko.stamatovic@dominionvoting.com]
Sent: 4/4/2018 1:06:10 PM
To: Paul Chavez-Casanova [paul.chavez-casanova@dominionvoting.com]; Ivan Bulut [ivan.bulut@dominionvoting.com]
CC: Martijn Punt [martijn.punt@dominionvoting.com]; Ruzica Matic [ruzica.matic@dominionvoting.com]; Dave Anderson [dave.anderson@dominionvoting.com]
Subject: RE: RTM - Listener certificate signing

When we talk about certificates in general – Dominion is not the certificate issuer. Actually, we can create certificate, but – level of trust can be problematic... Different people (users) have different perspective what certificate means and how it should be obtained.

One more thing – when we are sending election results through Internet (from tabulators or RTM), this is not closed system any more.

Do the citizens know that election results are being sent through the internet?

At least they admit this!

Therefore, my general approach to this problem is:

- do not assume anything regarding who/how/when certificate should be created/obtained,
- do not assume anything regarding the name of the certificate
- Our system should be able to:
  - import any provided certificate (but first to check validity), or/and
  - Create certificate on simple and intuitive way. Furthermore, implement logic that the same certificate is be copied to exact location where, EMS will pick it up, when prepare definition files for tabulators/RTM...

Ranko

Integration is NOT always a good thing!



Mark Cook

Eric Coomer – Dominion Voting Director of Strategy and Security



Mark Cook

A candidate in Georgia who appeared to get few Election Day votes was actually in first place.

The discrepancy in a race for a county-level board of commissioners seat was blamed on a series of technical errors.

Share full article



The candidate, Michelle Long Spears, was shortchanged by 3,792 votes in the District 2 primary for the Board of Commissioners in DeKalb County, Ga., that was held on May 24, according to newly-certified results released on Friday.

After conducting a hand count over the Memorial Day weekend and auditing those returns, election officials determined that they had drastically underreported the vote totals for Ms. Spears.

She received 43 percent of the vote, not 24 percent, as reported by an unofficial and incomplete election summary posted on May 25 on the county's website. As the top vote-getter, Ms. Spears qualified for a June 21 runoff against the second-place candidate, Lauren Alexander.

"I hope that this never happens to another candidate, in another race ever again," Ms. Spears said. "It has been a nightmare."

Election officials attributed the discrepancy to a series of technical and human errors, which they characterized as being isolated to the primary race.

They said that the problems, which they described as a computer programming error, began when a fourth candidate withdrew from the race late — 135 votes were cast for that former candidate. A redistricting error compounded the situation, according to the county.

Mark Cook

CHEROKEE COUNTY

Cherokee County Clerk follows up on mixed election results

by: Jessica Schaer
Posted: Aug 9, 2022 / 06:20 PM CDT
Updated: Aug 9, 2022 / 06:20 PM CDT



CHEROKEE COUNTY, Kans. — We're learning more on the events that lead to a mix-up in a Cherokee County Commissioner race.

The Cherokee County Clerk says an audit was done this past Friday and officials noticed a discrepancy that showed the numbers were correct, they were just under the wrong candidate. Image prints on the thumb drive for "County Commissioner District One" switched the numbers for incumbent Myra Frazier, and challenger Lance Nichols. A full hand re-count was done twice to ensure the accurate results of the vote and found Myra Frazier to be the true winner of the race.

The election software company has taken full responsibility for the error. The County Clerk just wants to ensure voters their vote will always count.

"I want to be as transparent as I can possibly be to the citizens of Cherokee County. And, I don't want them to ever have to think that there's anything to worry about when it comes to elections in this office," said Rebecca Brassart, Cherokee County Clerk.

The County Clerk is now going through all of the thumb drives to make sure all the other races in the county and at the state-level are correct.



DATE FILED: September 21, 2021 2:14 PM
FILING ID: 65613E8BEC885
CASE NUMBER: 2021CV30214

Mesa County Colorado Voting Systems

Report #1 with Forensic Examination and Analysis



Figure 8 - EMS Server (5.11-CO) Web Server Log Files Before





Figure 9 - EMS Server (5.13) Web Server Log Files After



Prior to the Dominion update, there were 240 log files in this folder. After the update, there are only 3 log files showing and 3 (partial) remnants of previously used log files in File Slack space.

Mark C

Vendors Lie Right to Your Face






Mesa County
Colorado
Voting System

Report #2

Forensic Examination and Analysis Report




CONFIDENTIAL





Mark C


AT&T LTE
3:59 PM
70%
nmap
Cancel
Fing - Network Sca...
Online devices & security
GET
87K
In-App Purchases
Network Analyzer
Wifi/cell info, scanner &...
GET
3.8K
Today
Games
Apps
Arcade
Search



AT&T
4:23 PM
65%
Find open ports
Start
Target host
Open ports
Generic
9
139
netbios-ssn
NETBIOS Session Service
445
microsoft-ds
SMB directly over IP
808
ccproxy-http
CCProxy HTTP/Gopher/FTP (over HTTP) proxy
1433
ms-sql-s
Microsoft-SQL-Server
2103
zephyr-clt
Zephyr serv-hm connection
2105
eklogin
Kerberos (v4) encrypted rlogin







	choiceName	votes
23	Donald J. Trump / Michael R. Pence	56894
24	Gloria La Riva / Sunil Freeman	15
25	Howie Hawkins / Angela Nicole W...	188
26	Jane A. Quimby	5
27	Jane A. Quimby	5
28	Janet Rowland	55889
29	Janice Rich	30773
30	Jo Jorgensen / Jeremy "Spike" C...	1306
31	Joe McHugh / Elizabeth Storm	16
32	John Ryan Keil	2441
33	John W. Hickenlooper	29478
34	Jordan "Cancer" Scott / Jennifer...	7
35	Joseph Kishore / Norissa Santa C...	1
36	Joseph R. Biden / Kamala D. Harris	31536



FREEMAN, CRAFT, MCGREGOR GROUP

Red Team Testing Report
Dominion Democracy Suite
4.14-A and
Dominion Democracy Suite
4.14.A.1 w/ Adjudication
2.4

Author:
Jacob Stauffer, CISSP
Freeman, Craft, McGregor Group

Contributors:
Louis Losee
Steve Weingart, CISA
atsec information security

November 18, 2014

Conclusions

While the 4.14.A.1 build of the Democracy Voting System proved to mitigate four previously enumerated vulnerabilities, multiple medium and high vulnerabilities still exist within the suite of systems. A remote user could leverage most of the vulnerabilities across the network to obtain information about the system, voter ballots and results, or gain remote access to the system. If remote access is gained, recovery of user credentials through system memory (RAM) analysis could be executed and used to modify the backend database of the EMS Server.


November 18, 2014



Mesa County Colorado Voting Systems

Report #3
Election Database and Data Process Analysis





Mark Cook

DEMOCRACY SUITE 4.14-A.1 MODIFICATION

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

NTS Huntsville (formally Wyle Laboratories)

Certification Date

06/16/14

DEMOCRACY SUITE 5.0

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Certification Date

02/08/17

DEMOCRACY SUITE 5.5-A (MODIFICATION)

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

SLI Compliance, a Division of Gaming Laboratories International,

Certification Date

01/19/19

DEMOCRACY SUITE 5.17

Manufacturer

Dominion Voting Systems Corp

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Certification Date

03/16/23

Mark Cook

slicompliance.com/about-us/

ABOUT US

Integrity and impartiality are our underlying objectives in providing the first-rate customer service you deserve.

SLI Compliance® is an independent division under parent organization GLI® LLC.

As an accredited lab under the National Voluntary Accreditation Program (NVLAP) of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) (NVLAP Lab Code 200733-0: TESTING), SLI Compliance offers high-quality compliance testing and certification services to some of the most regulated industries. As an Office of the National Coordinator Authorized Test Lab (ONC-ATL) and Authorized Certification Body (ONC-ACB), SLI Compliance is authorized to perform Health IT testing and certification for the ONC's Health IT Certification Program. In addition to the Health IT industry, SLI Compliance is accredited by the U.S. Election Assistance Commission as a Voting System Test Lab (VSTL) qualified to test voting systems to Federal standards. SLI Compliance provides a full range of Voting System Testing Services and Election Support Services to international, state, and local governing bodies.

Mark Cook

gaminglabs.com

SERVICES

Revolutionizing Global Gaming Certification

Mark Cook



Testing

One of our most popular requests is for pre-compliance testing services. These services involve working collaboratively.



Certification

GLI provides independent testing and inspection of electronic gaming products.

VERITY VOTING 2.7

Manufacturer

Hart InterCivic, Inc.

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

SLI Compliance, a Division of Gaming Laboratories International, LL

Certification Date

06/07/22

CLEARVOTE 2.3

Manufacturer

Clear Ballot Group, Inc.

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Certification Date

10/31/22

OPENELECT V.1.3.0.2 MODIFICATION

Manufacturer

Unisyn Voting Solutions (a division of International Lottery and Totalizator, Inc)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Certification Date

03/28/17

EVS 6.3.0.0

Manufacturer

Election Systems & Software, Inc (ES&S)

Testing standard

VVSG 1.0 (2005)

Testing Lab

Pro V&V

Certification Date

11/11/22

Mark Cook

KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

May 14, 2020

Mr. Keith Ingram
Director of Elections, Texas Secretary of State
Elections Division
P.O. Box 12060
Austin, Texas 78711-2060

Re: Hart Verity 2.4 Voting System—Examination April 13-17, 2020

Dear Mr. Ingram:

Pursuant to Texas Election Code §122.036 and 1 Texas Administrative Code §81.60, by this letter I am transmitting my examiner's report for the Secretary of State's April 13-17, 2020 voting system examination. The subject of that examination was Hart Verity 2.4 voting system.

The factual background for this report includes Hart's presentation to the examiners during an examination at their headquarters, statements made by Hart's representatives during that examination, and any e-mail answers to the examiner's follow-up questions sent by Hart to the Secretary of State's office and then forwarded to the examiners.

The Office of the Attorney General notes that the United States Election Assistance Commission certified Verity 2.4 on February 21, 2020 as a modification to Verity 2.3, which has been previously certified for use in Texas elections. Verity 2.4 consists of multiple components, including hardware and software components.

Both during and after the examination, the examiners raised specific concerns about legal compliance of Verity 2.4. Notwithstanding these concerns, proper procedures concerning the use and operation of Verity 2.4 would help ensure compliance with applicable laws and rules. Therefore, after a thorough review of the system, the Office of the Attorney General concludes there are no legal compliance issues with Verity 2.4 and recommends certification consistent with this report.

Sincerely,

Lesley French
General Counsel

cc: Charles Pinney, Secretary of State's Office

KEN PAXTON
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

February 8, 2021

Mr. Keith Ingram
Director of Elections, Texas Secretary of State
Elections Division
P.O. Box 12060
Austin, Texas 78711-2060

Re: Hart Verity 2.5 Voting System—Examination January 4-8, 2021

Dear Mr. Ingram:

Pursuant to Texas Election Code §122.036 and 1 Texas Administrative Code §81.60, by this letter I am transmitting my examiner's report for the Secretary of State's January 4-8, 2021 voting system examination. The subject of that examination was Hart Verity 2.5 voting system.

The factual background for this report includes Hart's presentation to the examiners during an examination at their headquarters, statements made by Hart's representatives during that examination, and any e-mail answers to the examiner's follow-up questions sent by Hart to the Secretary of State's office and then forwarded to the examiners.

The Office of the Attorney General notes that the United States Election Assistance Commission certified Verity 2.5 on September 9, 2020 as a modification to Verity 2.4, which has been previously certified for use in Texas elections. Verity 2.5 consists of multiple components, including hardware and software components.

Both during and after the examination, the examiners raised specific concerns about legal compliance of Verity 2.5. Notwithstanding these concerns, proper procedures concerning the use and operation of Verity 2.5 would help ensure compliance with applicable laws and rules. Therefore, after a thorough review of the system, the Office of the Attorney General concludes there are no legal compliance issues with Verity 2.5 and recommends certification consistent with this report.

Sincerely,

Lesley French
Chief of Staff

cc: Charles Pinney, Secretary of State's Office

The State of Texas

Elections Division
P.O. Box 12060
Austin, Texas 78711-2060
www.sos.texas.gov

Phone: 512-463-5650
Fax: 512-475-2811
Dial 7-1-1 For Relay Services
(800) 252-VOTE (8683)

Ruth R. Hughes
Secretary of State

FINDINGS

The following are the findings, based on written evidence submitted by the Vendor in support of its application for certification, oral evidence presented at the examination, and the written reports of the voting system examiners (all of whom recommended certifying the Verity Voting 2.4 system for use in Texas elections).

The Verity Voting 2.4 system, including its hardware and software components, meets the standards for certification as prescribed by Section 122.001 of the Texas Election Code. Specifically, the Verity Voting 2.4 system and its components, among other things:

1. Preserve the secrecy of the ballot;
2. Are suitable for the purpose for which they are intended;
3. Operate safely, efficiently, and accurately and comply with the voting system standards adopted by the Election Assistance Commission;
4. Are safe from fraudulent or unauthorized manipulation;
5. Permit voting on all offices and measures to be voted on at the election;
6. Prevent counting votes on offices and measures on which the voter is not entitled to vote;
7. Prevent counting votes by the same voter for more than one candidate for the same office or, in elections in which a voter is entitled to vote for more than one candidate for the same office, prevent counting votes for more than the number of candidates for whom the voter is entitled to vote;
8. Prevent counting a vote on the same office or measure more than once;
9. Permit write-in voting;
10. Are capable of permitting straight-party voting; and
11. Are capable of providing records from which the operation of the system may be audited.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, based upon the foregoing, I hereby certify Hart InterCivic's Verity Voting 2.4 system for use in Texas elections.

The State of Texas

Elections Division
P.O. Box 12060
Austin, Texas 78711-2060
www.sos.texas.gov

Phone: 512-463-5650
Fax: 512-475-2811
Dial 7-1-1 For Relay Services
(800) 252-VOTE (8683)

Ruth R. Hughes
Secretary of State

FINDINGS

The following are the findings, based on written evidence submitted by the Vendor in support of its application for certification, oral evidence presented at the examination, and the written reports of the voting system examiners (all of whom recommended certifying the Verity Voting 2.5 system for use in Texas elections).

The Verity Voting 2.5 system, including its hardware and software components, meets the standards for certification as prescribed by Section 122.001 of the Texas Election Code. Specifically, the Verity Voting 2.5 system and its components, among other things:

1. Preserve the secrecy of the ballot;
2. Are suitable for the purpose for which they are intended;
3. Operate safely, efficiently, and accurately and comply with the voting system standards adopted by the Election Assistance Commission;
4. Are safe from fraudulent or unauthorized manipulation;
5. Permit voting on all offices and measures to be voted on at the election;
6. Prevent counting votes on offices and measures on which the voter is not entitled to vote;
7. Prevent counting votes by the same voter for more than one candidate for the same office or, in elections in which a voter is entitled to vote for more than one candidate for the same office, prevent counting votes for more than the number of candidates for whom the voter is entitled to vote;
8. Prevent counting a vote on the same office or measure more than once;
9. Permit write-in voting; and
10. Are capable of providing records from which the operation of the voting system may be audited.

CONCLUSION

Accordingly, based upon the foregoing, I hereby certify Hart InterCivic's Verity Voting 2.5 system for use in Texas elections.

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%						
Reporting		PHYSICAL					
Transparency	HIGH						

Mark Cook

Partial Manual Count

The Partial Manual Count (PMC) is a post-election audit of the electronic voting system used in an election to ensure the accuracy of the tabulation of the votes.[489] The PMC involves a manual count of all the races in at least one percent of the election precincts or in three precincts (whichever is greater) in which the electronic voting system was used.[490] The PMC must be conducted after every election that uses paper ballots that are counted with an electronic voting system. As a general rule, the general custodian of election records shall select the precincts at random.[491] However, in the general election for state and county officers, primary election, or an election involving a proposed amendment to the state constitution or other statewide measure submitted by the legislature, the Secretary of State shall select the precincts to be counted in the PMC.[492] For counties that participate in the Countywide Polling

The Risk-Limiting Audit Scam

ADOPTED REGULATION OF

THE SECRETARY OF STATE

LCB File No. R110-21

EXPLANATION – Matter in ***italics*** is new; matter in brackets **[~~omitted material~~]** is material to be omitted.

AUTHORITY: §§ 1-4, NRS 293.124, 293.247 and 293.394 and section 37.7 of Assembly Bill No. 422, chapter 554, Statutes of Nevada 2021, at page 3848.

A REGULATION relating to elections; setting forth requirements for risk-limiting audits of the 2022 Primary and General Elections; establishing certain deadlines for submitting and posting the results of a risk-limiting audit that is conducted beginning January 1, 2024; and providing other matters properly relating thereto.

Mark Cook

The Risk-Limiting Audit Scam

3. A risk-limiting audit conducted pursuant to this section must audit the results of:

(a) One race for statewide office. The Secretary of State will randomly select one race for statewide office to be audited at the election using a method determined by the Secretary of State in which all races for statewide office on the ballot at the election have an equal chance of being selected. The Secretary of State will notify each county clerk which race for statewide office has been selected for the risk-limiting audit at least 15 days before the date of the election.

MarkCook

The Risk-Limiting Audit Scam

(b) One race for countywide office. Each county clerk conducting a risk-limiting audit of an election shall randomly select one race for countywide office to be audited at the election using a method determined by the county clerk in which all races for countywide office on the ballot at the election have an equal chance of being selected. The county clerk must notify the Secretary of State which race for countywide office has been selected for the risk-limiting audit at least 15 days before the date of the election.

Mark Cook

The Risk-Limiting Audit Scam

Secretary of State:

(a) Will require the use of risk-limiting audit software with the risk limit set at 5 percent;

and

(b) May designate one or more of the following types of audit methods:

(1) Ballot comparison;

(2) Ballot polling; or

(3) A hybrid of ballot comparison and ballot polling.

8. As used in this section:

MarkCook


The Risk-Limiting Audit Scam

(a) "Ballot comparison" means a technique used in a risk-limiting audit in which the results of the ballot polling are compared to the results of the mechanical voting system as set forth in the record of votes cast on the system.

(b) "Ballot polling" means a technique used in a risk-limiting audit in which individual paper ballots are randomly selected and the ballot markings are examined and interpreted manually until the sampling of selected paper ballots indicates a sufficient majority for the reported winner of the election.

MarkCook

Example: Kerr County TX



Sample Ballot

PRIMARY ELECTION
March 3, 2020
KERR COUNTY, TEXAS
Elección Primaria
03 de marzo de 2020
CONDADO DE KERR, TEXAS

REPUBLICAN PARTY
PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

Precinct 101
Precinto 101

Page 1 of 3
Página 1 de 3

Please use a black or blue ink pen to mark your ballot. To vote for your choice in each contest, completely fill in the box next to your choice.
Favor de usar un bolígrafo de tinta negra o azul para marcar su boleta. Para votar por su selección en cada contienda, rellene completamente la casilla junto a su selección.

"I am a Republican and understand that I am ineligible to vote or participate in another political party's primary election or convention during this voting year."
"Yo soy Republicano y comprendo soy inelegible para votar o participar en la elección primaria o la convención de algún otro partido político durante este año electoral."

Preference for Presidential Nominee
You may vote for one presidential candidate whose name appears on the ballot by choosing the option to the left of the name of your choice or you may vote as uncommitted by choosing the option to the left of the choice "Uncommitted." Make only one choice.
Preferencia para un Candidato Nombrado para Presidente
Usted puede votar por un candidato para presidente cuyo nombre aparece en la boleta escogiendo la opción al lado izquierdo del nombre que usted elija o usted puede votar como votante no comprometido escogiendo la opción al lado izquierdo de las palabras "no comprometido." Haga solamente una selección.

President
Presidente
- Roque "Rocky" De La Fuente Guerra
- Joe Walsh
- Bob Ely
- Donald J. Trump
- Matthew John Matern
- Bill Weld
- Zoltan G. Istvan
- Uncommitted

United States Senator
Senador de los Estados Unidos
- Mark Yancey
- John Cornyn
- Dwayne Stovall
- Virgil Bierschwale
- John Anthony Castro

United States Representative, District No. 21
Representante de los Estados Unidos, Distrito Núm. 21
- Chip Roy

Railroad Commissioner
Comisionado de Ferrocarriles
- Ryan Sitton
- James "Jim" Wright

Chief Justice, Supreme Court
Juez Presidente, Corte Suprema
- Nathan Hecht

Justice, Supreme Court, Place 6 Unexpired Term
Juez, Corte Suprema, Lugar Núm. 6
- Jane Bland

Justice, Supreme Court, Place 7
Juez, Corte Suprema, Lugar Núm. 7
- Jeff Boyd

Justice, Supreme Court, Place 8
Juez, Corte Suprema, Lugar Núm. 8
- Brett Busby

Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals, Place 3
Juez, Corte de Apelaciones Criminales, Lugar Núm. 3
- Gina Parker
- Bert Richardson

Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals, Place 4
Juez, Corte de Apelaciones Criminales, Lugar Núm. 4
- Kevin Patrick Yeary

Judge, Court of Criminal Appeals, Place 9
Juez, Corte de Apelaciones Criminales, Lugar Núm. 9
- David Newell


Member, State Board of Education, District 5
Miembro de la Junta Estatal de Educación Pública, Distrito Núm. 5
- Inga Cotton
- Lani Popp
- Robert Morrow

State Senator, District 24
Senador Estatal, Distrito Núm. 24
- Dawn Buckingham

State Representative, District 53
Representante Estatal, Distrito Núm. 53
- Andrew S. Murr

65814 75

Read Both Sides of the Ballot / Lea Ambos Lados de la Boleta



Sample Ballot

PRIMARY ELECTION
March 3, 2020
KERR COUNTY, TEXAS
Elección Primaria
03 de marzo de 2020
CONDADO DE KERR, TEXAS

REPUBLICAN PARTY
PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

Precinct 101
Precinto 101

Page 2 of 3
Página 2 de 3

Chief Justice, 4th Court of Appeals District
Juez Presidente, Corte de Apelaciones, Distrito Núm. 4
- Renee Yanta

District Judge, 216th Judicial District
Juez del Distrito, Distrito Judicial Núm. 216
- Albert D. "Pat" Pattillo III

District Attorney, 198th Judicial District
Procurador del Distrito, Distrito Judicial Núm. 198
- Stephen Harpold

District Attorney, 216th Judicial District
Procurador del Distrito, Distrito Judicial Núm. 216
- Lucy Wilke

County Attorney
Procurador del Condado
- Heather Stebbins

County Clerk, Unexpired Term
Secretario del Condado, Duración Restante del Cargo
- Jackie "JD" Dowdy

Sheriff
Sherife
- Mitch Lambdin
- Larry Leitha
- Tommy Eddie Hill
- Carol Twiss
- Elias Romeriz Garcia

County Tax Assessor-Collector
Asesor-Colector de Impuesto del Condado
- Lonnell Hanks
- Bob Reeves

County Commissioner, Precinct 1
Comisionado del Condado, Precinto Núm. 1
- Harley David Belew

Constable, Precinct 1
Condestable, Precincto Núm. 1
- Tommy Rodriguez

County Chairman
Presidente del Condado
- John Elliott

2020 Republican Primary Ballot Propositions
Propuestas de Votación de las Elecciones Primarias Republicanas de 2020

Proposition 1
Proposición 1
Texas should not restrict or prohibit prayer in public schools.
El Estado de Texas no debe restringir ni prohibir, el que se pueda orar en las escuelas públicas.
- Yes / Si
- No / No


Proposition 2
Proposición 2
Texas should reject restrictions on the right to keep and bear arms.
El Estado de Texas debe rechazar las restricciones al derecho a tener y portar armas.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

Proposition 3
Proposición 3
Texas should ban the practice of taxpayer-funded lobbying, which allows your tax dollars to be spent on lobbyists who work against the taxpayer.
El Estado de Texas debe prohibir la practica del cabildeo financiado por los contribuyentes, que permite que el dinero generado de los impuestos del contribuyente se utilice en contra del mismo contribuyente por la práctica del cabildeo.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

Proposition 4
Proposición 4
Texas should support the construction of a physical barrier and use existing defense-grade surveillance equipment along the entire southern border of Texas.
El Estado de Texas debe apoyar la construcción de una barrera física y utilizar, equipo de vigilancia de defensa militar de alta tecnología a lo largo de toda la frontera sur de Texas.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

65814 75

Read Both Sides of the Ballot / Lea Ambos Lados de la Boleta



Sample Ballot

PRIMARY ELECTION
March 3, 2020
KERR COUNTY, TEXAS
Elección Primaria
03 de marzo de 2020
CONDADO DE KERR, TEXAS

REPUBLICAN PARTY
PARTIDO REPUBLICANO

Precinct 101
Precinto 101

Page 3 of 3
Página 3 de 3

Proposition 5
Proposición 5
Texas parents or legal guardians of public school children under the age of 18 should be the sole decision makers for all their children's healthcare decisions including, but not limited to, psychological assessment and treatment, contraception, and sex education.
Los padres o tutores legales de menores de 18 años en las escuelas de Texas, deben ser los únicos responsables de tomar decisiones concernientes a la salud de sus hijos; incluyendo sin limitación a toma de decisiones para evaluaciones psicológicas, administración de tratamientos anticonceptivos y a recibir educación sexual.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

Proposition 6
Proposición 6
Texas should ban chemical castration, puberty blockers, cross-sex hormones, and genital mutilation surgery on all minor children for transition purposes, given that Texas children as young as three (3) are being transitioned from their biological sex to the opposite sex.
El Estado de Texas debe prohibir la castración química, los tratamientos hormonales para detener la pubertad, la administración de hormonas sexuales del sexo opuesto y la cirguia de mutilación genital con fines de transición sexual en todos los niños menores de edad, dado que, hay niños de Texas de tan solo tres anos de edad, que has sido expuestos a estas practicas de transición al sexo opuesto.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

Proposition 7
Proposición 7
Texans should protect and preserve all historical monuments, artifacts, and buildings, such as the Alamo Cenotaph and our beloved Alamo, and should oppose any reimagining of the Alamo site.
Los Tejanos deben proteger y preservar todos los monumentos históricos, reliquias y edificios, como el Alamo Cenotaph y nuestro querido Alamo y deben oponerse a cualquier cambio de imagen que se le quiera hacer a el Alamo.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

Proposition 8
Proposición 8
Texas election officials should heed the directives of the Office of the Governor to purge illegal voters from the voter rolls and verify that each new registered voter is a U.S. Citizen.
Los funcionarios electorales de Texas deben prestar atención a las instrucciones de la Oficina del Gobernador para eliminar del padrón electoral a personas ilegales y verificar que cada nuevo votante que se registre en el padrón electoral sea ciudadano estadounidense.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

Proposition 9
Proposición 9
Bail in Texas should be based only on a person's danger to society and risk of flight, not that person's ability to pay.
Las fianzas en el estado de Texas se deben fijar según el peligro que la persona represente para la sociedad y en la probabilidad de que la persona se de a la fuga y no, en la capacidad de pago de esta persona.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

Proposition 10
Proposición 10
Texas should limit our state legislators' terms to 12 years.
Texas debería limitar gestión de nuestros legisladores estatales a 12 años.
- Yes / Si
- No / No

65814 75

2020 Ballot
35 Races

2/35 races = 5.7%

5% of 5.7% =
0.28% **AUDIT!**

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%				
Reporting		PHYSICAL					
Transparency	HIGH						

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%				
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE				

Mark Cook

https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/UT/Salt_Lake/92254/Web02.214799/#/

SALT LAKE COUNTY, UT



SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

2018 General Election Night Reporting

SHERRIE SWENSEN | Salt Lake County Clerk

RESULTS | MY FAVORITE RACES (0) | TURNOUT

FEDERAL | STATE | COUNTY | SCHOOL BOARD | JUDICIAL | QUESTIONS | LOCAL QUESTIONS | STRAIGHT PARTY | PRECINCTS REPORTING

Official Final Election Results

HOME > RESULTS

Last updated 11/20/2018 5:28:47 PM MST

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

FEDERAL

U.S. SENATE

View Contest Detail

Precincts Reporting: **100%**

		Percentage	Votes
REP	MITT ROMNEY	49.90%	207,719
DEM	JENNY WILSON	44.89%	186,856
LIB	CRAIG R. BOWDEN	2.37%	9,854
CON	TIM AALDERS	1.62%	6,759
IAP	REED C. MCCANDLESS	1.02%	4,265
	WRITE-IN	0.18%	746
	CALEB DAN REEVE	0.01%	27

Latest News

Precincts Reporting

Completely Reported Not Reporting

Partially Reported



Mark Cook

https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/UT/Salt_Lake/92254/Web02.214799/#/

Search

SALT LAKE COUNTY, UT



SALT LAKE COUNTY, UTAH

2018 General Election Night Reporting

SHERRIE SWENSEN | Salt Lake County Clerk

RESULTS | MY FAVORITE RACES (0) | TURNOUT

FEDERAL | STATE | COUNTY | SCHOOL BOARD | JUDICIAL | QUESTIONS | LOCAL QUESTIONS | STRAIGHT PARTY | PRECINCTS REPORTING


Official Final Election Results

HOME > RESULTS

Last updated 11/20/2018 5:28:47 PM MST

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

FEDERAL



U.S. SENATE — View Contest Detail

Precincts Reporting: **100%**

		Percentage	Votes
REP	MITT ROMNEY	49.90%	207,719
DEM	JENNY WILSON	44.89%	186,856
LIB	CRAIG R. BOWDEN	2.37%	9,854
CON	TIM AALDERS	1.62%	6,759
IAP	REED C. MCCANDLESS	1.02%	4,265
	WRITE-IN	0.18%	746
	CALEB DAN REEVE	0.01%	27

Latest News

Precincts Reporting

Completely Reported | Not Reporting | Partially Reported



Mark Cook

view-source:https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/UT/Salt_Lake/92254/Web02.214799/#/

Search

```
<!DOCTYPE html><html lang="en" ng-app="enr.web02" ng-jq ngsf-fullscreen><head><meta charset="utf-8"><meta http-equiv="X-UA-Compatible" content="IE=edge"><meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width,initial-scale=1,minimum-scale=1,maximum-scale=1,user-scalable=no"><!-- Start SmartBanner configuration --><!--
    <meta name="smartbanner:title" content="Election Night Results">
    <meta name="smartbanner:author" content="Scytl US">
    <meta name="smartbanner:price" content="FREE">
    <meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-apple" content=" - On the App Store">
    <meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content=" - In Google Play">
    <meta name="smartbanner:icon-apple" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
    <meta name="smartbanner:icon-google" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
    <meta name="smartbanner:button" content="VIEW">
    <meta name="smartbanner:button-url-apple" content="https://ios/application-url">
    <meta name="smartbanner:button-url-google" content="https://android/application-url">
    <meta name="smartbanner:enabled-platforms" content="android,ios">
    --><!-- End SmartBanner Configuration --><title>Election Night Reporting</title><meta name="keywords" content="Election Night Reporting, ENR, scytl, republican election results, democrat election results, republican election night results, democrat election night results, election map, election results map, live election results, real time election results, voting results, registered voters, Georgia, Appling,"><meta name="description" content="Election Night Reporting"><meta name="subject" content="Election Night Reporting"><meta name="copyright" content="Scytl"><meta name="language" content="EN, ES"><meta name="robots" content="index,follow"><meta name="revised" content="Sunday, July 8th, 2018, 9:27 pm"><meta name="abstract" content=""><meta name="topic" content=""><meta name="summary" content=""><meta name="Classification" content="Elections"><meta name="author" content="scytl, contact@scytl.com"><meta name="reply-to" content="contact@scytl.com"><meta name="url" content="http://results.enr.clarityelections.com/"><meta name="directory" content="submission"><meta name="category" content="Elections"><meta name="coverage" content="Worldwide"><meta name="distributi content="Global"><meta name="rating" content="General"><meta http-equiv="Expires" content="0"><meta http-equiv="Pragma" content="no-cache"><meta http-equiv="Cache-Control" content="no-cache"><meta property="og:title" content="Election Night Reporting"><meta property="og:description" content="Election Night Reporting."><meta property="og:image" content="https://www.scytl.com/wp-content/themes/scy /lib/img/Scytl-logo.png"><meta property="og:url" content="index.html"><!-- CSS --><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="css/soocss.css"><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="css/enr.min.css"><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="css/print.css"><link rel="stylesheet" type="text/css" href="css/animate.css"><style type="text/css">body.dragging,
        body.dragging * {
            cursor: move !important;
        }

        .dragged {
            position: absolute;
            opacity: 0.5;
            z-index: 2000;
        }

        .sidebar-list li.placeholder {
            position: relative;
            height: 5px;
            background: red;
            width: 100%;
            z-index: 1;
        }

        .sidebar-list li.placeholder:before {
            position: absolute;
```

Mark Cook

https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/KS/Miami/115291/web.285569/#/summary

Search

MIAMI COUNTY, KS



RESULTS | MY FAVORITE RACES ★ (0) | REPORTING | TURNOUT

Federal 4 | State 22 | County 8 | Township 26 | Precinct 216 | Constitutional Amendment 1

Official Final Results

» RESULTS

RESULTS

Last Updated
Friday, August 19, 2022, 10:45:15 AM (1 year ago)

★ (0)

☆ Federal

★ DEM US Senate (Vote For 1)

Precincts Reporting 100%	Percentage	Votes
DEM Mike Andra	2.60%	65
DEM Paul Buskirk	22.87%	572
DEM Mark R Holland	33.19%	830

PRECINCTS REPORTING


Donut | Pie | Bar



PRECINCTS REPORTING 54/54

SEE PRECINCTS REPORTING →

Mark Cook




```
<!DOCTYPE html>
<html lang="en">
 <head>
  <meta charset="utf-8" />
  <title>Election Night Reporting</title>
  <base href="https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/KS/Miami/115291/web.285569/" />
  <meta name="viewport" content="width=device-width, initial-scale=1.0" />
  <link rel="icon" type="image/x-icon" href="favicon.ico" />
  <link rel="manifest" href="manifest.json" />
  <meta name="theme-color" content="#1976d2" />
  <link rel="stylesheet" href="styles.4c87e62bc9ba37b232cb.css" />
 </head>
 <body>
  <enr-root>
   <div class="d-flex justify-content-center align-content-center my-5">
    <div class="spinner-border" role="status">
     <span class="sr-only">Loading...</span>
    </div>
   </div>
  </enr-root>
  <noscript>
    Please enable JavaScript to continue using this application.
  </noscript>
  <script src="runtime.b4a99da8363c9cbebece.js" defer=""></script>
  <script src="polyfills-es5.e5cbb391e424859408d5.js" nomodule="" defer=""></script>
  <script src="polyfills.310cc94ebecdab0c0a7f.js" defer=""></script>
  <script src="main.1348f29b4b4c32b9e54b.js" defer=""></script>
  <!--
  enr-web (3.22.3 - Fri May 20 2022 13:22:43 GMT-0400 (Eastern Daylight Time))
  -->
 </body>
</html>
```

MarkCook

https://www.enr-scvotes.org/SC/106502/Web02-state.264691/#/?undefined

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

EVERY VOTE MATTERS. EVERY VOTE COUNTS.

2020 Statewide General Election

Election Night Reporting

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTION COMMISSION

RESULTS | SELECT COUNTY | MY FAVORITE RACES (0) | TURNOUT

Official Results

HOME > RESULTS

Last updated 6/30/2021 8:42:40 AM EDT

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

RESULTS

President and Vice President (Vote For 1) — View Contest Detail

Counties Reporting: **100 %**

		Percentage	Votes
GRN	GRN Howie Hawkins | Angela Walker	0.27%	6,907
REP	REP Donald J Trump | Michael R Pence	55.11%	1,385,103
ALN	ALN Roque Rocky De La Fuente | Darcy G Richardson	0.07%	1,862
LIB	LIB Jo Jorgensen | Jeremy Spike Cohen	1.11%	27,916
DEM	DEM Joseph R Biden | Kamala D Harris	43.43%	1,091,541
			2,513,329

Counties Reporting

Completely Reported | Not Reporting | Partially Reported



COUNTIES REPORTING **46**/46

PRECINCTS REPORTING **2262**/2262

Mark Cook

https://www.enr-scvotes.org/SC/106502/Web02-state.264691/#/?undefined

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

EVERY VOTE MATTERS. EVERY VOTE COUNTS.

2020 Statewide General Election

Election Night Reporting

SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTION COMMISSION

RESULTS | SELECT COUNTY | MY FAVORITE RACES (0) | TURNOUT

Official Results

HOME > RESULTS

Last updated 6/30/2021 8:42:40 AM EDT

Filter PRINT FULL SCREEN SHARE

RESULTS

President and Vice President (Vote For 1)			View Contest Detail
Counties Reporting: 100 %		Percentage	Votes
GRN	GRN Howie Hawkins | Angela Walker	0.27%	6,907
REP	REP Donald J Trump | Michael R Pence	55.11%	1,385,103
ALN	ALN Roque Rocky De La Fuente | Darcy G Richardson	0.07%	1,862
LIB	LIB Jo Jorgensen | Jeremy Spike Cohen	1.11%	27,916
DEM	DEM Joseph R Biden | Kamala D Harris	43.43%	1,091,541
			2,513,329

Back Alt+Left arrow
Forward Alt+Right arrow
Refresh Ctrl+R
Save as Ctrl+S
Print Ctrl+P
Cast media to device
Send to your devices
Create QR Code for this page
Read aloud Ctrl+Shift+U
Translate to English
Add page to Collections
Share
Web select Ctrl+Shift+X
Web capture Ctrl+Shift+S
View page source Ctrl+U
Inspect

Counties Reporting

Completely Reported
Not Reporting
Partially Reported



COUNTIES REPORTING 46/46

PRECINCTS REPORTING 2262/2262

MarkCook


view-source:https://www.enr-scvotes.org/SC/106502/Web02-state.264691/#/?undefined
Line wrap
<!DOCTYPE html><html lang="en" ng-app="enr.web02" ngsf-fullscreen><head><meta charset="utf-8"><meta http-equiv="X-UA-Compatible" content="IE=edge"><meta name="viewpo
<meta name="smartbanner:title" content="Election Night Results">
<meta name="smartbanner:author" content="Scytl US">
<meta name="smartbanner:price" content="FREE">
<meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-apple" content=" - On the App Store">
<meta name="smartbanner:price-suffix-google" content=" - In Google Play">
<meta name="smartbanner:icon-apple" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
<meta name="smartbanner:icon-google" content="assets/img/appicon.png">
<meta name="smartbanner:button" content="VIEW">
<meta name="smartbanner:button-url-apple" content="https://ios/application-url">
<meta name="smartbanner:button-url-google" content="https://android/application-url">
<meta name="smartbanner:enabled-platforms" content="android,ios">
--><!-- End SmartBanner configuration --><title>Election Night Reporting</title><meta name="keywords" content="Election Night Reporting, ENR, scytl, republican e
body.dragging * {
cursor: move !important;
}
.dragged {
position: absolute;
opacity: 0.5;
z-index: 2000;
}
#sidebar-list li.placeholder {
position: relative;

electionresults.utah.gov/elections/ccr

Home Search By Name My Races

Utah Election Preliminary Results

Elections

- Statewide Federal
- Congressional
- Statewide Offices
- State Senate
- State House
- Board of Education
- County
- Search By Name
- My Races

County Completion Report


The majority of counties in Utah now vote by mail. Because any batch of ballots could include voters from multiple precincts, it is no longer helpful to track the number of precincts reporting to know when all votes have been counted.


This page will indicate when each county has completed counting ballots on election night and each subsequent day when ballots are counted and results are released. For additional information on results displayed on this website please click here.

County	Status	Last Updated
Beaver	County Canvass Complete - Results Final	09/20/2023
Box Elder		

Mark Cook

Uvalde, TX





In
15 minutes,
The number
of votes went
DOWN!

-1,375

-1,131

-36,069

IMPOSSIBLE!

Mark Cook


Uvalde, TX



What are the chances of two candidates having the EXACT same number of votes?


MarkCook

Bexar, TX




What are the chances of two candidates having the EXACT same number of votes in a nearby county at the same time?

Zavala, TX



What are the chances of two candidates having the EXACT same number of votes in a THIRD nearby county at the same time?

Crane, TX




How about a FOURTH County?

WHAT ARE THE CHANCES?

Nate Cook

Loving, TX



Shall we make it a fifth?

WHAT ARE THE CHANCES?

Nick Cook

Sutton, TX



Let’s go for SIX!

WHAT ARE THE CHANCES?

Reagan, TX



Just kidding, SEVEN!!!

WHAT ARE THE CHANCES?

Brewster, TX



Are you getting it yet?

WHAT ARE THE CHANCES?

Mark Cook

Summit County one of 2 in Utah with delayed, unreleased preliminary election night results

Partial and preliminary results in other Utah races

Summit County FOLLOW SUMMIT-COUNTY | Nov 9, 2022

Summit County was one of two out of 29 counties in Utah that experienced delays in election night reporting, causing preliminary results to go unreleased after 11:40 p.m. Tuesday.

Duchesne County also hadn't released preliminary results. Wasatch County was also delayed, but began reporting shortly before 11:30 p.m.

The Summit County delays were the result of careful tabulation by the county clerk's office, according to Eve Furse, the county clerk. Several County Courthouse races, including county attorney, sheriff and clerk, allowed for write-in candidates and took additional time to count.

Utah Legislature found no evidence of fraud after election audit

KPCW | By Andrea Buchanan
Published December 14, 2022 at 3:52 PM MST

Some officials in the Summit County Republican Party claimed mail-in ballots during the midterm elections would not result in a free and fair election. Now an audit of the Lieutenant Governor's office found no signs of systematic problems.

Utah has conducted mail-in voting for more than a decade and this year's midterm elections were no different. What was different this year were questions from some officials about the integrity of the voting process.

Last December, Utah's legislative leaders ordered an audit of the 2022 election. That deep dive into the election process and Lieutenant Governor Deidre Henderson's office found no evidence of fraud, widespread errors or systematic problems during this year's midterm elections.

Furthermore, auditors found that security systems already in place would foil any attempts to tamper with ballots.

Henderson said in a statement that the systems in place are the result of careful and deliberate efforts by the Legislature over many years to ensure that Utah voters have access to ballots, confidence in the security of elections and the ability to hold those who run elections accountable for their performance.

However, independent investigators pointed out several areas that need improvement, such as updating voter registration rolls and standardizing signature verification.

Summit County Clerk Eve Furse said she's very pleased that the legislative audit found that Utah's elections safeguards are in place and working.

Summit County Republican Party Chair Karen Ballash was vocal about election integrity during the midterms. In September, she emailed her party members claiming that mail-in ballots and machines wouldn't result in a free and fair process. Ballash did not respond to KPCW's request for comment.

Tim Gallagher is a Summit County Republican Party precinct captain. He said it's not surprising that the Legislature found no fraud. He said he has complete faith in the election process.

Mark Cook

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

SUMMIT COUNTY

Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

Provisional Ballots Issued	341
Provisional Ballots Qualified	272
Provisional Ballots Disqualified	68
Percentage Counted	79.7%

Reasons for Disqualification:

not registered	57
Incomplete form	7
already voted	1
moved to another county	3

Election Summary

Registered Voters	24,029
Ballots Cast	21038
Percentage Counted	87.50%

By-Mail Disqualified

Post-marked after Deadline	87
Unsigned Affidavit	93
signature didn't match	143
signature tab tore off	15
Signature not on file	9
spoiled	11
primary ballot	6
moved to different county	37
Not in envelope	4
Total	358

Mark Cook

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

SUMMIT COUNTY

Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

Provisional Ballots Issued	341
Provisional Ballots Qualified	272
Provisional Ballots Disqualified	68
Percentage Counted	79.7%

Reasons for Disqualification:

not registered	57
Incomplete form	7
already voted	1
moved to another county	3

Election Summary

Registered Voters	24,029
Ballots Cast	21038
Percentage Counted	87.50%

By-Mail Disqualified

Post-marked after Deadline	87
Unsigned Affidavit	93
signature didn't match	143
signature tab tore off	15
Signature not on file	9
spoiled	11
primary ballot	6
moved to different county	37
Not in envelope	4
Total	358

Mark Cook

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37

SUMMIT COUNTY
Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

Provisional Ballots Issued	341
Provisional Ballots Qualified	272
Provisional Ballots Disqualified	68
Percentage Counted	79.7%

272/341 = 79.76%

Reasons for Disqualification:

not registered	57
Incomplete form	7
already voted	1
moved to another county	3

Election Summary

Registered Voters	24,029
Ballots Cast	21038
Percentage Counted	87.50%

By-Mail Disqualified

Post-marked after Deadline	87
Unsigned Affidavit	93
signature didn't match	143
signature tab tore off	15
Signature not on file	9
spoiled	11
primary ballot	6
moved to different county	37
Not in envelope	4
Total	358

Mark Cook

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37

SUMMIT COUNTY
Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

Provisional Ballots Issued	341
Provisional Ballots Qualified	272
Provisional Ballots Disqualified	68
Percentage Counted	79.7%

272/341 = 79.76%

Reasons for Disqualification:

not registered	57
Incomplete form	7
already voted	1
moved to another county	3

Election Summary

Registered Voters	24,029
Ballots Cast	21038
Percentage Counted	87.50%

By-Mail Disqualified

Post-marked after Deadline	87
Unsigned Affidavit	93
signature didn't match	143
signature tab tore off	15
Signature not on file	9
spoiled	11
primary ballot	6
moved to different county	37
Not in envelope	4
Total	358

Mark Cook

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37

SUMMIT COUNTY
Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

Provisional Ballots Issued	341
Provisional Ballots Qualified	272
Provisional Ballots Disqualified	68
Percentage Counted	79.7%

272/341 = 79.76%

Reasons for Disqualification:

not registered	57
Incomplete form	7
already voted	1
moved to another county	3

Election Summary

Registered Voters	24,029
Ballots Cast	21038
Percentage Counted	87.50%

21038/24029 = 87.55%

By-Mail Disqualified

Post-marked after Deadline	87
Unsigned Affidavit	93
signature didn't match	143
signature tab tore off	15
Signature not on file	9
spoiled	11
primary ballot	6
moved to different county	37
Not in envelope	4
Total	358

Mark Cook

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37

SUMMIT COUNTY
Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

Provisional Ballots Issued	341
Provisional Ballots Qualified	272
Provisional Ballots Disqualified	68
Percentage Counted	79.7%

272/341 = 79.76%

Reasons for Disqualification:

not registered	57
Incomplete form	7
already voted	1
moved to another county	3

Election Summary

Registered Voters	24,029
Ballots Cast	21038
Percentage Counted	87.50%

21038/24029 = 87.55%

By-Mail Disqualified

Post-marked after Deadline	87
Unsigned Affidavit	93
signature didn't match	143
signature tab tore off	15
Signature not on file	9
spoiled	11
primary ballot	6
moved to different county	37
Not in envelope	4
Total	358

Mark Cook

Election Summary Report
2016 Summit County General Election
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official Results

Date:11/21/16
Time:15:23:37
Page:1 of 5

SUMMIT COUNTY
Ballot Summary

Provisional Ballots

Provisional Ballots Issued	341
Provisional Ballots Qualified	272
Provisional Ballots Disqualified	68
Percentage Counted	79.7%

272/341 = 79.76%

Reasons for Disqualification:

not registered	57
Incomplete form	7
already voted	1
moved to another county	3

Election Summary

Registered Voters	24,029
Ballots Cast	21038
Percentage Counted	87.50%

21038/24029 = 87.55%

By-Mail Disqualified

Post-marked after Deadline	87
Unsigned Affidavit	93
signature didn't match	143
signature tab tore off	15
Signature not on file	9
spoiled	11
primary ballot	6
moved to different county	37
Not in envelope	4
Total	358

87+93+143+15+9+11+6+37+4 = 405

Mark Cook

Summit County Utah
Presidential Primary
March 3, 2020

OFFICIAL RESULTS

Election Summary Report

STATISTICS	TOTAL
Election Day Precincts Reporting	50 of 51
Registered Voters - Total	24,694
Registered Voters - DEMOCRATIC	6,211
Registered Voters - REPUBLICAN	8,354
Ballots Cast - Total	10,984
Ballots Cast - DEMOCRATIC	6,942
Ballots Cast - REPUBLICAN	4,042
Voter Turnout - Total	44.48%
Voter Turnout - DEMOCRATIC	111.77%
Voter Turnout - REPUBLICAN	48.38%

Mark Cook

The New York Times

Where Georgia's Hand Recount Differed From the Initial Tally, by County

By Josh Holder and Amy Schoenfeld Walker Nov. 19, 2020

	INITIAL COUNT	AUDIT COUNT	DIFFERENCE
Joseph R. Biden Jr	2,473,383	**2,475,141**	+1,758
Donald J. Trump	2,459,825	**2,462,857**	+3,032
Margin	Biden +0.27	**Biden +0.25**	

On Thursday, Georgia's 159 counties finalized a hand recount, technically an audit, of the five million ballots cast in the election, reaffirming Joseph R. Biden Jr.'s victory but reducing his lead by more than 1,200 votes.

Georgia's secretary of state, Brad Raffensperger, a Republican, had ordered the manual audit as part of a new state law to ensure the accuracy of voting machines by comparing paper ballot counts with machine tallies. This was not an official recount, though the Trump campaign can choose to request one after the state's results are certified this week, as the vote margin between Mr. Biden and President Trump is below 0.5 percentage points.

In many counties, officials conducting the audit found zero or single-digit differences in their counts, and they will not change their original results for the state certification process. Yet at least four counties — Douglas, Fayette, Floyd and Walton — discovered missed ballots and will add these to their original counts.

In Douglas, Fayette and Walton Counties, the counting of paper ballots revealed that election workers had undercounted votes after missing memory cards, which held machine-cast votes. New voting machines adopted by the state in 2019 allow voters to use touchscreens to print ballots. The voters then verify the ballots and formally cast them by scanning, resulting in a paper record and a machine tally.

Floyd County officials discovered about 2,400 ballots that election workers neglected to rescan after a scanner failed and results could not be retrieved from memory cards. Officials attributed the error to "gross incompetence" on the part of the county's elections director.

Despite the errors in these four counties, election officials have said that there are no signs of voter fraud and that the new system is working as intended.


"These people are operating in it under the highest level of stress, in the most contentious election in their work life in the United States and in Georgia. So for the most part they are doing a really good job on this," said Gabriel Sterling, Georgia's statewide voting system implementation manager.

Discrepancies of over 100 Votes


DeKalb	308,769	58,438	D+560 votes
Cobb	221,816	165,114	D+315 votes
Dougherty	24,656	10,412	D+117 votes
Henry	73,359	48,153	D+117 votes
Floyd	11,853	28,687	R+686 votes
Fulton	381,179	137,620	R+345 votes
Gwinnett	242,490	167,361	R+285 votes
Rockdale	31,120	13,129	R+241 votes
Clayton	95,232	15,714	R+145 votes

Mark Cook

2024 GOP Primary





2024 GOP Primary



Mark Cook

2024 GOP Primary








2024 GOP Primary

Mark Cook


Arkansas Republican Presidential Primary Historically









THIS






2024 GOP Primary



Mark Cook

Summit County Elections Results Page



Wasatch County Elections Results Page

WASATCH COUNTY

HOME | SERVICES | DEPARTMENTS | COMMUNITY | CONTACT

Address:
25 North Main
Heber City, Utah 84032

Phone (435) 657-3190
Fax (435) 654-0834
ClerkAuditor@wasatch.utah.gov



Joey D Granger
County Clerk-Auditor
Term: 2023-2026

2023 Election Information

County-Wide Proposition #9 Voter Information Pamphlet

County-Wide Proposition #9 Voter Information Pamphlet

Midway Election Audit

The Wasatch County Clerk/Auditor's Office will perform an Election Audit on Wednesday, September 13, 2023, at 11:00 a.m.Wasatch County Administration Bldg. 25 N Main Heber City, UT 84032.

Midway Election Results


Midway City's Primary Election Results
https://www.midwaycityut.org/government/departments/elections/


Midway Election Statistics

	Daily Totals	After 5th	Tabulated	Remaining Eligible Ballots
Inside County Box	277			
	0			
County Outside Box	256			
City Drop Boxes	168			
Mail	634			
Total Mail Ballots	1,335		1,263	72
Express Vote/In Person	3		3	0
Total Ballots	1,338	0	1,266	72

Mark Cook

Wasatch County Elections Results Page





sanjuancounty.org/clerk/page/prior-election-results

nty.org/clerk/page/prior-election-results

CLERK

- Elections/Voting
  - Primary Election Information
  - Election Results
    - 2022 Voting Maps
    - 2022 Election Results
    - Prior Election Results
- + Property Tax Relief
- + Tax Sale
- Budgets and Financial Statements
- GRAMA Forms
- Beer Licenses
- Marriage Licenses

CONTACT INFORMATION

Lyman W. Duncan, San Juan County Clerk/Auditor
435-587-3223 ext. 4113

Prior Election Results

SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS

- 2022 Election Results (1 MB)
- 2020 General Election Results for San Juan County (407 KB)
- 2020 General Election Official Precinct Report (198 KB)
- 2020 General Election Financial Campaign Reports (10 MB)
- 2020 Primary Election results (2 MB)
- 2020 Presidential Primary Election results (1 MB)
- 2020 Primary Election results by precinct (156 KB)
- 2019 Special Election results (505 KB)
- 2018 General Election results (3 MB)
- 2017 General Election results (1 MB)
- 2017 Primary Election results (717 KB)
- 2016 General Election results (291 KB)
- 2016 Primary Election results (208 KB)
- 2015 General Municipal Election Results (34 KB)
- June 24, 2014 Primary Election results (13 KB)
- November 4, 2014 General Election results (177 KB)
- 2012 General Election (November 6, 2012) Results (27 KB)
- 2012 Primary Election (June 26, 2012) Results (15 KB)
- 2010 General Election (November 2, 2010) Results (37 KB)
- 2010 Primary Election (June 22, 2010) Results (14 KB)
- 2010 Primary Election (June 22, 2010) Provisional Ballots (8 KB)
- 2008 Presidential Primary Results (19 KB)
- 2008 Utah Primary Election (June 24, 2008) Results (6 KB)
- 2008 General Election (11-4-2008) provisional ballots (5 KB)
- 2008 General Election (November 4, 2008) Results (19 KB)
- 2008 General Election (11-4-2008) provisional ballots (13 KB)
- 2007 General Election Results (November 6, 2007) (83 KB)
- 2006 Primary (6 KB)
- 2006 General (9 KB)
- 2008 Utah Primary (6-24-2008) provisional ballots (7 KB)
- 2006 General Election provisional ballots (6 KB)
- 2004 General (10 KB)
- 2007 General Election – Provisional Ballots (12 KB)
- 2004 Primary (14 KB)
- 2002 General (11 KB)
- 2002 Primary (9 KB)
- Special Elections (3 MB)
- 2000-2010 Election Results (9 MB)
- 1990-2000 Election Results (13 MB)
- 1980-1990 Election Results (11 MB)
- 1970-1980 Election Results (8 MB)
- 1968-1970 Election Results (1 MB)

sanjuancounty.org/sites/default/files/fileattachments/clerk/page/25781/2022_midterm_election_results_-_san_juan_county.pdf

Custom Table Report
UT San Juan General 221108
July 29, 2022

	STATISTICS							
	Registered Voters - Total	Ballots Cast - Total	Paper Ballots Cast - Total	Cards Cast - Total	Ballots Cast - Blank	Paper Ballots Cast - Blank	Cards Cast - Blank	Voter Turnout - Total
1_S1	123	94	93	0	0	0	0	76.42%
1_1	1,455	1,061	1,005	0	0	0	0	72.92%
1_2	758	612	586	0	0	0	0	80.74%
1_3_B	13	11	11	0	0	0	0	84.62%
1_4	32	25	24	0	0	0	0	78.12%
1_5	70	48	46	0	0	0	0	68.57%
2_2	521	400	374	0	0	0	0	76.78%
2_3	443	324	310	0	0	0	0	73.14%
2_5	1,329	913	689	0	0	0	0	68.7%
3_1	157	135	132	0	0	0	0	85.99%
3_2	125	100	95	0	0	0	0	80%
3_3	1,121	793	713	0	0	0	0	70.74%
3_4	1,523	1,101	969	0	0	0	0	72.29%
3_5	20	17	15	0	0	0	0	85%
1_S5	218	151	147	0	0	0	0	69.27%
Totals	**7,908**	**5,785**	**5,209**	**0**	**0**	**0**	**0**	

MarkCook

An Honest Election

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote


Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)



Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots
Count the totals to see what the real result is

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots
Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots
Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed
Add votes by filling in under-votes

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

MarkCook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots
Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed
Add votes by filling in under-votes
Insert extra ballots to add votes

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

MarkCook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots
Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed
Add votes by filling in under-votes
Insert extra ballots to add votes
and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

MarkLook

Carbon County, MT – Nov 8, 2022



Carbon County, MT

11/8/22 2045 Election night. Carbon County MT Election Administrator Crystal Roascio shredding in county commissioners waiting area.



Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 1: Low Tech)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots
Count the totals to see what the real result is
Determine how many extra votes are needed
Add votes by filling in under-votes
Insert extra ballots to add votes
and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

MarkLook

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote
Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote

Collect the ballots
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

Mark Cook


How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)



Collect the ballots
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

Mark Cook

Utah Mail Ballot Tracker



Mark Cook

Kansas

Kansans: Track your mail-in vote from start to finish


October 2, 2020 • 1 Comment • by The Leaven

KANSAS CITY, Kan. — Because of the pandemic, more Kansans than ever are expected to be voting by mail this coming election.

But for those worried about the process, the state is offering something new. Voters can track the progress of their vote online.


Advance voting by mail is nothing new for Kansas voters; they've been doing it since 1996. Nor do voters need an excuse to receive a vote-by-mail ballot.





Mark Cook

Texas Ballot Mail Tracker



Civix

CIVIX

SOLUTIONS COMPANY SECURITY CONTACT

WELCOME TO CIVIX

Technology and Expertise to Transform the Public Sector

We provide software and services to help public sector organizations deliver on their missions.

Discover Our Solutions →

Airport Management

Business Services

Community Planning

Elections Management

Environmental Data Mgmt.

Ethics Administration

Grants Management

Lease Management

Right of Way Mgmt.

Transit Operations



Mark Cook

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)

Allow the Citizens to vote



Monitor who votes

Estimate the results based on voters/party

Determine how many extra votes are needed

Insert extra ballots via mail-in/drop-box to add votes

and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes


Collect the ballots

Tabulate the ballots

Obtain the results

MarkCook

How to Steal an Election (Example 2: Feedback Loop)



Allow the Citizens to vote
Monitor who votes
Estimate the results based on voters/party
Determine how many extra votes are needed
Insert extra ballots via mail-in/drop-box to add votes
and/or Remove ballots to decrease opposition votes
Collect the ballots
Tabulate the ballots
Obtain the results

MarkCook

Sacramento County, CA – Election Equipment Warehouse






Sacramento County, CA – Election Equipment Warehouse



Sacramento County, CA – Election Equipment Warehouse






©2020 by Mark Cook

4.5 DS200 Lock and Seal Recommendations

1. Insert the election definition USB flash drive and secure with a wire seal.



2. Close the access door and lock with a medium barrel key. Place a label seal on the smooth plastic area as shown at right.



Mark Cook


Richland County, SC – Voting Center during the Election



Mark Cook



MarkCook



MarkCook


Place Ballot Face
Down for Privacy
INSERT BALLOT HERE
Insert Here
A


Mark Cook



Mark Cook


Place Ballot Face
Down for Privacy
INSERT BALLOT HERE
Insert Here
A

Mark Cook


Place Ballot Face
Down for Privacy
INSERT BALLOT HERE
Insert Here
N. AUGUSTA 54 NAMS


N. AUGUSTA 54 NAMS

Mark Cook

RICHLAND COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPT

5623 TWO NOTCH RD COLUMBIA, SC 29223

803-576-3000

Event

2020 Hampton Street SC SOUTH CAROLINA 29204

Incident Description:	ALL OTHER CRIMINAL VIOLATIONS
Incident Start Date:	06/24/2022 14:24:00
Incident End Date:	06/24/2022 16:59:00
Reported Date:	06/24/2022 16:00:00
Date Dispatch:	06/24/2022 00:00:00
Date Arrive:	06/24/2022 00:00:00
Date Depart:	06/24/2022 00:00:00
Region:	REGION 3
Weapon Code:	

Mark Cook

Richland County Elections director resigns, official confirms



Richland County Election Director Alexandria Stephens has resigned after two years on the job.

Author: wltx.com
Published: 11:12 PM EDT August 18, 2022
Updated: 11:12 PM EDT August 18, 2022

Mark Cook

Group asks BI: Stop Smartmatic, Comelec people from leaving PHL

By VIRGIL LOPEZ, GMA News

Published June 4, 2016 3:49pm

A poll watchdog group has asked the Bureau of Immigration (BI) to prevent 10 people from leaving the country for their alleged role in the controversial script change in the Comelec transparency server that receives precinct-level votes.

In a letter dated June 2, election watchdog "Mata sa Balota" urged BI Commissioner Ronaldo Geron to issue a hold departure order (HDO) against the following personalities:

advertisement

- Cesar Flores -Smartmatic-TIM president for Southeast Asia
- Heider Garcia- Smartmatic official
- Marlon Garcia - Smartmatic Philippines project manager
- Elie Moreno - Smartmatic project director
- Neil Banigued- Smartmatic technical support member
- Mauricio Herrera - Smartmatic technical support member
- Andres Kapunan- Smartmatic Mindanao
- Rouie Peñalba- Comelec IT personnel
- Nelson Herrera - Comelec IT personnel
- Frances Mae Gonzales- Comelec IT personnel

The group said these people are facing several criminal and administrative complaints filed before the Office of the Ombudsman, Manila Prosecutor's Office. They are also under investigation by the Comelec.

star-telegram.com/news/politics-government/state-politics/politex-blog/article198086589.html

Tarrant County hires second elections administrator of the year

BY ANNA M. TINSLEY

UPDATED FEBRUARY 02, 2018 1:35 PM

In this short video, find out who can register to vote, where to register, which documents are needed and when you must register by to vote in the next election. BY LENA BLIETZ

Only have a minute? Listen instead
Powered by Trinity Audio
1.0x
00:00
02:37

For the second time this year, Tarrant County will have a new elections administrator.

Heider Garcia, elections manager in Placer County, Calif., has been hired to guide and oversee local elections.

He should join the county in mid-February to observe the March 6 primary election and help out as needed, Tarrant County Judge **Glen Whitley** said.

"We think he will be a good fit," Whitley said.

Garcia will be sworn in as elections administrator after the primary.

The delay is to give him time to become a registered voter in Tarrant County, which Whitley said he must do before he can be sworn in.

He replaces **Nathan Neblett**, a Houston man who held the post for two weeks in January before county officials decided to "release" him from the post.

"We just decided it wasn't going to be a good fit for us," Whitley said at the time. "We decided to go in a different direction."

Mark Cook

hartintercivic.com/new-voting-machines-in-tarrant-county/

New voting machines in Tarrant County

September 3, 2019

Tarrant County voters will be casting ballots on new machines for this year's Nov. 5 constitutional amendment election.County Commissioners recently signed off on an $11 million plan to buy this new equipment, part of Austin-based Hart InterCivic's Verity line.

These machines, approved for use by the Texas Secretary of State's office, include a paper trail.

Voters will use a touchscreen to review the ballot and make their choices. The machine will print a list of the candidates chosen. After reviewing votes on the sheet, voters must put their paper ballot into one of the scanners to formally cast their vote and drop it into the ballot box.

Tarrant County Elections Administrator Heider Garcia has asked the state to allow countywide vote centers — which would let voters cast ballots at any polling place in the county on Election Day — as soon as the November election.

Mark Cook

ELECTION NEWS

Tarrant County sees amazing turnout for 2020 presidential election

By Teresa Gubbins Nov 4, 2020 | 6:00 am



Most of the voting done in Tarrant County was early. *IssueVoter/Facebook*


MOST POPULAR

- Fort Worth gains a round of fun restaurant openings and more dining news
- Texas relaxes into 3rd place in new ranking of best states for camping
- These are the 9 best food and drink events in Fort Worth this week

UPDATE: According to Tarrant County Elections' final results, released November 11, Democratic Presidential candidate Joe Biden bested President Donald Trump by 1,826 votes, with Biden's tally at 411,567 votes versus Trump's 409,741 votes. It's the first time Tarrant County has gone "blue" for

Scanning problem affects more than 20,000 Tarrant County mail-in ballots

By Dionne Anglin and FOX 4 Staff | Published October 27, 2020 | Tarrant County | FOX 4 |



Scanning problem affects more than 20,000 Tarrant County mail-in ballots

Tarrant County elections officials say a third of received mail-in ballots have been rejected because the company that printed them made a mistake.

FORT WORTH, Texas - Tarrant County elections officials say a third of received mail-in ballots have been rejected because the company that printed them made a mistake.

With one week until Election Day, elections administrator Heider Garcia

Trending

1  **WATCH: Michael Irvin's attorney plays video of interaction with Arizona hotel employee**

2  **Thursday storms bring large hail, dangerous winds to North Texas**

3  **17-year-old pleads guilty to murder of Uber Eats driver in Haltom City**

4  **Three EF-1 tornadoes confirmed, North Texans clean up after Thursday's severe storms**

5  **Home invasion suspects fatally shot by resident at Garland apartment, police say**

Latest News View More


| ELECTION |

Tarrant GOP Denies County Turned Blue, Makes Trumpian Claims of Irregularities

LAUREN DREWES DANIELS | DECEMBER 1, 2020 | 4:00AM

The Tarrant County GOP is quite agitated the county has been cast as "blue" after the Nov. 3 general election. As mail-in ballots trickled in after Election Day, the seesaw tipped ever so slightly Democratic under the weight of about 1,826 more votes cast for the Biden/Harris ticket than Trump/Pence. It's not much, but this isn't horseshoes.

The county hadn't voted for a Democrat for president since 1964.



Mark Cook

Tarrant County's lauded elections chief resigns, citing differences with new county executive

> Heider Garcia, like many other election officials across the country, faced death threats that stemmed from lies about the outcome of the 2020 election.

BY **NATALIA CONTRERAS**, VOTEBEAT AND THE TEXAS TRIBUNE APRIL 17, 2023 1 PM CENTRAL

COPY LINK



Tarrant County Election Administrator Heider Garcia looks at his phone while working Election Day at the election administration building in Fort Worth on Nov. 8, 2022. He resigned from his position Monday. Shelby Tauber for The Texas Tribune

HEIDER GARCIA
Elections Administrator

TROY HAVARD
Assistant Elections Administrator

TARRANT COUNTY
ELECTIONS ADMINISTRATION

April 16, 2023

The Honorable Tim O' Hare
County Judge
100 East Weatherford St.
Fort Worth, Texas 76196

The Honorable GK Maenius
Tarrant County Administrator
100 East Weatherford St.
Fort Worth, Texas 76196

Gentlemen,

Please accept this letter as my formal resignation from the position of Elections Administrator for Tarrant County. My last day with the County will be June 23, 2023. This will allow sufficient time to complete all work related to the May 6 Joint Elections, any potential runoff elections in June and a smooth handover of the operation. It will be my utmost priority to guarantee operational continuity of the department before my departure.

GK, thank you for your leadership, your support, and your guidance in my time here. You believed in me and provided me all the tools I needed to do my job. I say to you what I said to Judge Glen Whitley when we canvassed the November 2022 election: "*Thank you for your leadership, and for just letting elections officials do their job and stay out of politics. That means the world to us.*" When leadership respects the team's values and shows trust, members of the team become the best version of themselves. You know this, Judge Whitley knew it, and because of that, I leave Tarrant County a better man and a better professional. Thank you, GK.

Judge O'Hare, my formula to "*administer a quality transparent election*[1]" stands on respect and zero politics; compromising on these values is not an option for me. You made it clear in our last meeting that your formula is different, thus, my decision to leave. I wish you the best; Tarrant County deserves that you find success.

Sincerely,

Heider Garcia
Elections Administrator
Tarrant County

Mark Cook



Heider Garcia
@heidergarcia · **Follow**

8:55 AM · Jul 14, 2023

Monday is my first day as a Senior Subject Matter Expert with the Election Assistance Commission. I'm thrilled to join a such a great team, and excited about the opportunity to continue contributing to fair and secure elections, this time at a national level.

MarkCook

Heider Garcia, celebrated elections chief from Tarrant County, set to run elections in Dallas

OCT. 18, 2023

> Garcia will replace Michael Scarpello, who had led the elections department in Dallas since 2020.

BY **NATALIA CONTRERAS**, VOTEBEAT AND THE TEXAS TRIBUNE OCT. 18, 2023 UPDATED: 5 PM CENTRAL



Heider Garcia, the former Tarrant County elections administrator, speaks with ballot board workers inside the Tarrant County's central count station on election night on May 6, 2023. Garcia will oversee elections in Dallas County starting later this year. Azul Sordo for The Texas Tribune

Heider Garcia, a lauded Texas election official who resigned as elections director in Tarrant County under political pressure last spring, is set to become Dallas County's next elections chief.

Garcia's first day will be Dec. 20, Dallas County officials said during an election commission meeting Wednesday.

The announcement comes two weeks after Michael Scarpello, who has been Dallas County elections administrator since 2020, submitted his resignation and said he'd be retiring after working in elections in various jurisdictions across the country for more than 20 years. Scarpello's last day will be Dec. 19.

"I am honored," Garcia said in a text message Wednesday, after his appointment. "I'll be very humble in my new role and work really hard to follow in Michael's footsteps. Dallas deserves the best and I intend to work with that goal in mind."

Garcia, one of the most respected elections directors in the state during his time in Tarrant, had been Tarrant County's elections administrator since 2018. Since former President Donald Trump began to make baseless allegations of fraud in connection with the outcome of the 2020 election, Tarrant County — the state's most populous swing county — has been at the center of unfounded election fraud conspiracies.

Garcia, who previously worked as a software engineer for the voting machine company Smartmatic in Venezuela, also became the subject of allegations from some voter fraud activists and Trump allies who claimed he'd "rigged" the Tarrant election. Fringe activists began posting Garcia's home address online. He faced harassment and racist death threats.

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%				
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Transparency	HIGH						

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%				
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE				

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

	FREEDOM		DANGER				
	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%				
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE				

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem

More Complex = Less Secure

Mark Cook

Progression – More Complex and Less Transparent

Paper
Mechanical
Electronic
Computerized

Mark Cook

“I consider it completely unimportant who in the party will vote, or how; but what is extraordinarily important is this — who will count the votes, and how.”

Mark Cook


"I consider it completely unimportant who in the party will vote, or how; but what is extraordinarily important is this — who will count the votes, and how."

Joseph Stalin, 1923
Central Committee of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union

Mark Cook



Magic Show
Mark Cook

System Diagram by Russell Ramsland



Built on BLINDFOLDED TRUST





Fully Engineered OUTCOME-BASED Elections

Dirty voter rolls to increase headroom (eg E.R.I.C.)

Mail-out unsolicited ballots everywhere, then hijack

Make convenient 'mistakes' before/during/after election

Early voting – insider information

Mail-in ballot tracking – insider information

Electronic poll books – insider information

Cause loss of confidence in the election system

Days/Weeks to accept mail-in ballots for the clean-up

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM DANGER

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%				
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE				

Mark Cook

The disabled are USED to push the agenda!

AXIOS Salt Lake City News Things to Do Food and Drink Politics Real Estate Business

Jun 23, 2022 - News

Disabled Utah voters are asking the state for e-voting



Erin Alberty



Voter Everette Bacon aims his phone camera at the door to Trolley Square so a phone-access guide can see the "Vote Here" signs and direct him to the polling place inside.

Blind Utahns and disability advocates are asking for more access to digital ballots after running into trouble at polling places.

Driving the news: Utah's primaries are set for Tuesday. Leading up to them, blind Utahns only have two ways to cast ballots.

- They can vote by mail with another person's assistance, which means they can't vote in secret.
- Or they can go to a polling place for an audio ballot — but navigating there may be difficult.

Election Ecosystem:
Increasing Attack Surface & Ability to Manipulate

FREEDOM DANGER

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations			
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%				
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL			
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE				

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control

FREEDOM DANGER

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal		
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%		
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE				

The United States is BEHIND



Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control

FREEDOM

DANGER

	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal		
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%		
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		


Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control

	FREEDOM		DANGER		TYRANNY		
	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal		
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%		
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		

Election Ecosystem: TOTAL Loss of Control


	FREEDOM		DANGER		TYRANNY		
	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal		
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%		
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface




	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface




	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		PHYSICAL
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%		
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface




	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		PHYSICAL
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Hand Audit	100%			0.28%	0%		
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface




	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		PHYSICAL
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Hand Audit	100%			0.28%	0%		
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface




	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		PHYSICAL
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Hand Audit	100%			0.28%	0%	100%	
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface




	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		PHYSICAL
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%	100%	
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO		

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface



	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		PHYSICAL
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%	100%	
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO	100%	

Election Ecosystem: We MUST reduce the Attack Surface



	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Federal	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS		PHYSICAL
Voter Validation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Tabulation	PHYSICAL		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		0%	100%	
Reporting		PHYSICAL	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	IN-PROGRESS	PHYSICAL	
Transparency	HIGH		CLOSE TO NONE		ZERO	100%	

Cameras are EVERYWHERE!



Mark Cook


Mark Cook

MockCountyTX.US showing how real Transparency can work!


Tabulation Livestream/Recorded Video and Ballot Images

Room Cam 1:



Room Cam 2:






BATCH

Page 2

Justice, 14th Ct of Appeals District, Place 2		District Judge, 180th Judicial District		District Judge, 189th Judicial District		District Judge, 230th Judicial District	
Kevin Jewell	0	Tarel C Pierce	0	Erin Elizabeth	0	Brad Hart	0
Cheri C Thomas	42	Daewan Jones	42	Tamika Tami Craft	42	Chris Morton	41
Under vote	5	Under vote	5	Under vote	8	Under vote	6
Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0
Justice, 14th Ct of Appeals District, Place 9		**District Judge, 182nd Judicial District**		**District Judge, 190th Judicial District**		**District Judge, 232nd Judicial District**	
RandyWilson	0	Robert H Jackson	0	Fred Shuchart	0	Joshua Normand	0
William Dennond	42	Danilo Danny Lacayo	42	Beau Miller	42	Josh Hill	42
Under vote	8	Under vote	8	Under vote	8	Under vote	8
Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0
District Judge, 55th Judicial District		**District Judge, 183rd Judicial District**		**District Judge, 208th Judicial District**		**District Judge, 234th Judicial District**	
Nile Copeland	0	Kristin M Guiney	0	Heather Hudson	0	Michael West	0
Latosha Lewis Payne	42	Gemayel L Haynes	42	Beverly Armstrong	42	Lauren Reeder	42
Under vote	8	Under vote	9	Under vote	8	Under vote	8
Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0
District Judge, 113th Judicial District		**District Judge, 184th Judicial District**		**District Judge, 209th Judicial District**		**District Judge, 248th Judicial District**	
Nathan J Milliron	0	Lori Deangelo	0	Kevin Fulton	0	Julian Ramirez	0
Rebeca Collier	42	Katherine Kat Thomas	42	Brian E Warren	42	Hillary Unger	41
Under vote	7	Under vote	8	Under vote	8	Under vote	9
Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0
District Judge, 157th Judicial District		**District Judge, 185th Judicial District**		**District Judge, 228th Judicial District**		**District Judge, 262nd Judicial District**	
Sonya L Aston	0	Chris Carmona	0	Andy Taylor	0	Tonya McLaughlin	0
Tanya Garrison	42	Andrea Beall	42	Frank Aguilar	42	Lori Chambers Gray	41
Under vote	8	Under vote	8	Under vote	8	Under vote	9
Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0	Over vote	0

Station 1



To Insure our Future



Election Ecosystem: Final Goal

	FINAL GOAL	
	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		FUTURE
VoterValidation	FUTURE	
Tabulation	FUTURE	
Hand Audit	100%	
Reporting		FUTURE
Transparency	100%	

Mark Cook

Election Ecosystem: Current Reality

	FINAL GOAL		VIRTUAL SYSTEM			
	Precinct	County	State	Corporations		
Voter Reg		FUTURE	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL		
VoterValidation	FUTURE		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL		
Tabulation	FUTURE		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL		
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%			
Reporting		FUTURE	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL		
Transparency	100%		CLOSE TO NONE			

Nat Cook

Election Ecosystem: Incremental Improvement

	FINAL GOAL		VIRTUAL SYSTEM		+ INCREMENTAL	
	Precinct	County	State	Corporations	Precinct	County
Voter Reg		FUTURE	VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL		PARALLEL
VoterValidation	FUTURE		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	PARALLEL	
Tabulation	FUTURE		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	HAND COUNT	
Hand Audit	100%		0.28%		66-100%	
Reporting	FUTURE		VIRTUAL	VIRTUAL	PARALLEL	
Transparency	100%		CLOSE TO NONE		>75%	

Mark Cook

THEN IMMEDIATELY progress to:

Background Checks on Election/Adjacent-Individuals

Fully Transparent, Accurate, Verifiable,
County-Managed Nationally Cross-Referenced Voter Registration System
Paper Poll Books, HAND MARKED Ballots,
PRECINCT-BASED HAND COUNT ELECTION DAY
that is Understandable by and Accessible to
Every American Citizen

MarkCook

To Save Our Republic

YOU, YES YOU MUST GET INVOLVED!

Local Action = National Effect

Mark Cook


For 2024:

GET OUT OF E.R.I.C. !!!

Mark Cook

For 2024:

GET OUT OF E.R.I.C. !!!
Opt-OUT of Mail-In Ballots



For 2024:

GET OUT OF E.R.I.C. !!!
Opt-OUT of Mail-In Ballots
Study your election laws
Clean your voter rolls
Monitor all voter roll modifications
Watch for and report problems before, during, and after the election
Set up county-controlled parallel paper/in-house digital poll books
No drop-boxes. ID on ballot drop-off
Sheriff support in upholding election laws
Audit entire chain of custody
Take screen shots/pictures of all real-time results on websites/media
Audit every aspect post-election
GET OUT THE VOTE!
OVERWHELM THE CHEAT!

MeWe

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

For 2024:

Opt-OUT of Mail-In Ballots
Learn how elections work in your county - Study your laws
Audit and clean, then MONITOR your voter rolls
WORK YOUR ELECTIONS!
Watch for and report problems before/during/after the election
Get set up on website **ElectionEyes.US**
Set up county-controlled parallel paper/in-house digital poll books
Secure/eliminate drop boxes - ID on ballot drop-off!
Work with your Sheriff to uphold election laws
Take screen shots/pictures of all real-time results on websites/media
Audit every aspect post-election including entire chain of custody
WATCH PARTIES: 2000 Mules - LetMyPeopleGo.movie – State-Of-Denial.com
GET OUT THE VOTE! Primaries AND General! OVERWHELM THE CHEAT!
Use a BLUE PEN to fill out circles on ballot #BluePen

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

Mark Cook

Get Organized

DEFINE the GOAL

EDUCATE to understand the battlefield and the ENEMY

DEFINE the path to achieve the GOAL

LEADERS to LEAD

PEOPLE to follow the LEAD

TOOLS to organize ACTION

YOU MUST TAKE ACTION LOCALLY!!!

Mark Cook

Mark Cook 303-990-2660 PatriotMC@protonmail.com

HandCountRoadShow.org and ACCFEI.org



Mark Cook

PLEASE SIGN UP FOR NEWSLETTER!